

¹⁶⁹Ta ε+β⁺ decay (4.9 min) 1975Re05

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 209,1 (2026)	1-Oct-2025

Parent: ¹⁶⁹Ta: E=0.0; J^π=(5/2⁺); T_{1/2}=4.9 min 5; Q(ε)=4430 40; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100

¹⁶⁹Ta-J^π,T_{1/2}: from ¹⁶⁹Ta Adopted Levels.

¹⁶⁹Ta-Q(ε+β⁺): from 2021Wa16.

The decay scheme (partial only) and all data are from 1975Re05. Sources from ¹⁵⁹Tb(¹⁶O,6n), E(¹⁶O)=116 MeV; metallic Tb targets (99.9% pure); measured E_γ, I_γ (Ge(Li), FWHM=2.1 keV at 1332 keV; Si(Li), FWHM=200 eV at 5.6 keV).

Others: 1969Ar22, 1975Gr44 – both measured ¹⁶⁹Ta half-life.

¹⁶⁹Hf Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [‡]
0.0 [#]	5/2 ⁻	3.24 min 5
28.80 4	(7/2) ⁺	82 ns +40-15
38.18 4	(5/2) ⁺	
77.7 [#] 1	(7/2) ⁻	
177.0 [#] 1	(9/2) ⁻	

[†] From E_γ (1975Re05).

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] 5/2[523] band member.

γ(¹⁶⁹Hf)

Considerable intensity is unplaced, and origins of x-ray and γ[±] components, partially uncertain. It is not possible to deduce reliable absolute intensities.

I_γ(K x ray), I_γ(γ[±]) (relative to I_γ(192.4γ)=100):

	E _γ	I _γ
Hf Kα ₁	x ray	55.81 6
Hf Kβ ₂ '	x ray	65.1 1
γ [±]		511.0 1

1350 140
125 13
1260 130 (see 511.0γ below)

E _γ [†]	I _γ [#]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [@]	α&	Comments
28.80 4	230 23	28.80	(7/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁻	E1	2.003 29	α(L)=1.553 23; α(M)=0.358 5 α(N)=0.0812 12; α(O)=0.01009 15; α(P)=0.000317 5 Mult.: appreciable E1 component deduced from upper limit for total ¹⁶⁹ Ta decay energy (≤3430 (1975Re05)), relative to I _γ (28.8γ) (limit determined from x-ray and γ [±] intensities) (1975Re05).
38.18 4	57 6	38.18	(5/2) ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁻	(E1)	0.922 13	α(L)=0.715 10; α(M)=0.1638 23 α(N)=0.0374 5; α(O)=0.00485 7; α(P)=0.0001705 24 Mult.: see comment with 28.8γ; authors state preference for E1 assignment (M1 also possible), on the basis of similar, but less conclusive, argument.
^x 68.5 [‡] 1	38 8							

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{169}Ta $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (4.9 min) **1975Re05** (continued) $\gamma(^{169}\text{Hf})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [@]	$\alpha^\&$	Comments
77.7 1 (99.2)	16 3 1.9	77.7 177.0	(7/2) ⁻ (9/2) ⁻	0.0 77.7	5/2 ⁻ (7/2) ⁻	[M1,E2]	3.87 24	$\alpha(\text{K})=2.2$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.3$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.31$ 19 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.07$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.010$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.8\times 10^{-4}$ 12 E_γ : not observed (masked by 2 ⁺ to 0 ⁺ γ ray in ^{170}Hf). E_γ from Adopted Gammas. I_γ : I_γ from I(177 γ) and adopted branching for 177 level.
^x 132.8 1 (148.4 1)	20 4 7.9	177.0	(9/2) ⁻	28.80	(7/2) ⁺	(E1(+M2))	0.21 8	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.17$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.033$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.008$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0018$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.7\times 10^{-4}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.5\times 10^{-5}$ 8 E_γ : not observed; E_γ from Adopted Gammas. I_γ : I_γ from I(177 γ) and adopted branching for 177 level.
^x 153.5 1 ^x 170.4 [‡] 1 177.0 1	80 8 18 4 24 5	177.0	(9/2) ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁻	(E2)	0.435 6	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.2340$ 33; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1531$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0377$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00877$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001142$ 16; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.494\times 10^{-5}$ 21
^x 187.8 2 ^x 192.4 1 ^x 230.0 1 ^x 394.5 1 ^x 404.0 2 ^x 440.8 1 ^x 511.0 [‡] 1	12 2 100 28 6 35 7 21 4 38 8 1.26 $\times 10^3$ 13							Duplicate entry is given in x-ray and γ^\pm table above. With uncertain isotope assignment, it is not clear how much γ^\pm intensity is due to ^{169}Ta decay, and also whether or not ^{169}Ta might have an additional γ ray near this energy.
^x 520.4 2 ^x 529.0 2 ^x 547.4 3 ^x 595.0 2	20 4 26 5 20 4 59 6							

[†] $\Delta E_\gamma=0.1$ based on the authors' note: the accuracy of E_γ of the very low energy lines is believed to be 0.04 keV; for the energy region of x rays and above, the accuracy is about 0.1 keV. Other uncertainties are given by authors in **1975Re05**.

[‡] Assignment to ^{169}Ta decay uncertain.

[#] Arbitrary units, relative to $I_\gamma=100$ for 192.4 γ (**1975Re05**).

[@] from Adopted Gammas.

[&] [Additional information 1](#).

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{169}Ta $\epsilon + \beta^+$ decay (4.9 min) 1975Re05

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

