## <sup>174</sup>Ir $\alpha$ decay (5.01 s) **1992Sc16**

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin <sup>1</sup> , E. A. Mccutchan <sup>2</sup> , S. Basunia <sup>1</sup>	NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent: <sup>174</sup>Ir: E=193 *11*;  $J^{\pi}$ =(7<sup>+</sup>);  $T_{1/2}$ =5.01 s 22; Q( $\alpha$ )=5625 *10*; % $\alpha$  decay=2.5 3

 $^{174}$ Ir-T<sub>1/2</sub>: Weighted average of 4.9 s 3 and 5.5 s 6 from 1992Sc16, and 5.0 s 4 from 1992Bo21.

<sup>174</sup>Ir-% $\alpha$  decay: % $\alpha$ =2.5 3 from 1992Sc16.

1992Sc16: source from <sup>141</sup>Pr(<sup>36</sup>Ar,xn), E=175-204 MeV; measured  $\alpha$  excit, E $\alpha$ , I $\alpha$ , E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , I(K x ray),  $\alpha$ -K x ray coin,  $\alpha\gamma$  coin,  $\alpha(t)$ ; deduced  $\alpha$  branching; Si and Ge detectors.

1967Si02: sources produced by  ${}^{169}$ Tm( ${}^{16}$ O,11n),  ${}^{164}$ Er( ${}^{19}$ F,9n), and  ${}^{162}$ Er( ${}^{19}$ F,7n); measured E $\alpha$  with a semiconductor detector.  ${}^{174}$ Ir activity was assigned on the basis of cross bombardments and excitation functions.

## <sup>170</sup>Re Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	Comments
0.0	$(5^{+})$	
20.13 23	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	E(level): 20.1 or 190.2; order of $20.2\gamma$ and $190.2\gamma$ not established.
210.32 19	$(7^{+})$	$I(\gamma+ce)$ imbalance at the 210 level in $5316\alpha-\gamma$ coin implies that additional transition(s) feed the 210 level.
370.1 6		See comment on $122\gamma$ multipolarity.

 $^{\dagger}$  From least-squares fit to Ey.

<sup>‡</sup> Adopted values.

### $\alpha$ radiations

Eα	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\alpha^{\ddagger}$	$\mathrm{HF}^{\dagger}$	Comments				
5316 <i>10</i>	370.1	12 <i>1</i>	2.5 5	Eα,Iα: from 1992Sc16.				
5478 6	210.32	88 2	1.9 3	Eα from 1967Si02. Iα from 1992Sc16.				

<sup>†</sup> Using  $r_0=1.55 \ I$  (based on  $r_0=1.553 \ I4$  from <sup>174</sup>Pt  $\alpha$  decay and  $r_0=1.54 \ 3$  from <sup>174</sup>Os  $\alpha$  decay (1998Ak04)).

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.025 3.

## $\gamma(^{170}\text{Re})$

#### **Intensities from** $\alpha \gamma$ **coin (1992Sc16):**

Eγ		5478 <i>α-γ</i> c	oin	5316 $\alpha$ -	$\gamma$ coin		
K x ray(R	e)	9 1		5.	68		
20.2		2.6 10		-			
122.2		-		1.3	3		
159.8		-		1.2	4		
190.2		17 2		1.5	5		
210.3		63 5		5.9	11		
E <sub>γ</sub> I	γ <b>†@</b>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f  \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
20.2 4 2	.6 10	20.13	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0 (5 <sup>+</sup> )	(E1)	5.7 4	$\alpha$ (L)=4.40 25; $\alpha$ (M)=1.05 7; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.274 16 $\alpha$ (N)=0.240 14; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0323 18; $\alpha$ (P)=0.00085 4 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : reported only in spectrum gated by 5478 $\alpha$ but $\gamma$ present also

				<sup>174</sup> <b>Ir</b> a	e decay (5.01 s)	1992So	c16 (continued)
$\gamma(^{170}\text{Re})$ (continued)							
Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f = \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
							in spectrum gated by $5316\alpha$ . Mult.: intensity balance at 20 level requires mult( $20\gamma$ )=E1 if mult( $190\gamma$ )=E1, E2 or M1.
<sup>x</sup> 122.2 4	1.7 <sup>#</sup> 4				[M1] <sup>‡</sup>	2.91 5	$\alpha$ (K)=2.41 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.387 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0885 15; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.0253 5 $\alpha$ (N)=0.0215 4; $\alpha$ (Q)=0.00361 6; $\alpha$ (P)=0.000263 5
							$I_{\gamma}$ : observed only in spectrum gated by 5316 $\alpha$ .
159.8 <i>5</i>	1.6 <sup>#</sup> 5	370.1		210.32 (7+	() [M1,E2] <sup>‡</sup>	1.0 4	$\alpha(K)=0.75; \alpha(L)=0.246; \alpha(M)=0.05817; \alpha(N+)=0.0165 \alpha(N)=0.0144; \alpha(O)=0.00215; \alpha(P)=7.E-55$
							$I_{\gamma}$ : observed only in spectrum gated by 5316 $\alpha$ . However, if Ti(159.8)=I(5316 $\alpha$ )=12 <i>I</i> , one would expect $I_{\gamma}$ =5.7 <i>I3</i> ; possibly this is not the only transition deexciting the 370 level (see comment on 122 $\gamma$ multipolarity).
190.2 2	17 2	210.32	(7 <sup>+</sup> )	20.13 (6-	(E1)	0.0732	$\alpha$ (K)=0.0605 9; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00982 14; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00224 4; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000628 9
							$\alpha(N)=0.000536\ 8;\ \alpha(O)=8.64\times10^{-5}\ 13;$
							$\alpha$ (P)=5.07×10 ° 8 Mult.: intensity balance at 20 level (with
							mult( $(20\gamma)$ =E1) implies mult( $(190\gamma)$ =E1, but E2 cannot be ruled out
210.3 2	63 5	210.32	(7 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0 (5+	(E2)	0.270	$\alpha(K)=0.1482\ 21;\ \alpha(L)=0.0926\ 14;\ \alpha(M)=0.0231$
							4; $\alpha(N+)=0.00634$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.00552$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.000811$ 12;
							$\alpha(P) = 1.294 \times 10^{-5} 19$
							Mult.: $\Delta \pi$ =(no) from level scheme; E2 is consistent with I(K x ray), M1 is not.

<sup>†</sup> Photon intensities per 100  $\alpha$  decays; from 5478 $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  coin (1992Sc16), except as noted. The authors normalized intensities for 5478 $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  coin so Ti(190 $\gamma$ +210 $\gamma$ ) $\approx$ 100.

<sup>‡</sup> The  $5316\alpha$ - $\gamma$  data and the adopted level scheme imply an intensity imbalance at the 210 level. This imbalance could be removed if the unplaced  $122\gamma$  were assumed to be part of a  $122\gamma$ -38 $\gamma$  cascade connecting the 370 and 210 levels, provided mult( $122\gamma$ )=M1 and mult( $160\gamma$ )=M1,E2; the implied 38 keV transition may be too highly converted for the 38 $\gamma$  to have been detected. M1 multipolarity for the  $122\gamma$  would also increase the expected I(K x ray, Re) to a value consistent with the reported one.

<sup>#</sup> I $\gamma$  reported for 5316 $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  coin, scaled by evaluator so Ti(190 $\gamma$ +210 $\gamma$ )=12 for that spectrum, assuming adopted multipolarities for those transitions.

<sup>(a)</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.025 3.

<sup>&</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>*x*</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

# <sup>174</sup>Ir α decay (5.01 s) 1992Sc16

## Decay Scheme



Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays



<sup>170</sup><sub>75</sub>Re<sub>95</sub>