## <sup>170</sup>Ho $β^-$ decay (2.76 min) 1978Ka16,1978Tu04,1974Ka21

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. M. Baglin <sup>1</sup> , E. A. Mccutchan <sup>2</sup> , S. Basunia <sup>1</sup>	NDS 153, 1 (2018)	1-Oct-2018

Parent: <sup>170</sup>Ho: E=0;  $J^{\pi}=(6^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.76 \text{ min } 5$ ;  $Q(\beta^-)=3870 \ 50$ ;  $\%\beta^-$  decay=100.0

Typically, sources have been produced by  ${}^{170}\text{Er}(n,p)$ , E(n)=14 MeV.

1978Ka16: measured E $\beta$ , E $\beta$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin,  $\beta\gamma$  coin,  $\alpha$ (K)exp.

1974Ka21: measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin, E $\beta$ ,  $\beta\gamma$  coin.

1969Sc01: measured E $\beta$ , E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$  coin.

Measured Q( $\beta^{-}$ )=3870 keV 50 (1978Tu04) is the same as that recommended in 2017Wa10.

The adopted decay scheme is that of 1978Ka16; it differs significantly from that of 1974Ka21. The 1147.8  $10 \gamma$ , I $\gamma$ =1.4 8, placed from the 2159 level by 1974Ka21, is absent in 1978Ka16; consequently, it has been omitted here. E $\gamma$  and I $\gamma$  from 1978Ka16 and 1974Ka21 are in satisfactory agreement; however, 1978Ka16 observe many more transitions than 1974Ka21 and report E $\gamma$  with higher precision.

### <sup>170</sup>Er Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$	
78.65 8	2+	
260.22 11	4+	
540.63 15	6+	
934.06? 17	$2^{+}$	
1010.64 14	$(3^{+})$	
1103.47 14	$4^{+}$	
1127.29 15	$4^{+}$	
1217.48 13	$3^{(+)}$	
1236.7 4	$(5^{+})$	
1268.80 13	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	
1304.60 14	$(4^{+})$	
1372.31 14	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	
1413.14 19	$(5^{+})$	
1496.24 15	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	
1590.94 15	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	
1746.03? 20	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	Order of 413 $\gamma$ and 477 $\gamma$ unknown; alternative E(level)=1681.8 2. However, a 1746 level is known from $(n,n'\gamma)$ .
2159.06 16	$(5^+)$	

 $^{\dagger}$  From a least-squares fit to Ey, by evaluators.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

### $\beta^{-}$ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Ιβ <sup>-‡#</sup>	Log ft	Comments
$(1.71 \times 10^3 5)$	2159.06	64 9	5.08 8	av E $\beta$ =632 22
				E(decay): others: 1710 <i>50</i> (1978Tu04), 1650 <i>200</i> (1978Ka16), 1500 (1969Sc01). Additional information 1.
$(2.28 \times 10^3 5)$	1590.94	11.7 19	6.31 8	av Eβ=880 23
				E(decay): others: 2300 200 (1978Ka16), 2000 (1969Sc01).
$(2.46 \times 10^3 5)$	1413.14	0.8 4	7.60 22	av E $\beta$ =959 23
$(2.50 \times 10^3 5)$	1372.31	4.3 24	6.90 25	av E $\beta$ =977 23
$(2.57 \times 10^3 5)$	1304.60	4.5 19	6.93 19	av $E\beta = 1007 \ 23$ I $\beta$ may imply additional, as yet unobserved, $\gamma$ feeding to 1305 level; log <i>ft</i> is low

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $^{170}\mathrm{Ho}\,\beta^-$ decay (2.76 min) 1978Ka16,1978Tu04,1974Ka21 (continued)

### $\beta^-$ radiations (continued)

E(decay)†	E(level)	Ιβ <sup>-‡#</sup>	Log ft	Comments
				for $6^+$ to $4^+$ transition.
$(2.63 \times 10^3 5)$	1236.7	2.9 5	7.17 9	av Eβ=1038 23
$(3.33 \times 10^3 5)$	540.63	1.8 6	7.79 15	av E $\beta$ =1352 23

<sup>†</sup> Other data: 1969Sc01, 1974Ka21, 1978Ka16. The E $\beta$ =3000 300 endpoint reported by 1974Ka21 is attributed to <sup>28</sup>Al in 1978Ka16. <sup>‡</sup> From intensity balance, assigning  $0.5I\gamma \pm 0.5I\gamma$  whenever  $\gamma$  placement is uncertain. <sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

I $\gamma$  normalization: assuming  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce) \text{ to g.s.})=100\%$ .

Data are from 1978Ka16, except as noted.

%I $\gamma$  have been deduced by evaluators.

ω

$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger c}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f = J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\alpha^{d}$	Comments
51.30 10	11.4 8	1268.80	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	1217.48 3(+)	E1	0.355 6	%Iγ=2.6 4 $\alpha$ (L)=0.278 5; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0619 10; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.01581 24 $\alpha$ (N)=0.01398 21; $\alpha$ (O)=0.00177 3; $\alpha$ (P)=6.14×10 <sup>-5</sup> 9 $\alpha$ (exp)≤1.0 from intensity balance at 1217 level (1978Ka16).
<sup>x</sup> 69.3 <sup>@</sup> 3							From coin spectrum; weak.
78.65 8	52 6	78.65	2+	0.0 0+	E2 <sup>b</sup>	7.47	%Iγ=11.63 <i>18</i> $\alpha$ (K)=1.738 25; $\alpha$ (L)=4.39 7; $\alpha$ (M)=1.069 <i>16</i> ; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.270 4 $\alpha$ (N)=0.242 4; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0281 5; $\alpha$ (P)=7.68×10 <sup>-5</sup> <i>11</i> $\alpha$ (exp)=7.1 <i>12</i> (1978Ka16) from intensity balance.
87.16 9	4.8 6	1304.60	(4+)	1217.48 3(+)	M1	4.22	% $I_{\gamma}=1.07 \ 19$ $\alpha(K)=3.54 \ 5; \ \alpha(L)=0.533 \ 8; \ \alpha(M)=0.1182 \ 17; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0318 \ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.0276 \ 4; \ \alpha(O)=0.00398 \ 6; \ \alpha(P)=0.000219 \ 4$ $\alpha(K)\exp=5 \ 3 \ (1978Ka16)$
94.67 8	11.1 9	1590.94	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	1496.24 (6-	) M1	3.33	% $I_{\gamma}=2.5 4$ $\alpha(K)=2.79 4$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.419 6$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0931 14$ ; $\alpha(N+)=0.0250 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0217 3$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.00314 5$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.0001725 25$ $\alpha(K)\exp=2.7 14 (1978Ka16)$
103.54 8	20.5 15	1372.31	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	1268.80 (4-	) M1	2.58	% $I_{\gamma}$ =4.6 7 $\alpha(K)$ =2.16 3; $\alpha(L)$ =0.324 5; $\alpha(M)$ =0.0719 11; $\alpha(N+)$ =0.0193 3 $\alpha(N)$ =0.01676 24; $\alpha(O)$ =0.00242 4; $\alpha(P)$ =0.0001333 19 $\alpha(K)$ exp=2.1 8 (1978Ka16)
123.90 14	16 <i>3</i>	1496.24	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	1372.31 (5-	) (M1,E2)	1.44 11	$\%$ I $\gamma$ =3.6 8 $\alpha$ (K)=1.0 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.37 18; $\alpha$ (M)=0.09 5; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.022 11 $\alpha$ (N)=0.020 10; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0025 11; $\alpha$ (P)=5.E-5 3 $\alpha$ (K)exp=1.1 9 (1978Ka16)
141.50 9	7.8 10	1268.80	(4-)	1127.29 4+	[E1]	0.1293	%I $\gamma$ =1.7 3 $\alpha$ (K)=0.1082 16; $\alpha$ (L)=0.01654 24; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00366 6; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000960 14 $\alpha$ (N)=0.000840 12; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0001146 17; $\alpha$ (P)=5.12×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8
165.36 8	16.9 <i>15</i>	1268.80	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	1103.47 4+	(E1)	0.0856	%I $\gamma$ =3.8 6 $\alpha$ (K)=0.0718 10; $\alpha$ (L)=0.01081 16; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00239 4; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000629 9 $\alpha$ (N)=0.000550 8; $\alpha$ (O)=7.56×10 <sup>-5</sup> 11; $\alpha$ (P)=3.47×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5 $\alpha$ (K)exp≤0.2 (1978Ka16)
181.57 8	108 <i>10</i>	260.22	4+	78.65 2+	E2 <sup>b</sup>	0.348	%I $\gamma$ =24 4 $\alpha$ (K)=0.215 3; $\alpha$ (L)=0.1029 15; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0246 4; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.00629 9 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00560 8; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000685 10; $\alpha$ (P)=9.88×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14 $\alpha$ (exp)=0.33 17 (1978Ka16) from intensity balance.

				$^{170}$ Ho $\beta^-$ decay (2.76 min)			1978Ka16,1978Tu04,1	974Ka21 (cor	ntinued)				
	$\gamma(^{170}\text{Er})$ (continued)												
$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger c}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{d}$	Comments				
218.69 <i>10</i> 227.41 9	5.2 <i>10</i> 16 2	1590.94 1496.24	(6 <sup>-</sup> ) (6 <sup>-</sup> )	1372.31 1268.80	(5 <sup>-</sup> ) (4 <sup>-</sup> )	[E2]		0.1650	%Iγ=1.2 3 %Iγ=3.6 6 $\alpha$ (K)=0.1117 16; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0411 6; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00974 14; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.00250 4 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00222 4; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000277 4; $\alpha$ (P)=5.42×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8				
258.17 9	168 10	1268.80	(4-)	1010.64	(3+)	D+Q <sup>b</sup>			%Iy=38 5				
280.44 11	12 2	540.63	6+	260.22	4+	E2 <sup>b</sup>		0.0849	% $I\gamma$ =2.7 6 $\alpha(K)$ =0.0612 9; $\alpha(L)$ =0.0183 3; $\alpha(M)$ =0.00430 6; $\alpha(N+)$ =0.001112 16 $\alpha(N)$ =0.000984 14; $\alpha(O)$ =0.0001255 18; $\alpha(P)$ =3.11×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5				
283.42 10	12 2	1217.48	3(+)	934.06?	2+	[M1]		0.1554	%I $\gamma$ =2.7 6 $\alpha$ (K)=0.1307 19; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0192 3; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00426 6; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.001145 16 $\alpha$ (N)=0.000993 14; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0001439 21; $\alpha$ (P)=7.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> 12				
413.2 2	14.3 9	2159.06	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1746.03?	(4 <sup>-</sup> )				%Iy=3.2 5				
477.4 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	15.4 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 10	1746.03?	(4-)	1268.80	(4 <sup>-</sup> )				Order of $413\gamma$ and $477\gamma$ undetermined (1978Ka16). %I $\gamma$ =3.4 5 Order of $413\gamma$ and $477\gamma$ undetermined (1978Ka16).				
$662.9^{(a)}f$ 3	5.5 7	2159.06	(5+)	1496.24	(6 <sup>-</sup> )				%Iγ=1.23 <i>21</i>				
746.0 <sup>w</sup> 2	7.0 10	2159.06	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1413.14	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	h			%Iγ=1.6 <i>3</i>				
750.4 2	24.0 13	1010.64	(3+)	260.22	4+	(M1+E2) <sup>9</sup>	-1.8×10 <sup>2</sup> +11-46	0.00621 9	$%_{1}\gamma=5.4$ 7 $\alpha=0.00621$ 9; $\alpha(K)=0.00512$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000852$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.000191$ 3; $\alpha(N+)=5.07\times10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=4.43\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=6.18\times10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.90\times10^{-7}$ 4				
786.3 5	22 4	2159.06	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1372.31	(5 <sup>-</sup> )				%Iy=4.9 <i>11</i>				
832.5 <sup>@f</sup> 10	≈3	1372.31	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	540.63	6+				%Iy=0.7 4				
843.5 2	11 3	1103.47	4+	260.22	4+	M1+E2 <sup>b</sup>	+2.81 10	0.00532 9	%Iγ=2.5 8 α=0.00532 9; α(K)=0.00443 7; α(L)=0.000693 11; α(M)=0.0001546 23; α(N+)=4.12×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7 α(N)=3.59×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6; α(O)=5.08×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8; α(P)=2.54×10 <sup>-7</sup> 4				
854.7 <sup>e&amp;</sup> f 5	7.3 <sup>e&amp;</sup> 13	934.06?	2+	78.65	2+	E2(+M1) <sup>b</sup>	≥14	0.00468 7	%Iγ=1.6 4 $\alpha$ =0.00468 7; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00389 6; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000620 9; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001386 20; $\alpha$ (N+)=3.69×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6 $\alpha$ (N)=3.21×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (O)=4.52×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7; $\alpha$ (P)=2.21×10 <sup>-7</sup> 4				

4

From ENSDF

 $^{170}_{68}\mathrm{Er}_{102}$ -4

			1	<sup>70</sup> <b>Ho</b> $\beta^-$ d	ecay (2	2.76 min) 1	978Ka16,1978Tu04,	,1974Ka21 (c	ontinued)		
	$\gamma$ <sup>(170</sup> Er) (continued)										
$E_{\gamma}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger c}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ#	$\alpha^{d}$	Comments		
854.7 <mark>e&amp;</mark> 5	48 <sup>e&amp;</sup> 7	2159.06	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1304.60	$(4^{+})$				%Iy=10.7 20		
867.0 2	9.7 8	1127.29	4+	260.22	4+	M1+E2 <sup>b</sup>	-9.8 +22-63	0.00458 7	% $I\gamma=2.2 \ 3$ $\alpha=0.00458 \ 7; \ \alpha(K)=0.00380 \ 6; \ \alpha(L)=0.000603 \ 9;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0001346 \ 20; \ \alpha(N+)=3.58\times10^{-5} \ 6$ $\alpha(N)=3.12\times10^{-5} \ 5; \ \alpha(O)=4.40\times10^{-6} \ 7;$ $\alpha(P)=2.16\times10^{-7} \ 4$		
872.6 <sup>@</sup> <i>f</i> 3	1.7 4	1413.14	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	540.63	6+	D+Q <sup>b</sup>			%Iy=0.38 <i>10</i>		
890.2 2	100	2159.06	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1268.80	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	b	2		%Iy=22 3		
932.1 2	164 9	1010.64	(3+)	78.65	2+	(M1+E2) <sup>0</sup>	-1.5×10 <sup>2</sup> +8-50	0.00389 6	%Iγ=37 5 α=0.00389 6; $α$ (K)=0.00324 5; $α$ (L)=0.000505 7; α(M)=0.0001125 16; $α$ (N+)=3.00×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 α(N)=2.61×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; $α$ (O)=3.69×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6; α(P)=1.84×10 <sup>-7</sup> 3		
934.10 <sup>&amp;</sup> <i>f</i> 16	6.6 <sup>&amp;</sup> CA	934.06?	2+	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2 <sup>b</sup>		0.00387 6	%Iγ=1.5 8 $\alpha$ =0.00387 6; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00323 5; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000502 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001120 16; $\alpha$ (N+)=2.98×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 $\alpha$ (N)=2.60×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; $\alpha$ (O)=3.67×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6; $\alpha$ (P)=1.84×10 <sup>-7</sup> 3		
941.4 2	94 2	2159.06	$(5^+)$	1217.48	3(+)	h			$\%1\gamma = 21.0\ 25$		
957.4 <i>3</i>	17.0 9	1217.48	3(+)	260.22	4+	D+Q <sup>D</sup>			$\%1\gamma=3.85$		
976.5 3	13.2 8	1236.7	(5+)	260.22	4+	(M1+E2) <sup>0</sup>		0.0050 15	%Iγ=3.0 4 $\alpha$ =0.0050 15; $\alpha$ (K)=0.0042 13; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00062 17; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00014 4; $\alpha$ (N+)=3.7×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10 $\alpha$ (N)=3.2×10 <sup>-5</sup> 9; $\alpha$ (O)=4.6×10 <sup>-6</sup> 13; $\alpha$ (P)=2.5×10 <sup>-7</sup> 9		
1024.7 4	73	1103.47	4+	78.65	2+	E2 <sup>b</sup>		0.00320 5	%Iγ=1.6 7 $\alpha$ =0.00320 5; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00267 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000407 6; $\alpha$ (M)=9.05×10 <sup>-5</sup> 13; $\alpha$ (N+)=2.41×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (N)=2.10×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; $\alpha$ (O)=2.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (P)=1.523×10 <sup>-7</sup> 22		
1044.2 2	29.2 15	1304.60	(4+)	260.22	4+	(M1+E2) <sup>b</sup>	+6.3 +45-18	0.00314 7	%I $\gamma$ =6.5 9 $\alpha$ =0.00314 7; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00263 6; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000397 9; $\alpha$ (M)=8.82×10 <sup>-5</sup> 19; $\alpha$ (N+)=2.35×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 $\alpha$ (N)=2.05×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (O)=2.91×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7; $\alpha$ (P)=1.50×10 <sup>-7</sup> 4		
1048.7 8	2 1	1127.29	4+	78.65	2+	E2 <sup>b</sup>		0.00305 5	%I $\gamma$ =0.45 23 $\alpha$ =0.00305 5; $\alpha$ (K)=0.00255 4; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000387 6; $\alpha$ (M)=8.59×10 <sup>-5</sup> 13; $\alpha$ (N+)=2.29×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 $\alpha$ (N)=1.99×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; $\alpha$ (O)=2.84×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4;		

S

 $^{170}_{68}\mathrm{Er}_{102}$ -5

L

$^{170}$ Ho $\beta^-$ decay (2.76 min) 1978Ka16,1978Tu04,1974Ka21 (continued)										
$\gamma(^{170}\text{Er})$ (continued)										
Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger c}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ#	$\alpha^{d}$	Comments	
1111.8 <i>3</i> 1138.7 2	9.4 7 93 4	1372.31 1217.48	(5 <sup>-</sup> ) 3 <sup>(+)</sup>	260.22 78.65	4+ 2+	(M1+E2) <sup>b</sup>	+14 +7-4	0.00259 4	$\frac{\alpha(P)=1.455\times10^{-7} \ 21}{I_{\gamma}: \text{ note that I}(1049\gamma)/I(867\gamma)=0.21 \ 11 \text{ here but } 0.86 \ 9 \text{ in Adopted Gammas.}} \\ \% I_{\gamma}=2.1 \ 3 \\ \% I_{\gamma}=21 \ 3 \\ \alpha=0.00259 \ 4; \ \alpha(K)=0.00218 \ 4; \ \alpha(L)=0.000324 \ 5; \ \alpha(M)=7.18\times10^{-5} \\ 11: \ \alpha(N+)=2.02\times10^{-5} \ 3 \\ \end{array}$	
1153.0 <i>3</i> 1226.0 <i>3</i> ×1306.9 <sup>@</sup> <i>3</i>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$									
<ul> <li><sup>†</sup> Relative μ</li> <li><sup>‡</sup> From α(e intensity b</li> <li><sup>#</sup> From the</li> <li><sup>@</sup> Assignme</li> <li><sup>&amp;</sup> If the 934</li> <li>from the 2</li> <li>combined</li> <li>4). Possib</li> <li><sup>a</sup> In (n,n'γ)</li> <li>251γ and level, and</li> <li><sup>b</sup> From the</li> <li><sup>c</sup> For absolute</li> <li><sup>d</sup> Total theorem</li> <li><sup>e</sup> Multiply</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Relative photon intensities normalized so I(890.2γ)=100.</li> <li><sup>2</sup> From α(exp) or α(K)exp (1978Ka16). Authors normalized their α(K)exp data assuming mult=E2 for 79γ and 181γ (based on α(exp) deduced by authors from intensity balance at the 79 and 260 levels).</li> <li><sup>#</sup> From the Adopted Gammas.</li> <li><sup>@</sup> Assignment uncertain (1978Ka16).</li> <li><sup>&amp;</sup> If the 934γ is correctly assigned, an 855γ of comparable intensity should deexcite the 934 level also; this suggests that the observed 854.7γ (Iγ=55 7), placed from the 2159 level, is in fact a doublet. No β<sup>-</sup> branch is expected to feed the 934 level (ΔJ=(4)), so intensity balance requires I(934γ)=857γ)=13.9 24 which, combined with adopted branching from 934 level, implies I(855γ)=7.3 13 (leaving Iγ=48 7 deexciting 2159 level) and I(934γ)=6.6 11 (cf. observed I(934γ)=17 4). Possibly the observed 934γ is complex.</li> <li><sup>a</sup> In (n,n'γ), the 477γ is a doublet deexciting both 1488 and 1746 levels; it is accompanied by a 406γ of at least comparable strength from the 1746 level and by 251γ and 947γ from the 1488 level, none of which is reported in <sup>170</sup>Ho β<sup>-</sup> decay. In this decay, 477γ-γ coin data strongly favor placement from the 1746 level and by 251γ and 947γ from the 1488 level, none of which is reported in <sup>170</sup>Ho β<sup>-</sup> decay. In this decay, 477γ-γ coin data strongly favor placement from the 1746 level, and intensity balance at the 1746 level 31.</li> <li><sup>b</sup> From the Adopted Gammas.</li> <li><sup>c</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.22 3.</li> <li><sup>d</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.</li> <li><sup>e</sup> Multipulated billing labered with intensity suitebut with intensity with with intensity with the divided.</li> </ul>									

<sup>f</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain. <sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

6

 $^{170}_{68}\mathrm{Er}_{102}$ -6

# <sup>170</sup>Ho β<sup>-</sup> decay (2.76 min) 1978Ka16,1978Tu04,1974Ka21

