

^{174}Hf α decay **1961Ma05**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin ¹ , E. A. Mccutchan ² , S. Basunia ¹		NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent: ^{174}Hf : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=2.0\times 10^{15}$ y 4; $Q(\alpha)=2494.5$ 23; $\% \alpha$ decay=100.0

^{174}Hf - $\% \alpha$ decay: $\% \alpha(^{174}\text{Hf})=100$. ^{174}Hf is β stable.

Other: [1959Ri34](#).

1961Ma05: 10.14% enriched ^{174}Hf source; measured $E\alpha$ and parent $T_{1/2}$.

$T_{1/2}(^{174}\text{Hf})=2.0\times 10^{15}$ y 4, measured by [1961Ma05](#), is recommended by [1990Ho28](#). Other $T_{1/2}$: 4.3×10^{15} y ([1959Ri34](#)).

 ^{170}Yb Levels

E(level)	J^π
0.0	0^+

 α radiations

$E\alpha$	E(level)	HF [†]	Comments
2500 30	0.0	1.0	$E\alpha$: measured α energy from 1961Ma05 . $Q(\alpha)(^{174}\text{Hf})=2494.5$ 23, recommended by 2012Wa38 , implies $E\alpha=2437.2$ 23, however.

[†] $r_0(^{170}\text{Yb})=1.55$ 6 ([1998Ak04](#)), assuming $\text{Hf}(2500\alpha)=1.0$, $Q(\alpha)=2559$ 31 (from measured $E\alpha=2500$ 30) and measured $T_{1/2}=2.0\times 10^{15}$ y 4 ([1961Ma05](#)); this value is consistent with r_0 systematics. However, if $Q(\alpha)=2494.5$ 23 ([2017Wa10](#)) is assumed, $r_0=1.661$ 11, inconsistent with r_0 systematics, unless $T_{1/2}$ is increased to approximately four times the measured value.