## <sup>170</sup>Er(d,<sup>3</sup>He) **1976SuZR**

History						
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date			
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 109, 2033 (2008)	15-Jun-2008			

E(d)=35 MeV; enriched (>96%) <sup>170</sup>Er targets; measured E(level) (mag spect, FWHM=35-45 keV), angular distributions (10° to 30°); interpreted levels in terms of the Nilsson model, including pairing and Coriolis coupling.

Agreement with the results from  ${}^{170}$ Er(pol t, $\alpha$ ) (1979Lo02) is fair. 1979Lo02 state that poor resolution in 1976SuZR led to some incorrect conclusions.

## <sup>169</sup>Ho Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	L <sup>#</sup>	s&	Comments
0.0 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	7/2-	(3)	0.06	Bandhead was placed at centroid of unresolved multiplet; the nature of an additional nearby transition is unknown (possibly from a contaminant).
106 <sup>a</sup> 10	9/2-	5	0.22	
213 <sup>a</sup> 10	$11/2^{-}$	5	0.81	S: large value attributed to Coriolis mixing with higher $\pi = -$ states.
253 <mark>b</mark> 10	$3/2^{+}$	2	0.05	
320 <sup>b</sup> 10	$5/2^{+}$	2	0.63	
359 <sup>°</sup> 10	3/2+	2	0.21	Multiplet; peak probably includes weak component from $1/2^+$ $1/2[411]$ state.
385 <mark>b</mark> 10	$7/2^{+}$	4	0.27	Partially resolved from larger peak; population strength attributed to strong Coriolis mixing.
457 <mark>d</mark> 10	$(5/2^+)^{@}$	2 <sup>@</sup>	0.03	
497 <sup>d</sup> 10	$(7/2^+)$	4	0.47	$J^{\pi}$ : strong population favors 7/2 <sup>+</sup> 5/2[413] assignment over 7/2 <sup>+</sup> 1/2[411]; overlap with expected 9/2 <sup>+</sup> 3/2[411] state increases uncertainty of assignment.
529 <sup>°</sup> 10	$(7/2^+)$	4	0.16	
768 <sup>e</sup> 10	5/2-		0.08	
860 <sup>e</sup> 10	9/2-		0.07	
1069 <sup>5</sup> 15	$1/2^{+}$	(0)	0.10 <sup>/</sup>	
1137? <sup>f</sup> 15	$(3/2^+)$		0.02 <sup>h</sup>	Partially resolved from larger peak.
1176 <sup>f</sup> 15	5/2+ @	2 <sup>@</sup>	0.09 <mark>h</mark>	
1270 <sup>f</sup> 15	7/2+	4	0.20 <sup>h</sup>	
1343? <b>f</b> 15	$(9/2^+)$		0.10 <sup>h</sup>	Partially resolved from larger peak.
1357 <mark>8</mark> 15	3/2+ <sup>@</sup>	2 <sup>@</sup>	0.11	
1410 <sup>8</sup> 15	5/2+	2	0.04	
1524 <mark>8</mark> 15	7/2+		0.40	
1618 15			0.07	
16/3 15	0	(2)	≈0.06	
1768 15	w	(3)	≈0.09	
1844 15	$11/2^{-}$	5	0.68	$J^{\pi}$ : possibly 11/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2[532] state (analogous level observed in <sup>165</sup> Ho).

<sup>†</sup> See <sup>169</sup>Ho Adopted Levels for monotonically increasing discrepancies between these values and the corresponding adopted energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From angular distributions and level structure (authors' values). Inconsistencies with values adopted from <sup>170</sup>Er(pol t, $\alpha$ ) are noted.

<sup>#</sup> From DWBA analysis of angular distributions.

<sup>@</sup> Inconsistent with adopted  $J^{\pi}$ .

& Values for multiplets were calculated by assigning the entire multiplet level strength to the dominant member ( $J^{\pi}$  indicated).

<sup>*a*</sup> 7/2[523] band member.

<sup>b</sup> 3/2[411] band member.

<sup>c</sup> 1/2[411] band member; assignment uncertain because of possible band mixing.

 $^{d}$  5/2[413] band member; assignment uncertain because of possible band mixing.

<sup>e</sup> 1/2[541] band member (tentative).

## <sup>170</sup>Er(d,<sup>3</sup>He) 1976SuZR (continued)

## <sup>169</sup>Ho Levels (continued)

<sup>f</sup> 1/2[420] band member; low spectroscopic factors throughout band, even with Coriolis mixing considered, suggest possible band mixing with a K-2  $\gamma$ -vibrational band built on either the 3/2<sup>+</sup> 3/2[411] or 5/2<sup>+</sup> 5/2[413] state.

 $\frac{g}{h}$  3/2[422] band member. <sup>h</sup> See comment with band assignment.