

$^{170}\text{Lu IT decay}$     [1965Bj01](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin <sup>1</sup> , E. A. Mccutchan <sup>2</sup> , S. Basunia <sup>1</sup>		NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent:  $^{170}\text{Lu}$ : E=92.91 9;  $J^\pi=(4)^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=0.67$  s 10; %IT decay=100.0See also  $^{170}\text{Hf}$   $\varepsilon$  decay.[1965Bj01](#): sources produced by  $^{170}\text{Yb}(\text{p},\text{n})$ , E=8-13 MeV; scin, magnetic spectrometer; measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ . $^{170}\text{Lu Levels}$ 

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi{}^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}{}^\ddagger$
0.0	$0^+$	
44.51 5	$2^+$	
92.91 9	$(4)^-$	0.67 s 10

<sup>†</sup> From the Adopted Levels.<sup>‡</sup> From pulsed beam and  $\gamma(t)$  measurement ([1965Bj01](#)). $\gamma(^{170}\text{Lu})$  $I\gamma$  normalization: from  $Ti(44.5)=100$  (no  $\gamma$  to g.s. expected from 93 keV  $(4)^-$  level).

$E_\gamma{}^\dagger$	$I_\gamma{}^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\alpha^@$	Comments
44.52 10	100	44.51	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$	E2	114.6 21	$\alpha(L)=87.4$ 16; $\alpha(M)=21.7$ 4; $\alpha(N..)=5.55$ 10 $\alpha(N)=4.96$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.589$ 11; $\alpha(P)=0.000369$ 6 Other $E\gamma$ : 44 in <a href="#">1965Bj01</a> .
48.42 10	51.6	92.91	$(4)^-$	44.51	$2^+$	M2	223	$\alpha(L)=169$ 3; $\alpha(M)=42.4$ 8; $\alpha(N..)=11.61$ 20 $\alpha(N)=10.12$ 17; $\alpha(O)=1.422$ 24; $\alpha(P)=0.0698$ 12 Other $E\gamma$ : 48 in <a href="#">1965Bj01</a> .

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Gammas.<sup>‡</sup> Relative  $I\gamma$  deduced assuming  $\alpha(\text{theory})$  values and  $Ti(44.52)=Ti(48.42)$  (from decay scheme).

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.00865 16.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

**$^{170}\text{Lu IT decay }$**     **1965Bj01****Decay Scheme****Legend**

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays  
%IT=100.0

- >  $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- >  $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- >  $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

