

$^{170}\text{Tm } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$ **1990EgZY,1986Ve01,1985Me18**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin ¹ , E. A. Mccutchan ² , S. Basunia ¹		NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent: ^{170}Tm : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1^-$; $T_{1/2}=128.6$ d 3; $Q(\varepsilon)=312.8$ 18; $\%\varepsilon$ decay=0.131 10

$^{170}\text{Tm}-\%\varepsilon$ decay: based on I(K x ray Er), assuming $\varepsilon K=0.947$, $I(\varepsilon)=0.0297\%$ 19 to 79 level (see comment on branch to 79 level), and $\alpha(K)(79\gamma)$, $\alpha(79\gamma)$, $\varepsilon K(g.s.)$ and $\varepsilon K(79$ level) from theory. I(K x ray Er) data are discrepant (see general comment on these data); the average from [1970Mo07](#), [1985Me18](#), [1986Ve01](#), [1988KuZM](#) and [1990EgZY](#) is 0.102% 8, and the evaluator adopts this in preference to the mass spectrometric determination of [1968CrZY](#) (0.144% 3) for which the measurement details are not known.

Additional information 1.

Others: [1988KuZM](#), [1969Ha20](#), [1969Ne02](#), [1968CrZY](#).

^{170}Tm sources typically are produced by $^{169}\text{Tm}(n,\gamma)$.

See also $^{170}\text{Tm } \beta^-$ decay.

 $^{170}\text{Er Levels}$

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$
0.0	0^+
78.7 5	2^+

† From measured $E\gamma$.

‡ From Adopted Levels.

 ε radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\varepsilon^\dagger$	$\log f_t$	Comments
(234.1 19)	78.7	0.029 3	10.21 6	$\varepsilon K=0.7594$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.1828$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.05773$ 25 I ε : from $I(79\gamma)/I(84\gamma \text{ Yb})=0.00140$ 7, $I(84\gamma)=2.48\%$ 6 and $\alpha(79\gamma)=7.55$.
(312.8 18)	0.0	0.102 8	9.97 5	$\varepsilon K=0.7840$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.1648$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.05116$ 11 I ε : from I(K x ray Er) corrected for contributions from $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ branch to 79 level and internal conversion of 79 transition, assuming $\varepsilon K=0.784$.

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{170}\text{Er})$

I γ normalization: from branching and $I(79\gamma)=0.00347\%$ 19 (the latter from $I(79\gamma)/I(84\gamma \text{ Yb})=0.00140$ 7 and adopted $I(84\gamma)=2.48\%$ 6).

I(K x ray Er) data: 0.089% 5 ([1990EgZY](#)); I(K x ray Er)/ $I(84\gamma \text{ Yb})=0.0344$ 14 ([1970Mo07](#)), 0.0362 7 ([1985Me18](#)), 0.0470 9 ([1986Ve01](#)) which, combined with adopted $I(84\gamma)=2.48\%$ 6, gives I(K x ray Er)=0.085% 3, 0.090% 2, 0.119% 2, respectively; $I(K_{\alpha 1} \text{ x ray})=0.061\%$ 2 ([1988KuZM](#)) [so I(K x ray)=0.122% 4 if $I(K_{\alpha 1} \text{ x ray})/I(K \text{ x ray})=0.509$]. Others: [1969Ha20](#), [1969Ne02](#). Source of discrepancy between data is unclear.

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
78.7 5	0.140 7	78.7	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2	7.45 22	$\alpha(K)=1.74$ 3; $\alpha(L)=4.38$ 15; $\alpha(M)=1.07$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.269$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.241$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.0280$ 10; $\alpha(P)=7.67\times 10^{-5}$ 15 E γ : from 1969Ha20 . I γ : from weighted average of $I\gamma(79)/I\gamma(84, \text{ Yb})=0.00122$ 24 (1970Mo07), 0.00150 20 (1985Me18), 0.00140 8 (1986Ve01).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{170}\text{Tm } \varepsilon$ decay [1990EgZY](#),[1986Ve01](#),[1985Me18](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{170}\text{Er})$ (continued)

E_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
	Other: 1969Ha20 . Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.	

[†] $I\gamma$ relative to $I(84\gamma, \text{Yb})=100$.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0248 [19](#).

[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{170}\text{Tm} \varepsilon$ decay 1990EgZY,1986Ve01,1985Me18Decay SchemeIntensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays