

<sup>169</sup>Ta ε decay 1975Re05

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 109, 2033 (2008)	1-Jun-2022

Parent: <sup>169</sup>Ta: E=0.0; J<sup>π</sup>=(5/2<sup>+</sup>); T<sub>1/2</sub>=4.9 min 4; Q(ε)=4430 40; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay=100.0

The decay scheme (partial only) and all data are from 1975Re05. Sources from <sup>159</sup>Tb(<sup>16</sup>O,6n), E(<sup>16</sup>O)=116 MeV; metallic Tb targets (99.9% pure); measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub> (Ge(Li), FWHM=2.1 keV at 1332 keV; Si(Li), FWHM=200 eV at 5.6 keV). Others: 1969Ar22, 1975Gr44.

<sup>169</sup>Hf Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>
0.0 <sup>#</sup>	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	3.24 min 4
28.80 4	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	
38.18 4	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	
77.7 <sup>#</sup> 1	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	
177.0 <sup>#</sup> 1	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From E<sub>γ</sub> (1975Re05).

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> 5/2[523] band member.

γ(<sup>169</sup>Hf)

Considerable intensity is unplaced, and origins of x-ray and γ<sup>±</sup> components, partially uncertain. It is not possible to deduce reliable absolute intensities.

I<sub>γ</sub>(K x ray), I<sub>γ</sub>(γ<sup>±</sup>) (relative to I<sub>γ</sub>(192.4γ)=100):

	E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub>
Hf Kα <sub>1</sub>	x ray	55.81 6
Hf Kβ <sub>2</sub> '	x ray	65.1 1
γ <sup>±</sup>		511.0 1
		1350 140
		125 13
		1260 130 (see 511.0γ below)

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult.	α <sup>#</sup>	Comments
28.80 4	230 23	28.80	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	2.00	α(L)=1.553 23; α(M)=0.358 6; α(N+..)=0.0916 14 α(N)=0.0812 12; α(O)=0.01009 15; α(P)=0.000317 5 Mult.: appreciable E1 component deduced from upper limit for total <sup>169</sup> Ta decays (≤3430), relative to I <sub>γ</sub> (28.8γ) (limit determined from x-ray and γ <sup>±</sup> intensities).
38.18 4	57 6	38.18	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E1)	0.922	α(L)=0.715 11; α(M)=0.1638 24; α(N+..)=0.0424 6 α(N)=0.0374 6; α(O)=0.00485 7; α(P)=0.0001705 25 Mult.: see comment with 28.8γ; authors state preference for E1 assignment (M1 also possible), on basis of similar, but less conclusive, argument.
<sup>x</sup> 68.5 <sup>‡</sup> 1	38 8							
77.7 1	16 3	77.7	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{169}\text{Ta}$   $\varepsilon$  decay **1975Re05** (continued) $\gamma(^{169}\text{Hf})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
(99.2)	1.9	177.0	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	77.7	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	3.87 24	$\alpha(\text{K})=2.2$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.3$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.31$ 20; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.08$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.07$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.010$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00018$ 12 Not observed (masked by 2 <sup>+</sup> to 0 <sup>+</sup> $\gamma$ ray in $^{170}\text{Hf}$ ). $E_\gamma$ from Adopted Gammas, $I_\gamma$ from I(177 $\gamma$ ) and adopted branching for 177 level.
<sup>x</sup> 132.8 1 (148.4)	20 4 7.9	177.0	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	28.80	(7/2) <sup>+</sup>	(E1)	0.1277	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.1059$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01697$ 24; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00383$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001033$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000896$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001298$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.80 \times 10^{-6}$ 10 Not observed; $E_\gamma$ from Adopted Gammas, $I_\gamma$ from I(177 $\gamma$ ) and adopted branching for 177 level.
<sup>x</sup> 153.5 1 <sup>x</sup> 170.4 <sup>‡</sup> 1 177.0 1	80 8 18 4 24 5	177.0	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)	0.435	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.234$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1531$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0377$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00993$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00877$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001142$ 17; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.494 \times 10^{-5}$ 21 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.
<sup>x</sup> 187.8 2 <sup>x</sup> 192.4 1 <sup>x</sup> 230.0 1 <sup>x</sup> 394.5 1 <sup>x</sup> 404.0 2 <sup>x</sup> 440.8 1 <sup>x</sup> 511.0 <sup>‡</sup> 1	12 2 100 28 6 35 7 21 4 38 8 $1.26 \times 10^3$ 13							Duplicate entry is given in x-ray and $\gamma^\pm$ table above. With uncertain isotope assignment, it is not clear how much $\gamma^\pm$ intensity is due to $^{169}\text{Ta}$ decay, and also whether or not $^{169}\text{Ta}$ might have an additional $\gamma$ ray near this energy.
<sup>x</sup> 520.4 2 <sup>x</sup> 529.0 2 <sup>x</sup> 547.4 3 <sup>x</sup> 595.0 2	20 4 26 5 20 4 59 6							

<sup>†</sup> Arbitrary units, relative to  $I_\gamma=100$  for 192.4 $\gamma$  (1975Re05).

<sup>‡</sup> Assignment to  $^{169}\text{Ta}$  decay uncertain.

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{169}\text{Ta}$   $\epsilon$  decay 1975Re05

## Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - -→  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

