

^{170}Os ε decay [1995Hi02](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin ¹ , E. A. Mccutchan ² , S. Basunia ¹		NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent: ^{170}Os : $E=0.$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=7.37$ s 18; $Q(\varepsilon)=4987$ 25; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=90.5 10

Other: [2001Ki10](#).

The strong 162γ and 216γ are coincident with K x ray(Re) and 511γ , but not with each other; [1995Hi02](#), therefore, postulate that each populates the same level. That level is unlikely to be the (5^+) g.s., since $\log ft \leq 5.9$ from 0^+ for any $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ branch which exceeds about 1%, implying $J^\pi=1^+$ for the populated level and, hence, a greatly hindered γ -ray de-excitation to the (5^+) g.s. Consequently, the evaluator introduces a low-spin level at $0+z$, which also may be populated by ε decay. $Q(\varepsilon)$ is large (so the decay scheme is probably incomplete) and mult is not known for the two γ -rays observed, so the decay scheme has not been normalized.

 ^{170}Re Levels

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>J^π[‡]</u>
0+z	
161.8+z 4	
216.3+z 4	(≤ 2)

[†] From E_γ .

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{170}\text{Re})$

If internal conversion of the 162γ and 216γ is neglected, [1995Hi02](#) deduce $\% \alpha(^{170}\text{Os})=9.2$ (cf. adopted value of 9.5 % 10); if mult=M1 is assumed for both gammas, $\% \alpha$ drops to 5.1. This favors low multipolarity for both transitions.

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ[†]</u>	<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>
161.8 [‡] 4	35 3	161.8+z		0+z
216.3 [‡] 4	100	216.3+z	(≤ 2)	0+z

[†] Relative photon intensity.

[‡] Coincident with K x ray(Re) and with 511γ .

${}^{170}\text{Os}$ ϵ decay 1995Hi02Decay SchemeIntensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

