## Adopted Levels, Gammas

	History	y	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin, E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 151, 334 (2018)	30-Jun-2018

 $Q(\beta^{-})=-8950 \ 80; \ S(n)=9240 \ 80; \ S(p)=1400 \ SY; \ Q(\alpha)=6607 \ 3$  2012Wa38  $\Delta S(p)=120 \ (2012Wa38).$ 

Identification: excitation functions for  $^{112}$ Sn( $^{63}$ Cu,pxn) and relative positions for  $\alpha$ 's from known Pt activities (1981De22); genetic relationship to  $^{167}$ Os daughter (1981Ho10).

Calculation of  $\alpha$  decay half-life: 2013Ha05 (modified barrier penetration formula; good agreement with experimental value); 2011Ta23 (semiempirical, one-parameter model).

## <sup>171</sup>Pt Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

			A 175 B 96 C 116	Hg $\alpha$ decay D ${}^{96}$ Ru( ${}^{78}$ Kr,2pn $\gamma$ ) Mo( ${}^{78}$ Kr,3n $\gamma$ ) E ${}^{171}$ Pt IT decay Sn( ${}^{58}$ Ni,3n $\gamma$ ), Sn( ${}^{60}$ Ni,xn $\gamma$ )
E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	45.5 ms 25	A E	<ul> <li>%α=90 7; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup>=10 7</li> <li>%α: unweighted average of 83 3 (2010Sc02) and 96 5 (2004GoZZ). Only α decay of <sup>171</sup>Pt has been observed (1981De22,1981Ho10,1982En03,1997Uu01,2004GoZZ,2010Sc02). Gross β decay theory predicts a partial β halflife≈2 s (1973Ta30), implying %ε+%β<sup>+</sup>≈2.</li> <li>%ε+%β<sup>+</sup>: from 100-%α.</li> <li>J<sup>π</sup>: M1 90γ from (9/2<sup>-</sup>) 90 level; unhindered α decay to (7/2<sup>-</sup>) <sup>167</sup>Os g.s same As g.s. J<sup>π</sup> for <sup>169</sup>Pt but not <sup>173</sup>Pt.</li> <li>T<sub>1/2</sub>: unweighted average of 40 ms 10 (1981De22), 43 ms 3 (1996Pa01), 51 ms 2 (2002Ro17), 48 ms 1 (2010Sc02); the weighted average is 48.1 ms 12. Other values:&gt;20 ms (1981Ho10), 20 ms 6 (1982En03), 25 ms +11-6 (1997Uu01).</li> </ul>
89.5 7	(9/2-)		E	$J^{\pi}$ : M2 323 $\gamma$ from (13/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 413 level.
412.6 <sup>#</sup> 10	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	901 ns 9	BCDE	%IT=100 T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 2010Sc02 In <sup>171</sup> Pt IT decay.
857.6 <sup>#</sup> 10	$(17/2^+)$		BCD	
1462.3 <sup>#</sup> 10 1473.5 10	$(21/2^+)$		BCD D	
2131.7 <sup>#</sup> 10	$(25/2^+)$		BCD	
2816.6 <sup>#</sup> 10	$(29/2^+)$		BCD	
3516.6? <sup>#</sup>	$(33/2^+)$		В	

<sup>†</sup> From adopted  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>±</sup> From (<sup>78</sup>Kr,3n $\gamma$ ), except as noted; based on the very close similarity between level spacings in <sup>171</sup>Pt and in the g.s. band of <sup>172</sup>Pt, 1998Se20 suggest that the states excited in their fusion-evaporation reaction (which is expected to strongly populate ( $\nu$  i<sub>13/2</sub>) bands) result from the coupling of a rotationally-aligned i<sub>13/2</sub> neutron to 0<sup>+</sup>, 2<sup>+</sup>, ..., 10<sup>+</sup> excitations of the core.

<sup>#</sup> Band(A): probable  $i_{13/2}$ ,  $\alpha = +1/2$  band. Either  $\nu i_{13/2}$  weakly coupled to vibrational core or decoupled  $\nu i_{13/2}$  rotational band with  $i_{13/2}^2$  alignment (2003Ba32).

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.‡	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
89.5	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	89.5 <sup>#</sup> 7	100	0.0	$(7/2^{-})$	M1	9.15 25	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)$ exp In IT decay.
412.6	$(13/2^+)$	323.1 <sup>#</sup> 6	100	89.5	(9/2-)	M2	0.926 15	B(M2)(W.u.)=0.165 3
								Mult.: from $\alpha$ (K)exp and sub-shell ratios In IT decay.
857.6	$(17/2^+)$	445.0 2	100	412.6	$(13/2^+)$	(E2)	0.0331	
1462.3	$(21/2^+)$	604.7 2	100	857.6	(17/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	0.01581	$E_{\gamma}$ : other: 605.4 2 In <sup>116</sup> Sn( <sup>58</sup> Ni,3n $\gamma$ ), Sn( <sup>60</sup> Ni,xn $\gamma$ ).
1473.5		615.9 2	100	857.6	$(17/2^+)$			
2131.7	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	669.4 2	100	1462.3	$(21/2^+)$	(E2)	0.01260	$E_{\gamma}$ : other: 670.2 3 In <sup>116</sup> Sn( <sup>58</sup> Ni,3nγ), Sn( <sup>60</sup> Ni,xnγ).
2816.6	$(29/2^+)$	684.9 <i>2</i>	100	2131.7	$(25/2^+)$			
3516.6?	$(33/2^+)$	700 <sup>@</sup> a	100	2816.6	$(29/2^+)$			

<sup>†</sup> From <sup>96</sup>Ru(<sup>78</sup>Kr,2pn $\gamma$ ), except as noted. <sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  In <sup>96</sup>Ru(<sup>78</sup>Kr,2pn $\gamma$ ), assigning  $\Delta \pi$ =(No) to intraband transitions, except As noted.

<sup>#</sup> From IT decay.

<sup>@</sup> From  ${}^{96}Mo({}^{78}Kr,3n\gamma)$ , uncertainty unstated by authors.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>a</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



```
Level Scheme
```

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

 $--- \rightarrow \gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

Legend



<sup>171</sup><sub>78</sub>Pt<sub>93</sub>

## Adopted Levels, Gammas



<sup>171</sup><sub>78</sub>Pt<sub>93</sub>