#### $^{170}{\rm Hf}\,\varepsilon$ decay 1969Tr02

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin <sup>1</sup> , E. A. Mccutchan <sup>2</sup> , S. Basunia <sup>1</sup>	NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent: <sup>170</sup>Hf: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=16.01$  h 13;  $Q(\varepsilon)=1052$  33;  $\mathscr{H}\varepsilon+\mathscr{H}\beta^+$  decay=100.0 Sources produced, typically, by <sup>175</sup>Lu(p,6n) and <sup>171</sup>Yb( $\alpha$ ,5n).

1970Ch17: measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ .

1969Tr02: E=66 MeV; measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , E(ce), I(ce), ce $\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

1968Ab08: measured  $\beta\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $T_{1/2}$ .

1966Ha23: measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , I(ce).

Other: 2000La11.

The decay scheme is that of 1969Tr02; portions of the scheme from 1966Ha23 differ significantly.

# <sup>170</sup>Lu Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	$T_{1/2}^{\#}$	Comments
0.0@	$0^{+}$		
$44.50^{@}5$	2+	3.00 ns 6	
92.89 9	(4) <sup>-</sup>	0.67 s 10	from decay scheme, a 4.2% 12 intensity imbalance occurs at this level; no $\varepsilon$ feeding is
			expected. See comment on 96 level.
96.01 <i>10</i>	(3)-		T <sub>1/2</sub> : from Adopted Levels. J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : probable configuration=(( $\pi$ 7/2[404])+( $\nu$ 1/2[521])) (1969Tr02). J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : possible configuration ( $\pi$ 1/2[541])-( $\nu$ 7/2[633]) (1988So04, who predict the ( $\pi$ 7/2[404])-( $\nu$ 1/2[521]) configuration suggested for this level in 1969Tr02 to be at higher energy).
			No transition has been observed to deexcite the 96 level. If a 3.09 transition to the 92.89 $(4)^-$ level existed, it presumably could not have been detected, but the other possible transitions (both $\Delta K=(3)$ ) should have been within the experimental range of 1969Tr02. $I(\gamma+ce)=19.5$ would be needed in order to remove the intensity imbalance at the 93 level, consistent with the expected absence of an $\varepsilon$ branch to that level from the 0 <sup>+</sup> parent.
98.49 <sup>@</sup> 6	1+		E(level): note that adopted band assignment differs from that shown here and In 1969Tr02 and 1988So04.
114.87 7	(3)+		
116.00 7	$(1)^{+}$		
164.71 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	1-	3.90 ns 20	
170.00 7	2+		
176.70 <sup>@</sup> 11	3+		
198.37 6	1+		
212.49 <sup>&amp;</sup> 7	$1^{-},2^{-}$		
244.81 <sup>b</sup> 6	1-		
283.86 <sup>b</sup> 6	(2)-		
304.14 10	0-,1-,2-		E(level): order of $481\gamma$ -208 $\gamma$ cascade not established, so E=577.28 <i>16</i> is also possible. However, E=304 is favored by the tentative placement of the 511 $\gamma$ from the 815 level.
349.00 10	1+		Configuration ( $\pi$ 5/2[402])-( $\nu$ 7/2[633]) bandhead suggested in 1988So04, but (( $\pi$ 1/2[541])+( $\nu$ 1/2[521])) suggested in 1969Tr02.
407.47 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 6	$(0)^{-}$		
436.90? 10	(0+)		Possible configuration ( $\pi$ 1/2[541])-( $\nu$ 1/2[521]) (1969Tr02) not adopted; see comment in Adopted Levels.
470.24 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 6	1-		
785.46 6	$1^{+}$		
801.70 10	(1-)		
814.60 8	$(1)^{-}$		
923.20 15	21		

# <sup>170</sup>Hf $\varepsilon$ decay **1969Tr02** (continued)

# <sup>170</sup>Lu Levels (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ , by evaluators.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  measurement (1968Ab08), except where noted.

<sup>@</sup> Band(A):  $K^{\pi}=0^+$  g.s. band. Configuration ( $\pi$  7/2[404])-( $\nu$  7/2[633]) (1969Tr02). Odd J members exhibit Newby shift.

- <sup>&</sup> Band(B):  $K^{\pi}=1^{-}$  band. Configuration ( $\pi$  7/2[404])-( $\nu$  5/2[512]) (1969Tr02).
- <sup>*a*</sup> Band(C): proposed  $K^{\pi}=0^{-}$  band; configuration ( $\pi$  1/2[411])-( $\nu$  1/2[521]) (1969Tr02).

<sup>b</sup> Band(D):  $K^{\pi}=1^{-}$  band. Configuration ( $\pi$  1/2[411])+( $\nu$  1/2[521]) (1969Tr02).

### $\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\varepsilon^{\dagger \#}$	$\log ft^{\dagger}$	Comments
(1.3×10 <sup>2</sup> <sup>@</sup> 3)	923.20	0.084 23	6.8 5	εK=0.59 18; εL=0.30 13; εM+=0.11 5
$(2.4 \times 10^2 @ 3)$	814.60	0.18 5	7.21 20	εK=0.743 20; εL=0.194 14; εM+=0.063 6
$(2.5 \times 10^2 @ 3)$	801.70	0.26 8	7.11 20	εK=0.749 17; εL=0.190 12; εM+=0.061 5
$(2.7 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	785.46	43 12	4.96 18	εK=0.756 14; εL=0.185 10; εM+=0.059 4
$(5.8 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	470.24	3.0 9	6.91 14	εK=0.8036 19; εL=0.1498 14; εM+=0.0466 5
$(6.2 \times 10^2 @ 3)$	436.90?	0.0088 24	9.50 13	εK=0.8055 17; εL=0.1484 12; εM+=0.0461 5
$(6.4 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	407.47	6.0 17	6.71 14	εK=0.8069 15; εL=0.1474 11; εM+=0.0457 4
$(7.0 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	349.00	1.2 4	7.49 15	εK=0.8094 12; εL=0.1456 9; εM+=0.0451 4
$(7.7 \times 10^2 @ 3)$	283.86	0.42 18	$8.32^{1u} 20$	εK=0.781 3; εL=0.1660 20; εM+=0.0528 8
$(8.1 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	244.81	1.0 3	7.70 14	εK=0.8128 9; εL=0.1431 7; εM+=0.04415 24
$(8.4 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	212.49	3.2 10	7.23 <sup>‡</sup> 14	εK=0.8136 8; εL=0.1425 6; εM+=0.04392 22
$(8.5 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	198.37	15 4	6.58 12	εK=0.8140 8; εL=0.1422 6; εM+=0.04382 21
$(8.9 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	164.71	2.7 9	7.36 15	εK=0.8148 8; εL=0.1416 6; εM+=0.04361 19
$(9.4 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	116.00	3.4 10	7.31 14	εK=0.8158 7; εL=0.1408 5; εM+=0.04333 17
$(9.4 \times 10^2 @ 3)$	114.87	1.0 3	7.84 14	εK=0.8159 7; εL=0.1408 5; εM+=0.04332 17
$(9.5 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	98.49	2.3 7	7.49 14	εK=0.8162 6; εL=0.1406 5; εM+=0.04323 17
$(1.05 \times 10^3 \ 3)$	0.0	≤40	≥6.3	εK=0.8179 5; εL=0.1393 4; εM+=0.04277 13

<sup>†</sup> I $\varepsilon$  is from intensity imbalance At each level, assigning  $0.5I\gamma\pm0.5I\gamma$  for transitions with uncertain placement. The indicated uncertainties in I $\varepsilon$  are those which stem from uncertainty in g.s.  $\varepsilon$  branching;  $\Delta I\gamma$  is not given in 1969Tr02. Also, unplaced lines could influence weak branches significantly (2.4% of I $\gamma$  remains unplaced). Consequently,  $\Delta(\log ft)$  represents a lower limit, and log ft values for weak branches do not constitute reliable arguments for J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> assignments.

<sup>‡</sup> Apparently too low to allow J(213)=2; log  $f^{1u}t < 8.5$  for I $\varepsilon > 0.5\%$ .

<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>@</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{170}Lu)$ 

Iγ normalization: no  $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  branch to g.s. has been observed. log ft > 6.4 is expected for this 0<sup>+</sup> to 0<sup>+</sup>, isospin-forbidden transition; this implies  $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  branching<40% to g.s. The evaluators, therefore, assume  $\%\varepsilon + \beta^+ = 20\ 20$  to g.s., so  $\Sigma$  (I( $\gamma$ +ce) to g.s.)=80% 20.  $\Delta$ (I $\gamma$  normalization) allows only for uncertainty in branching to g.s.; it would rise to 0.07 if  $\Delta$ I $\gamma$  were 20%.

Data are from 1969Tr02, except as noted. Conversion electron data are given in comments; uncertainty in Ice from 1969Tr02 is  $\approx 20\%$ . Conversion coefficients, when given, are calculated by the evaluator from authors' stated I $\gamma$  and Ice (some values differ from those given in table 3 of 1969Tr02); the authors' normalization gives values consistent with those expected based on subshell ratios for low energy transitions, and with E1 theory for the 164.7, 572.9 and 620.7 keV transitions.  $\alpha$ (K)exp for other E1 transitions are, typically, within about 30% of E1 theory, but those for 541 $\gamma$ , 481 $\gamma$ , 470 $\gamma$  are 40-50% high.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^{@}$	$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{b}}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{a}$	Comments
16.39 <i>10</i>		114.87	(3)+	98.49	1+	E2+(M3)	≈0.03	≈2.52×10 <sup>4</sup>	22.0	ce(L)/(γ+ce)≈0.748; ce(M)/(γ+ce)≈0.200; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)≈0.052 ce(N)/(γ+ce)≈0.0466; ce(O)/(γ+ce)≈0.0055; ce(P)/(γ+ce)≈2.85×10 <sup>-5</sup> M1:M2:M3:N:O=32:180:250:100:20 (1969Tr02). I <sub>γ</sub> : 0.00087, from Ice=22.0 (1969Tr02) and α=25200. Mult.,δ: from subshell ratios, γ is predominantly E2. Authors assign M1+E2 with δ=2.0. However the subshell ratios are also consistent with E2+M3, δ≈0.03 (from M1:M2). The latter is adopted for consistency with level scheme.
28.38 10	0.90	198.37	1+	170.00	2+	M1+E2	0.079	30.9 6		$\alpha$ (L)=23.9 5; $\alpha$ (M)=5.50 11; $\alpha$ (N+)=1.48 3 $\alpha$ (N)=1.288 24; $\alpha$ (O)=0.182 4; $\alpha$ (P)=0.00917 16 L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3=1615:320:280:390:83:70 (1969Tr02). $\delta$ : from L1/L3.
32.35 10	0.30	244.81	1-	212.49	1-,2-	M1+E2	0.09	20.9 4		$\alpha(L)=16.2^{'}3; \alpha(M)=3.72^{'}7; \alpha(N+)=1.002^{'}18$ $\alpha(N)=0.873^{'}16; \alpha(O)=0.1235^{'}22; \alpha(P)=0.00622^{'}11^{'}$ L1:L2:M1=325:75:85 (1969Tr02) $\delta$ : from subshell ratios.
39.06 10	≈0.011	283.86	(2)-	244.81	1-	E2		218 5	≈2.5	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.760 10; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.188 5; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)=0.0481 13 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.0430 12; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.00510 14; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=2.70×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 L2:L3≈100:90 (1969Tr02) I <sub>γ</sub> : from I(γ+ce) and α. I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> : based on I(ce(L23))≈1.90 (1969Tr02) and mult=E2.
44.52 10	1.15	44.50	2+	0.0	0+	E2		114.6 21		$ \begin{array}{l} \alpha(\text{L}) = 87.4 \ 16; \ \alpha(\text{M}) = 21.7 \ 4; \ \alpha(\text{N}+) = 5.55 \ 10 \\ \alpha(\text{N}) = 4.96 \ 9; \ \alpha(\text{O}) = 0.589 \ 11; \ \alpha(\text{P}) = 0.000369 \ 6 \\ \text{L}1:\text{L}2:\text{L}3:\text{M}2:\text{M}3:\text{N} = 73:4830:5800:1285:1550:750} \\ (1969\text{Tr}02). \end{array} $

ω

						1	$^{170}$ Hf $\varepsilon$ d	ecay 196	9 <b>Tr02</b> (co	ntinued)
								$\gamma(^{170}\text{Lu})$ (co	ontinued)	
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^{@}$	$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{b}}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{a}$	Comments
47.80 10	13.3	212.49	1-,2-	164.71	1-	M1+E2	0.048	5.43 9		$\alpha$ (L)=4.22 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.953 15; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.260 4 $\alpha$ (N)=0.225 4; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0330 5; $\alpha$ (P)=0.00197 3 L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:N=4500:540:150:1030:110:300 (1969Tr02).
48.42 10		92.89	(4)-	44.50	2+	M2		223	19.5	6. from substent ratios. $ce(L)/(\gamma+ce)=0.754 \ 9; \ ce(M)/(\gamma+ce)=0.189 \ 4;$ $ce(N+)/(\gamma+ce)=0.0518 \ 12$ $ce(N)/(\gamma+ce)=0.0452 \ 11; \ ce(O)/(\gamma+ce)=0.00635 \ 15;$ $ce(P)/(\gamma+ce)=0.000311 \ 8$ L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3:N=910:90:390:280:40:110:100 (1969Tr02). L : 0.0871 from Ice=19.50 (1969Tr02) and $\alpha$
54.03 10	5.00	98.49	1+	44.50	2+	M1		3.67		$\alpha(L)=2.85 5; \alpha(M)=0.641 10; \alpha(N+)=0.175 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.1515 23; \alpha(O)=0.0224 4; \alpha(P)=0.001381 21$ 1.1:12:M1:N=1260:140:310:90 (1969Tr02)
55.19 10	5.10	170.00	2+	114.87	(3)+	M1+E2	0.13	4.06 7		$\alpha(L)=3.145; \ \alpha(M)=0.719\ 11; \ \alpha(N+)=0.194\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.169\ 3; \ \alpha(O)=0.0242\ 4; \ \alpha(P)=0.001279\ 20$ L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:N=1270:215:150:320:80:80 (1969Tr02). $\delta$ : from L1/L3. however, subshell ratios are not mutually consistent.
62.8 1	0.50	470.24	1-	407.47	(0)-	M1		2.36		$\alpha$ (L)=1.83 3; $\alpha$ (M)=0.413 6; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.1128 17 $\alpha$ (N)=0.0975 15; $\alpha$ (O)=0.01443 22; $\alpha$ (P)=0.000889 14 L1:M1 $\approx$ 85:20; $\alpha$ (L1)exp $\approx$ 1.7 (1969Tr02)
70.42 10	1.00	114.87	(3)+	44.50	2+	M1		10.09		$\alpha(K)=8.40\ 13;\ \alpha(L)=1.313\ 20;\ \alpha(M)=0.295\ 5;\ \alpha(N+)=0.0807\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.0697\ 11;\ \alpha(O)=0.01033\ 15;\ \alpha(P)=0.000636\ 10$ $\alpha(L)\exp=1\ 0;\ L1:M1=100:25\ (1969Tr02)$
71.48 10	1.00	116.00	$(1)^{+}$	44.50	2+	M1		9.66		$\alpha(\text{L1}) \approx 10^{\circ}, 21^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 21^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}, 1$
71.58 10	0.40	170.00	2+	98.49	1+	E2		12.99		$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\text{K}) = 1.513 \ 22; \ \alpha(\text{L}) = 8.74 \ 14; \ \alpha(\text{M}) = 2.17 \ 4; \ \alpha(\text{N}+) = 0.558 \ 9 \\ \alpha(\text{N}) = 0.498 \ 8; \ \alpha(\text{O}) = 0.0597 \ 10; \ \alpha(\text{P}) = 0.0001106 \ 16 \\ \text{L}2:\text{L}3:\text{M}2:\text{M}3:\text{N} = 150:162:37:40:20 \ (1969\text{Tr}02). \end{aligned}$
$x72.0^{\circ}$ 1	0.14	244.91	1-	170.00	2+					
80.13 <i>10</i>	2.80	244.81	1 1-	164.71	2 1 <sup>-</sup>	M1		6.96		$\alpha(K)=5.80 \ 9; \ \alpha(L)=0.901 \ 13; \ \alpha(M)=0.203 \ 3; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0554 \ 8 \ \alpha(N)=0.0479 \ 7; \ \alpha(O)=0.00709 \ 11; \ \alpha(P)=0.000437 \ 7 \ \alpha(K)\exp=5.96; \ K:L1:L2:M1=1670:240:20:65 \ (1969Tr02) \ E_{w}: \ 80.19 \ in \ tables \ 3 \ and \ 4 \ of \ 1969Tr02.$
98.55 10	15.0	98.49	1+	0.0	0+	M1		3.84		$\alpha(K)=3.20$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.495$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.1113$ 16; $\alpha(N+)=0.0304$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0263$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00389$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000240$ 4 $\alpha(K)\exp=2.52$ (1969Tr02) K:L1:L2:M1:N=3780:690:60:170:45 (1969Tr02). ce(K) unresolved
99.93 10	9.00	198.37	1+	98.49	1+	M1+E2	0.61	3.60 6		from ce(L3, 44.5). $\alpha(K)=2.51 4$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.839 13$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.200 3$ ; $\alpha(N+)=0.0528 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.0465 7$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.00612 9$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000183 3$ $\alpha(K)\exp=2.51 (1969Tr02)$

 $^{170}_{71}\mathrm{Lu}_{99}$ -4

						170	$^{0}$ Hf $\varepsilon$ dec	ay 1969	Tr02 (continued)
							<u>γ(</u>	( <sup>170</sup> Lu) (coi	ntinued)
${\rm E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^{@}$	$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{b}}$	Comments
									K:L1:L2:L3:M:N=2260:315:250:205:200:50 (1969Tr02). $\delta$ : from $\alpha$ (K)exp
×112.8& 1	≈0.40								o. nom a(k)exp.
113.9 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 1	0.80	283.86	$(2)^{-}$	170.00	2+				
<sup>x</sup> 115.0 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	≈0.90								
115.95 <i>10</i>	2.90	116.00	(1)+	0.0	0+	[M1]		2.41	$\alpha(K)=2.01 \ 3; \ \alpha(L)=0.310 \ 5; \ \alpha(M)=0.0697 \ 10; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0191 \ 3 \ \alpha(N)=0.01646 \ 24; \ \alpha(O)=0.00244 \ 4; \ \alpha(P)=0.0001506 \ 22 \ \alpha(K)exp=0.62; \ K:L1=180:35 \ (1969Tr02) \ I_{\gamma}: \ 3.5 \ 4 \ in \ 1970Ch17, \ but \ this \ may \ include \ I(115\gamma).$ Mult.: E2(+M1) from $\alpha(K)exp, \ but \ K/L1=5 \ cf. \ 10 \ and \ 7 \ for \ E2 \ and \ M1, \ respectively, \ favors \ M1; \ feeds \ 0^+ \ state \ so \ cannot \ be \ M1+E2. \ I(ceK) \ probably \ includes \ ce(M1) \ of \ 55.2\gamma \ (1969Tr02).$
<sup>x</sup> 116.9 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	≈1.70								
<sup>x</sup> 117.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	≈2.00								
119.15 <i>10</i>	3.80	283.86	(2)-	164.71	1-	M1(+E2)	<1.3	2.07 16	$\alpha(K)=1.5 4; \alpha(L)=0.45 17; \alpha(M)=0.11 5; \alpha(N+)=0.028 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.025 10; \alpha(O)=0.0033 11; \alpha(P)=0.00011 4$ $\alpha(K)\exp=1.47; K:L1=560:75 (1969Tr02)$ Mult., $\delta$ : from $\alpha(L1)\exp$ , allowing 30% uncertainty in $\alpha(L1)\exp$ .
120.19 <i>10</i>	68.5	164.71	1-	44.50	2+	E1		0.216	$\alpha(K)=0.179 \ 3; \ \alpha(L)=0.0290 \ 5; \ \alpha(M)=0.00653 \ 10; \ \alpha(N+)=0.001733 \ 25 \ \alpha(N)=0.001514 \ 22; \ \alpha(O)=0.000209 \ 3; \ \alpha(P)=9.88\times10^{-6} \ 14 \ \alpha(K)exp=0.146 \ K:L1:L2:L3:M1:N=1000:145:37:42:50:13 \ (1969Tr02). \ I_{\gamma}: \ other: \ 65 \ 6 \ in \ 1970Ch17.$
123.6 <mark>&amp;c</mark> 1	0.13	407.47	$(0)^{-}$	283.86	(2)-				
<sup>x</sup> 127.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.14	156.50	2+	44.50	2+			1 42 2 4	
132.20 10	0.20	176.70	3*	44.50	2*	M1+E2		1.42 24	$\alpha(K)=0.95; \alpha(L)=0.3615; \alpha(M)=0.094; \alpha(N+)=0.02310$ $\alpha(N)=0.0209; \alpha(O)=0.002610; \alpha(P)=7.E-54$ Mult.: from Adopted Gammas. $\alpha(K)=3.0$ , but Ice imprecise due to
x139.2& 1	0.08								May connect 315.6 and 176.7 levels As In Adopted Levels, Gammas
x143.6 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.00								Weak line.
146.32 10	5.20	244.81	1-	98.49	1+	E1		0.1290	$\alpha(K)=0.1072 \ 16; \ \alpha(L)=0.01697 \ 24; \ \alpha(M)=0.00381 \ 6; \ \alpha(N+)=0.001016 \ 15 \ \alpha(N)=0.000886 \ 13; \ \alpha(O)=0.0001238 \ 18; \ \alpha(P)=6.09\times10^{-6} \ 9 \ \alpha(K)\exp=0.077(1969Tr02) \ I_{\gamma}: \ other: \ 5.6 \ 6 \ in \ 1970Ch17.$
<sup>x</sup> 147.7 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1 153.9 1	0.30	198.37	1+	44.50	2+	M1+E2	0.84	0.914	Weak line. $\alpha(K)=0.670 \ 10; \ \alpha(L)=0.188 \ 3; \ \alpha(M)=0.0446 \ 7; \ \alpha(N+)=0.01183 \ 17$ $\alpha(N)=0.01039 \ 15; \ \alpha(O)=0.001391 \ 20; \ \alpha(P)=4.72\times10^{-5} \ 7$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.67$
162.65 10	6.20	407.47	(0)-	244.81	1-	[M1]		0.922	α(K)=0.770 11; α(L)=0.1182 17; α(M)=0.0266 4; α(N+)=0.00727 11

S

						$^{170}\mathbf{Hf}\varepsilon$	decay	1969Tr	02 (continued)
							$\gamma(^{170}]$	Lu) (conti	nued)
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^{@}$	$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{b}}$	Comments
									$\alpha$ (N)=0.00628 9; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000931 14; $\alpha$ (P)=5.76×10 <sup>-5</sup> 9 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.435; K:L12=270:41 (1969Tr02) Mult., $\delta$ : $\alpha$ (L12)exp midway between E1 and E2,M1 theory; mult=M1+E2 from $\alpha$ (K)exp, but E2 component inconsistent with placement; inconsistency attributable to interference from ce(N)(99.9 $\gamma$ ).
164.71 10	119.5	164.71	1-	0.0	0+	El		0.0946	$\alpha(K)=0.0788 \ II; \ \alpha(L)=0.01232 \ I8; \ \alpha(M)=0.00276 \ 4; \ \alpha(N+)=0.000739 \ II \ \alpha(N)=0.000644 \ 9; \ \alpha(O)=9.05\times10^{-5} \ I3; \ \alpha(P)=4.55\times10^{-6} \ 7 \ \alpha(K)\exp=0.080 \ K:L1:L2:L3:M=950:100:20:22:33 \ (1969Tr02)$
168.0 <i>1</i>	1.80	212.49	1-,2-	44.50	2+	E1		0.0898	$\alpha(K)=0.0748 \ II; \ \alpha(L)=0.01168 \ I7; \ \alpha(M)=0.00262 \ 4; \ \alpha(N+)=0.000701 \ I0 \ \alpha(N)=0.000611 \ 9; \ \alpha(O)=8.59\times10^{-5} \ I2; \ \alpha(P)=4.33\times10^{-6} \ 6 \ \alpha(K)=x_{P}\approx0.11$
169.0 <i>1</i>	2.70	283.86	(2) <sup>-</sup>	114.87	(3)+	E1		0.0885	$\alpha(K) = 0.0737 \ 11; \ \alpha(L) = 0.01150 \ 17; \ \alpha(M) = 0.00258 \ 4; \ \alpha(N+) = 0.000690 \ 10 \ \alpha(N) = 0.000601 \ 9; \ \alpha(O) = 8.45 \times 10^{-5} \ 12; \ \alpha(P) = 4.27 \times 10^{-6} \ 6 \ \alpha(K) \exp \approx 0.074$
<sup>x</sup> 183.9 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.14								
185.4 1	0.95	283.86	$(2)^{-}$	98.49	$1^{+}$				
186.5 <i>1</i>	0.30	470.24	1-	283.86	$(2)^{-}$				
187.87 10	0.40	283.86	(2)-	96.01	(3)-	M1		0.616	$\alpha$ (K)=0.515 8; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0788 12; $\alpha$ (M)=0.01772 25; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.00485 7 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00419 6; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000621 9; $\alpha$ (P)=3.84×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.55
<sup>x</sup> 189.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.25								
191.0 <i>1</i>	0.30	283.86	(2)-	92.89	(4)-				
198.48 10	0.62	198.37	1+	0.0	0+	MI		0.529	$\alpha(K)=0.442$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0676$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.01520$ 22; $\alpha(N+)=0.00416$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00359$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000532$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.30\times10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.60$
208.1 <i>1</i>	12.20	304.14	0-,1-,2-	96.01	(3)-	M1(+E2)	≤0.8	0.42 5	$\alpha(K)=0.345; \alpha(L)=0.0623; \alpha(M)=0.01429; \alpha(N+)=0.0038320$ $\alpha(N)=0.0033319; \alpha(O)=0.00047612; \alpha(P)=2.5\times10^{-5}4$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.393; K:L1:M=480:53:18(1969Tr02)$ $I_{\gamma}: other: 18.819 in 1970Ch17 for (208.1\gamma+209.3\gamma).$ $\delta: 0.3+5-3 from K/M: 0.74 from L1/M.$
209.3 1	2.30	407.47	(0) <sup>-</sup>	198.37	1+	(E1)		0.0509	$\alpha(K) = 0.0425 \ 6; \ \alpha(L) = 0.00651 \ 10; \ \alpha(M) = 0.001458 \ 21; \ \alpha(N+) = 0.000391 \ 6$ $\alpha(N) = 0.000340 \ 5; \ \alpha(O) = 4.83 \times 10^{-5} \ 7; \ \alpha(P) = 2.53 \times 10^{-6} \ 4$ $\alpha(K) \exp \approx 0.087$
<sup>x</sup> 218.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.35								
225.5 1	3.90	470.24	1-	244.81	1-	M1		0.372	$\alpha$ (K)=0.311 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0474 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.01066 15; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.00291 4 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00252 4; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000373 6; $\alpha$ (P)=2.32×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.36 K:L12:M=140:30:8 (1969Tr02). I <sub>Y</sub> : other: 7.1 7 in 1970Ch17.
242.75 10	0.36	407.47	(0) <sup>-</sup>	164.71	1-	M1		0.304	Mult.: from $\alpha$ (K)exp. $\alpha$ (K)=0.254 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0387 6; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00869 13; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.00238 4

 $^{170}_{71}Lu_{99}$ -6

						17	$^{70}$ Hf $\varepsilon$ d	lecay 1969Tr	02 (contin	ued)
								$\gamma(^{170}Lu)$ (contin	nued)	
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^{@}$	$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{b}}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{a}$	Comments
257.8 1	0.40	470.24	1-	212.49	1-,2-	M1+E2	≈1.5	≈0.1638		$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(N) = 0.00205 \ 3; \ \alpha(O) = 0.000305 \ 5; \ \alpha(P) = 1.89 \times 10^{-5} \ 3 \\ &\alpha(K) \exp = 0.333 \\ &\alpha(K) \approx 0.1229; \ \alpha(L) \approx 0.0315; \ \alpha(M) \approx 0.00742; \ \alpha(N+) \approx 0.00197 \\ &\alpha(N) \approx 0.001729; \ \alpha(O) \approx 0.000233; \ \alpha(P) \approx 8.38 \times 10^{-6} \\ &\alpha(K) \exp = 0.13 \end{aligned}$
$x^{2}62.0^{\&} 1$ $x^{2}69.0^{\&} 1$	0.40 0.50									
<sup>x</sup> 278.8 <sup>cc</sup> 1 291.4 1	0.40 4.80	407.47	(0)-	116.00	(1) <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.0222		$\alpha(K)=0.0186 \ 3; \ \alpha(L)=0.00278 \ 4; \ \alpha(M)=0.000621 \ 9; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0001673 \ 24$
<sup>x</sup> 304.2 1	1.37					M1		0.1647		$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(N) = 0.0001453 \ 21; \ \alpha(O) = 2.09 \times 10^{-5} \ 3; \ \alpha(P) = 1.147 \times 10^{-6} \ 16 \\ &\alpha(K) \exp = 0.025 \\ &\alpha(K) = 0.1379 \ 20; \ \alpha(L) = 0.0209 \ 3; \ \alpha(M) = 0.00469 \ 7; \\ &\alpha(N+) = 0.001282 \ 18 \end{aligned}$
308.9 <i>1</i>	9.4	407.47	(0)-	98.49	1+	E1		0.0192		$\alpha(N)=0.001107 \ 16; \ \alpha(O)=0.0001643 \ 23; \ \alpha(P)=1.022\times10^{-5} \ 15 \ \alpha(K)\exp=0.153 \ (1969Tr02)$ $E_{\gamma}: \ fits \ 304.2 \ to \ g.s. \ transition, but mult not \ consistent. \ \alpha(K)=0.01614 \ 23; \ \alpha(L)=0.00240 \ 4; \ \alpha(M)=0.000536 \ 8; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0001447 \ 21 \ \alpha(N)=0.0001256 \ 18; \ \alpha(O)=1.81\times10^{-5} \ 3; \ \alpha(P)=1.001\times10^{-6} \ 14 \ \alpha(K)\exp=0.021 \ L_{\gamma}: \ there \ 12.4 \ 12 \ in \ 1070Ch \ 17 \ Angle$
<sup>x</sup> 310.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1 315.4 1 349.0 1	$0.43 \\ 0.49 \\ 4.90$	785.46 349.00	$\frac{1^{+}}{1^{+}}$	470.24	$1^{-}_{0^{+}}$	M1		0.1140		$\alpha(K) = 0.0955 \ 14$ : $\alpha(L) = 0.01440 \ 21$ : $\alpha(M) = 0.00323 \ 5$ :
				0.0	Ũ			011110		$\alpha(N) = 0.000884 \ I3$ $\alpha(N) = 0.000764 \ I1; \ \alpha(O) = 0.0001134 \ I6; \ \alpha(P) = 7.06 \times 10^{-6} \ I0$ $\alpha(K) \exp = 0.129; \ K:L1 = 63:11 \ (1969 Tr02)$
378.0 <i>1</i> 425.7 <i>1</i>	0.56 3.96	785.46 470.24	1+ 1-	407.47 44.50	$(0)^{-}$ 2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.00904 13		$\alpha = 0.00904 \ 13; \ \alpha(K) = 0.00761 \ 11; \ \alpha(L) = 0.001107 \ 16; \alpha(M) = 0.000247 \ 4; \ \alpha(N+) = 6.69 \times 10^{-5} \ 10 \alpha(N) = 5.80 \times 10^{-5} \ 9; \ \alpha(O) = 8.42 \times 10^{-6} \ 12; \ \alpha(P) = 4.84 \times 10^{-7} \ 7$
436.9 <sup>c</sup> 1		436.90?	(0+)	0.0	0+	(E0)			0.04	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0101 K:L=3.3:0.6 (1969Tr02) ce(K)/ce=0.86.
<sup>x</sup> 462.0 1	≈0.20					M1		0.0545		Mult.: ce is observed, but $\gamma$ is not (1969Tr02). $\alpha(K)=0.04577; \alpha(L)=0.00683 10; \alpha(M)=0.001532 22;$ $\alpha(N+)=0.000419 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.000362 5; \alpha(O)=5.38\times10^{-5} 8; \alpha(P)=3.36\times10^{-6} 5$
470.2 1	2.40	470.24	1-	0.0	0+	E1		0.00723 11		$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.11 (1969Tr02) $\alpha$ =0.00723 <i>11</i> ; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00610 <i>9</i> ; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000881 <i>13</i> ;

From ENSDF

						<sup>170</sup> <b>H</b>	If $\varepsilon$ decay 1	969Tr02 (continued)
							$\gamma(^{170}Lu)$	(continued)
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	α <b>b</b>	Comments
481.3 <i>I</i>	16.7	785.46	1+	304.14 0	-,1-,2-	E1	0.00686 10	$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(M) = 0.000196 \ 3; \ \alpha(N+) = 5.32 \times 10^{-5} \ 8\\ &\alpha(N) = 4.61 \times 10^{-5} \ 7; \ \alpha(O) = 6.71 \times 10^{-6} \ 10; \ \alpha(P) = 3.90 \times 10^{-7} \ 6\\ &\alpha(K) \exp = 0.0092\\ &\alpha = 0.00686 \ 10; \ \alpha(K) = 0.00579 \ 9; \ \alpha(L) = 0.000835 \ 12; \ \alpha(M) = 0.000186 \ 3; \\ &\alpha(N+) = 5.05 \times 10^{-5} \ 7\\ &\alpha(N) = 4.37 \times 10^{-5} \ 7; \ \alpha(O) = 6.37 \times 10^{-6} \ 9; \ \alpha(P) = 3.71 \times 10^{-7} \ 6 \end{aligned}$
<sup>x</sup> 494.8 1	0.18					M1	0.0456	$\alpha(\mathbf{K})\exp=0.0085$ Mult, $\alpha(\mathbf{K})\exp$ : for doubly-placed $\gamma$ . $\alpha(\mathbf{K})=0.0383$ 6; $\alpha(\mathbf{L})=0.00571$ 8; $\alpha(\mathbf{M})=0.001279$ 18; $\alpha(\mathbf{N}+)=0.000350$ 5 $\alpha(\mathbf{N})=0.000302$ 5; $\alpha(\mathbf{O})=4.49\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\mathbf{P})=2.81\times10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(\mathbf{K})\exp=0.050$
501.6 <i>1</i>	16.8	785.46	1+	283.86 (2	2)-	E1	0.00627 9	$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathbf{K}) \exp &= 0.0507 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
510.9 <sup>c</sup> 1	0.74	814.60	(1)-	304.14 0	-,1-,2-	M1	0.0420	$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 13.6 <i>I</i> 4 in 19/0Ch17. $\alpha$ (K)=0.0352 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00525 8; $\alpha$ (M)=0.001176 <i>I</i> 7; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000322 5 $\alpha$ (N)=0.000278 4; $\alpha$ (O)=4.13×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6; $\alpha$ (P)=2.59×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.039
x533.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1 540.7 1	0.18 11.1	785.46	1+	244.81 1	_	E1	0.00533 8	$\alpha$ =0.00533 8; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00450 7; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000644 9; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001435 21; $\alpha$ (N+)=3.89×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6 $\alpha$ (N)=3.37×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (O)=4.93×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7; $\alpha$ (P)=2.90×10 <sup>-7</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0066
<sup>x</sup> 554.1 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1 572.9 1	0.28 66.0	785.46	1+	212.49 1	-,2-	E1	0.00471 7	$\alpha$ =0.00471 7; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00398 6; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000568 8; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001265 18; $\alpha$ (N+)=3.43×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 $\alpha$ (N)=2.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (O)=4.35×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6; $\alpha$ (P)=2.57×10 <sup>-7</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (K)exn=0.0041; K:L=27:37 (1969Tr02)
587.1 <i>I</i>	1.20	785.46	1+	198.37 1	+	M1	0.0294	$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 61 6 in 1970Ch17. $\alpha$ (K)=0.0247 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00366 6; $\alpha$ (M)=0.000819 12; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000224 4 $\alpha$ (N)=0.000193 3; $\alpha$ (O)=2.88×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; $\alpha$ (P)=1.81×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.032
$x_{602.2}^{\&}$ 1 $x_{605.2}^{\&}$ 1	0.40							Weak line.
608.8 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 1 615.5 1	0.75 1.70	785.46 785.46	$1^+$ $1^+$	176.70 3 170.00 2	+ +	M1	0.0260	$\alpha$ (K)=0.0219 3; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00324 5; $\alpha$ (M)=0.000725 11; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000198 3 $\alpha$ (N)=0.0001712 24; $\alpha$ (O)=2.55×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; $\alpha$ (P)=1.599×10 <sup>-6</sup> 23
620.7 <i>1</i>	81.7	785.46	1+	164.71 1	_	E1	0.00398 6	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.025 $\alpha$ =0.00398 6; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00337 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000478 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001064 15;

 $\infty$ 

From ENSDF

 $^{170}_{71}Lu_{99}\text{-}8$ 

 $^{170}_{71}$ Lu<sub>99</sub>-8

						17	<sup>0</sup> <b>Hf</b> $\varepsilon$ <b>d</b>	ecay 1969T	r02 (continued)			
$\gamma$ <sup>(170</sup> Lu) (continued)												
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^{@}$	α <b>b</b>	Comments			
									$\alpha$ (N+)=2.89×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (N)=2.50×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; $\alpha$ (O)=3.66×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6; $\alpha$ (P)=2.19×10 <sup>-7</sup> 3 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0035; K:L:M=28.8:3.6:1.0 (1969Tr02) $I_{\gamma}$ : other: 87 9 in 1970Ch17.			
<sup>x</sup> 632.7 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.15											
639.4 <mark>&amp;</mark> <i>c</i> 1	0.08	923.20	2+	283.86	$(2)^{-}$							
<sup>x</sup> 654.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.06											
<sup>x</sup> 661 <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.10											
669.4 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 1	0.75	785.46	$1^{+}$	116.00	$(1)^{+}$							
<sup>x</sup> 674 <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.15											
686.7 <sup>C</sup> 1	1.17	801.70	(1 <sup>-</sup> )	114.87	(3)+				$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0128 Mult.: from $\alpha$ (K)exp, mult=M1(+E2) or E1+M2 with $\delta$ =0.55; from decay scheme, $\Delta \pi$ =ves if mult(757 $\gamma$ )=E1.			
<sup>x</sup> 692.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.11								······································			
<sup>x</sup> 711.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	0.06											
<sup>x</sup> 724 <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.03											
740.8 1	0.83	785.46	1+	44.50	2+	E2(+M1)	≈1.5	≈0.01009	$\alpha(K) \approx 0.00835; \ \alpha(L) \approx 0.001352; \ \alpha(M) \approx 0.000306; \ \alpha(N+) \approx 8.31 \times 10^{-5}$ $\alpha(N) \approx 7.20 \times 10^{-5}; \ \alpha(O) \approx 1.047 \times 10^{-5}; \ \alpha(P) \approx 5.92 \times 10^{-7}$			
746.5 1	0.38	923.20	2+	176.70	3+	M1+E2	≈1.7	≈0.00947	$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.0084$ $\alpha \approx 0.00947; \ \alpha(K) \approx 0.00782; \ \alpha(L) \approx 0.001279; \ \alpha(M) \approx 0.00029;$			
									$\alpha(N+)\approx7.86\times10^{-5}$			
									$\alpha(N) \approx 6.82 \times 10^{-5}$ ; $\alpha(O) \approx 9.88 \times 10^{-5}$ ; $\alpha(P) \approx 5.52 \times 10^{-7}$			
757.1 <sup>c</sup> 1	1.84	801.70	(1 <sup>-</sup> )	44.50	2+	E1		0.00266 4	$\alpha$ = 0.00266 4; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00226 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000317 5; $\alpha$ (M)=7.04×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10; $\alpha$ (N+)=1.91×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3			
									$\alpha(N)=1.655\times10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(O)=2.44\times10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.476\times10^{-7}$ 21			
770.2.1	0.56	814 60	$(1)^{-}$	44 50	2+				$\alpha$ (K)exp $\approx 0.0027$			
$785.5 \frac{\&C}{2}$	0.20	785.46	1+	0.0	$^{2}$ 0+							
801.7 1	1.20	801.70	(1 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	$0^{+}$							
808.1 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 1	0.07	923.20	2+	114.87	$(3)^{+}$							
814.5 1	0.27	814.60	(1)-	0.0	0+							
878.7 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 1	0.13	923.20	$2^{+}$	44.50	2+							
923.1 <sup>&amp;c</sup> 1	0.05	923.20	$2^{+}$	0.0	$0^{+}$							

<sup>†</sup> From table 1 of 1969Tr02 for transitions listed in that table; from tables 4 and 5 otherwise. For several transitions, slight energy differences ( $\leq 0.1$  keV) exist between data in these tables.  $\Delta E=0.1$  for photon data (1969Tr02); internal consistency of ce energies from different subshells is at least of that precision, so evaluator assigns  $\Delta E=0.1$  to all transitions, except 661, 674, 724, which 1969Tr02 quote to nearest keV only.

From ENSDF

 $\gamma(^{170}Lu)$  (continued)

- <sup>‡</sup> Relative photon intensities from 1969Tr02 normalized so I(165 $\gamma$ )=119.50; uncertainties not stated by authors. I $\gamma$  values (±10%) given by 1970Ch17 are in excellent agreement with those of 1969Tr02 for 10 of the 12 lines measured by 1970Ch17.
- <sup>#</sup> From available subshell ratios and/or  $\alpha(K)$ exp.
- <sup>@</sup> From conversion electron intensities; uncertainties unknown.
- & Assignment probable although only photons were observed (1969Tr02).
- <sup>*a*</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.22 6.
- <sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.
- <sup>c</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
- $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

# <sup>170</sup>Hf ε decay 1969Tr02



<sup>170</sup><sub>71</sub>Lu<sub>99</sub>





# <sup>170</sup>Hf ε decay **1969Tr02**

# Decay Scheme (continued)





Legend



<sup>170</sup><sub>71</sub>Lu<sub>99</sub>





<sup>170</sup><sub>71</sub>Lu<sub>99</sub>