

$^{168}\text{Lu } \varepsilon \text{ decay (5.5 min)}$ **1972Ch44,1970Ch28**

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	Citation
		NDS 111, 1807 (2010)

Parent: ^{168}Lu : E=0.0; $J^\pi=6^{-}$; $T_{1/2}=5.5$ min I ; $Q(\varepsilon)=4510$ 50; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

Others: [1960Wi09](#), [1961Me05](#), [1966Gr04](#), [1966Ha23](#), [1969Wi08](#), [1970Ar16](#), [1973Ch28](#).

[1970Ch28](#), [1972Ch44](#): sources from $^{169}\text{Tm}(\alpha,5n)$, $E(\alpha)=54$ MeV, which produces both isomers; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ (Ge(Li)), $E\beta$, $I\beta$, $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$, $FWHM=4$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\beta\gamma$ coin.

[1970Ar16](#): sources from the decay of spallation-produced ^{168}Hf ($J^\pi=0^+$) should contain mainly $^{168}\text{Lu}(6.7$ min) ($J^\pi=3^+$); measured $E\beta$, $I\beta$ (mag spect), $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ (Ge(Li)).

The adopted decay scheme is based on that In [1972Ch44](#) (which supersedes the scheme In [1970Ch28](#)). IT was deduced from data taken with a source containing a mixture of $^{168}\text{Lu}(5.5$ min) and $^{168}\text{Lu}(6.7$ min), and the schemes have not been fully disentangled.

 ^{168}Yb Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]
0.0	0^+	stable	1551.20 19	$(4)^+$	
87.77 11	2^+	1.49 [@] ns 4	1597.83 20	$(^-)$	
286.59 15	4^+		1650.48 19	$(2,3,4)^-$	
585.21 17	6^+		1674.06 18	(5^+)	
970.0? 3	8^+		1770.18 20	5^-	
983.78 15	2^+		1819.04 20	(6^+)	
1066.98 17	$(3)^+$		1842.12 22	(6^-)	
1171.23 18	$(4)^+$		1972.70 25	$(5,6^+)$	
1302.27 19	$(5)^+$		1998.74 18	$(5)^-$	82 ns 5
1445.13 22	$(6)^+$		2110.6 5	$(5^-,6^-,7^-)$	0.34 ns 6
1451.66 17	$(3)^+$		2222.3 3	$(^-)$	62 ns 8

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] From $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ([1973Ch28](#)), except where noted.

[@] From Adopted Levels. $T_{1/2}$ from ε decay: 1.4 ns 5 ($\gamma\gamma(t)$, [1970Ch28](#)).

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
2252 80	2222.3	E(decay): from $E\beta+=1230$ 80 ($\beta-(111.4\gamma+112.4\gamma)$ coin, 1970Ch28).

¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (5.5 min) 1972Ch44,1970Ch28 (continued)
 $\gamma(^{168}\text{Yb})$

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	a^d	Comments
87.76 12		87.77	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	5.34	$\alpha(K)=1.316\ 19; \alpha(L)=3.07\ 5; \alpha(M)=0.759\ 12; \alpha(N+..)=0.193\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.173\ 3; \alpha(O)=0.0198\ 3; \alpha(P)=5.81\times 10^{-5}\ 9$ Mult.: from K:L2:L3:M:N= 140:210:230:110:30 (1966Ha23).
99.5 3 111.4 ^a	^a 49 ^b	1551.20 2222.3	(4) ⁺ (⁻)	1451.66 (3) ⁺ 2110.6 (5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻ ,7 ⁻)		[M1] ^c	2.48	$\alpha(K)=2.07\ 3; \alpha(L)=0.317\ 5; \alpha(M)=0.0709\ 10; \alpha(N+..)=0.0192\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.01664\ 24; \alpha(O)=0.00238\ 4; \alpha(P)=0.0001266\ 18$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=2.2\ 10$ (1970Ch28) for 112.4 γ +111.4 γ doublet.
112.4 ^a	^a 49 ^b	2110.6	(5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻ ,7 ⁻)	1998.74 (5) ⁻		(E2) ^c	2.06	$\alpha(K)=0.780\ 11; \alpha(L)=0.978\ 14; \alpha(M)=0.241\ 4; \alpha(N+..)=0.0613\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.0549\ 8; \alpha(O)=0.00635\ 9; \alpha(P)=3.29\times 10^{-5}\ 5$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=2.2\ 10$ (1970Ch28) for 112.4 γ +111.4 γ doublet.
^x 114 ^{&} 2 122.8 2 ^x 126 ^{&} 2 ^x 135.7 ^b	& &	1674.06	(5 ⁺)	1551.20 (4) ⁺				$I_\gamma: 5\ 2$ In 1970Ar16 .
145.1 3 156.6 2	4.7 8 31 4	1819.04 1998.74	(6 ⁺) (5) ⁻	1674.06 (5 ⁺) 1842.12 (6 ⁻)		M1	0.943	Mult.: $5 < \alpha(K)\exp < 16$ for 145 γ +148 γ . $\alpha(K)=0.788\ 12; \alpha(L)=0.1199\ 18; \alpha(M)=0.0268\ 4; \alpha(N+..)=0.00725\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.00630\ 10; \alpha(O)=0.000901\ 13; \alpha(P)=4.80\times 10^{-5}\ 7$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.8\ 3$ (1970Ch28).
179.6 2	25 3	1998.74	(5) ⁻	1819.04 (6 ⁺)		(E1)	0.0733	$\alpha(K)=0.0612\ 9; \alpha(L)=0.00938\ 14; \alpha(M)=0.00209\ 3; \alpha(N+..)=0.000554\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000485\ 7; \alpha(O)=6.59\times 10^{-5}\ 10; \alpha(P)=2.93\times 10^{-6}\ 5$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.17\ 8$ (1970Ch28).
198.79 15		286.59	4 ⁺	87.77 2 ⁺		E2	0.274	$\alpha(K)=0.1678\ 24; \alpha(L)=0.0815\ 12; \alpha(M)=0.0197\ 3; \alpha(N+..)=0.00507\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.00452\ 7; \alpha(O)=0.000545\ 8; \alpha(P)=7.84\times 10^{-6}\ 11$ Mult.: from K:L2:L3:M=62:21:12:11 (1966Ha23).
223 ^{&f} 1	&	1674.06	(5 ⁺)	1451.66 (3) ⁺				tentatively placed in accord with Adopted Levels. From I(123 γ) and adopted branching from 1674 level, I(223 γ) \approx 3.5 is expected here.
223.6 2	36 4	2222.3	(⁻)	1998.74 (5) ⁻		[E2] ^c	0.186	$\alpha(K)=0.1202\ 18; \alpha(L)=0.0505\ 8; \alpha(M)=0.01216\ 18; \alpha(N+..)=0.00314\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00279\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.000340\ 5; \alpha(P)=5.77\times 10^{-6}\ 9$ $I_\gamma:$ probably includes small component (\approx 3.4) from 223 γ from 1674 level (see comment on that transition). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.25\ 5$ (1970Ch28) for 229 γ +223 γ doublet.
^x 227 ^{&} 1 228.6 2	& 70 7	1998.74	(5) ⁻	1770.18 5 ⁻		(M1)	0.329	$I_\gamma: 3\ 3$ In 1970Ar16 . $\alpha(K)=0.276\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.0416\ 6; \alpha(M)=0.00931\ 14; \alpha(N+..)=0.00252\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00219\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.000313\ 5; \alpha(P)=1.674\times 10^{-5}\ 24$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.25\ 5$ (1970Ch28) for 229 γ +223 γ doublet In which the 229 γ is the stronger component.
^x 268.0 ^b 4 ^x 286.6 ^b	8.6 15 585.21							$I_\gamma: 7\ 2$ In 1970Ar16 .
298.62 8		6 ⁺	286.59 4 ⁺			E2	0.0750	$\alpha(K)=0.0534\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.01658\ 24; \alpha(M)=0.00394\ 6; \alpha(N+..)=0.001025\ 15$ $\alpha(N)=0.000908\ 13; \alpha(O)=0.0001140\ 16; \alpha(P)=2.73\times 10^{-6}\ 4$ Mult.: from Adopted Gammas.

¹⁶⁸₇₀Lu ε decay (5.5 min) 1972Ch44,1970Ch28 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{168}\text{Yb})$ (continued)</u>								
E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	a^d	
^x 310.5 ^b								
324.7 2	30 3	1998.74	(5) ⁻	1674.06 (5) ⁺		(E1+M2)	0.26 24	I_γ : 4 2 In 1970Ar16. $\alpha(K)=0.21$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.04$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.009$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0025$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.0021$ 21; $\alpha(O)=0.0003$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.5\times10^{-5}$ 15 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.022$ 8 (1970Ch28).
348.3 2		1650.48	(2,3,4) ⁻	1302.27 (5) ⁺				I_γ , Mult., E_γ : see comments on 348.3 γ from 1999 level.
348.3 2	≈66	1998.74	(5) ⁻	1650.48 (2,3,4) ⁻		E2	0.0476	$\alpha(K)=0.0352$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00955$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.00225$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000588$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.000520$ 8; $\alpha(O)=6.64\times10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.85\times10^{-6}$ 3 I_γ : $I_\gamma=71$ 8 for γ that was also placed from the 1651 level In 1972Ch44. the 1651 level was observed In ε decay (6.7 min) also, but the 348.3 γ was not (see 1999Ba65). If $I(348\gamma)<I(479\gamma)$ (=10.0 15) from 1651 level, $61 < I(348\gamma \text{ from 1999 level}) < 71$ 8, so the evaluator assigns $I_\gamma \approx 66$ here. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.036$ 9 (1970Ch28) for doublet dominated by this transition.
371.8 ^f 4		1674.06	(5) ⁺	1302.27 (5) ⁺				May Be same γ ray As the $E_\gamma=372.17$ line placed instead from a 2428 level In ε decay (6.7 min); consequently, placement is shown As tentative here.
374.2 5	4.8 9	1819.04	(6) ⁺	1445.13 (6) ⁺				
379.9 3		1551.20	(4) ⁺	1171.23 (4) ⁺				
384.8 ^{e,f} 2		970.0?	8 ⁺	585.21 6 ⁺		E2	0.0359	$\alpha(K)=0.0271$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00679$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001591$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000417$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000368$ 6; $\alpha(O)=4.75\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.441\times10^{-6}$ 21 Mult.: from Adopted Gammas; $\alpha(K)\exp=0.029$ 9 (1970Ch28) for doubly-placed G.
384.8 ^e 2		1451.66	(3) ⁺	1066.98 (3) ⁺		E2	0.0359	$\alpha(K)=0.0271$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00679$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001591$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000417$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000368$ 6; $\alpha(O)=4.75\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.441\times10^{-6}$ 21 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.029$ 9 (1970Ch28) for doubly-placed G.
397.2 6	7.5 15	1842.12	(6) ⁻	1445.13 (6) ⁺				
401.1 3	26 3	1998.74	(5) ⁻	1597.83 (−)		M1	0.0727	$\alpha(K)=0.0611$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.00907$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00203$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000548$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.000476$ 7; $\alpha(O)=6.82\times10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=3.67\times10^{-6}$ 6 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.061$ 22 (1970Ch28).
467.9 4		1451.66	(3) ⁺	983.78 2 ⁺		M1,E2	0.035 14	$\alpha(K)=0.029$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0048$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.0011$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00029$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00026$ 7; $\alpha(O)=3.6\times10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.7\times10^{-6}$ 8 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.028$ 11 (1970Ch28).
479.4 4		1650.48	(2,3,4) ⁻	1171.23 (4) ⁺				
483 ^{&f} 2	&	1551.20	(4) ⁺	1066.98 (3) ⁺				
539.8 2	47 5	1842.12	(6) ⁻	1302.27 (5) ⁺		E1	0.00513	$\alpha(K)=0.00434$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000616$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001367$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=3.66\times10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=3.19\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=4.49\times10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=2.29\times10^{-7}$ 4 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.005$ (1970Ch28).
583.4 2		1650.48	(2,3,4) ⁻	1066.98 (3) ⁺		E1	0.00435	$\alpha(K)=0.00368$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000520$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.0001153$ 17;

¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (5.5 min) 1972Ch44, 1970Ch28 (continued)

γ (¹⁶⁸Yb) (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α^d	Comments
x624 2	&							$\alpha(N+..)=3.09 \times 10^{-5} \ 5$
697 2	&	983.78	2^+	286.59	4^+			$\alpha(N)=2.69 \times 10^{-5} \ 4; \alpha(O)=3.80 \times 10^{-6} \ 6; \alpha(P)=1.95 \times 10^{-7} \ 3$
717.1 3		1302.27	$(5)^+$	585.21	6^+			Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.005$ (1970Ch28).
780.5 3		1066.98	$(3)^+$	286.59	4^+	E2	0.00625	I(583γ):I(479γ)=29 4:10.0 15 (1970Ch28). I_γ : 9 2 In 1970Ar16 .
860.0 2	13 2	1445.13	$(6)^+$	585.21	6^+	M1+E2	0.008 3	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.008$ (1970Ch28). $\alpha(K)=0.00513 \ 8; \alpha(L)=0.000873 \ 13; \alpha(M)=0.000198 \ 3; \alpha(N+..)=5.28 \times 10^{-5} \ 8$
								$\alpha(N)=4.62 \times 10^{-5} \ 7; \alpha(O)=6.36 \times 10^{-6} \ 9; \alpha(P)=2.88 \times 10^{-7} \ 4$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0048 \ 14$ (1970Ch28).
884.6 2		1171.23	$(4)^+$	286.59	4^+	E2	0.00478	$\alpha(K)=0.0065 \ 23; \alpha(L)=0.0010 \ 3; \alpha(M)=0.00022 \ 7; \alpha(N+..)=5.9 \times 10^{-5} \ 18$
								$\alpha(N)=5.1 \times 10^{-5} \ 15; \alpha(O)=7.3 \times 10^{-6} \ 23; \alpha(P)=3.8 \times 10^{-7} \ 15$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0055 \ 25$ (1970Ch28).
896.0 2		983.78	2^+	87.77	2^+	E2	0.00465	$\alpha(K)=0.00395 \ 6; \alpha(L)=0.000645 \ 9; \alpha(M)=0.0001456 \ 21; \alpha(N+..)=3.89 \times 10^{-5} \ 6$
								$\alpha(N)=3.40 \times 10^{-5} \ 5; \alpha(O)=4.72 \times 10^{-6} \ 7; \alpha(P)=2.22 \times 10^{-7} \ 4$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0038 \ 7$ (1970Ch28).
								$\alpha(K)=0.00385 \ 6; \alpha(L)=0.000626 \ 9; \alpha(M)=0.0001412 \ 20; \alpha(N+..)=3.78 \times 10^{-5} \ 6$
								$\alpha(N)=3.30 \times 10^{-5} \ 5; \alpha(O)=4.58 \times 10^{-6} \ 7; \alpha(P)=2.16 \times 10^{-7} \ 3$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0037 \ 7$ (1970Ch28).
$^{x960.7}$ ^b								
x965 & 1	5 & 1							tentative placement from 1551 level by 1970Ar16 rejected by evaluator because later studies failed to confirm IT.
979.2 2		1066.98	$(3)^+$	87.77	2^+	(E2)	0.00387	$\alpha(K)=0.00322 \ 5; \alpha(L)=0.000510 \ 8; \alpha(M)=0.0001147 \ 16; \alpha(N+..)=3.07 \times 10^{-5} \ 5$
								$\alpha(N)=2.68 \times 10^{-5} \ 4; \alpha(O)=3.74 \times 10^{-6} \ 6; \alpha(P)=1.81 \times 10^{-7} \ 3$
								I(979γ):I(781γ)=177 15:37 4 (1970Ch28).
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0030 \ 5$ (1970Ch28) for $984\gamma+979\gamma$.
983.8 2		983.78	2^+	0.0	0^+	(E2)	0.00383	$\alpha(K)=0.00319 \ 5; \alpha(L)=0.000504 \ 7; \alpha(M)=0.0001135 \ 16; \alpha(N+..)=3.04 \times 10^{-5} \ 5$
								$\alpha(N)=2.65 \times 10^{-5} \ 4; \alpha(O)=3.71 \times 10^{-6} \ 6; \alpha(P)=1.79 \times 10^{-7} \ 3$
								I(984γ):I(896γ)=89 8:100 (1970Ch28).
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0030 \ 5$ (1970Ch28) for $984\gamma+979\gamma$.
1012.9 3		1597.83	$(-)$	585.21	6^+	(M2)	0.01728	$\alpha(K)=0.01438 \ 21; \alpha(L)=0.00225 \ 4; \alpha(M)=0.000506 \ 7; \alpha(N+..)=0.0001369 \ 20$
								$\alpha(N)=0.0001190 \ 17; \alpha(O)=1.702 \times 10^{-5} \ 24; \alpha(P)=9.06 \times 10^{-7} \ 13$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp\approx 0.011$ (1970Ch28).
1015.7 2		1302.27	$(5)^+$	286.59	4^+	E2	0.00359	$\alpha(K)=0.00299 \ 5; \alpha(L)=0.000469 \ 7; \alpha(M)=0.0001055 \ 15; \alpha(N+..)=2.83 \times 10^{-5} \ 4$
								$\alpha(N)=2.47 \times 10^{-5} \ 4; \alpha(O)=3.45 \times 10^{-6} \ 5; \alpha(P)=1.682 \times 10^{-7} \ 24$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0026 \ 9$ (1970Ch28).
								I(1016γ):I(717γ)=78 8:15.1 20 (1970Ch28).
$^{x1025.7}$ ^b 4	5.8 12	1171.23	$(4)^+$	87.77	2^+	(E2)	0.00315	$\alpha(K)=0.00263 \ 4; \alpha(L)=0.000407 \ 6; \alpha(M)=9.12 \times 10^{-5} \ 13; \alpha(N+..)=2.45 \times 10^{-5} \ 4$
1083.5 2								$\alpha(N)=2.13 \times 10^{-5} \ 3; \alpha(O)=3.00 \times 10^{-6} \ 5; \alpha(P)=1.481 \times 10^{-7} \ 21$
								Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp\approx 0.0020$ (1970Ch28).
								I(1084γ):I(885γ)=51 3:107 9 (1970Ch28).

¹⁶⁸₇₀Lu ε decay (5.5 min) 1972Ch44,1970Ch28 (continued) $\gamma(^{168}\text{Yb})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	a^d	Comments
1089.3 4		1674.06	(5 ⁺)	585.21	6 ⁺			I_γ : $I(1089\gamma)/I(123\gamma)$ here is much larger than In 6.7-min decay; 1970Ch28 did not report a 1091.6 γ known In 6.5-min decay, so possibly the 1089.3 γ of 1970Ch28 is a doublet.
1158.5 3	3.4 5	1445.13	(6) ⁺	286.59	4 ⁺			
1164.7 3		1451.66	(3) ⁺	286.59	4 ⁺			
1185.0 2	46 4	1770.18	5 ⁻	585.21	6 ⁺	E1	1.12×10^{-3}	$\alpha(K)=0.000937$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.0001275$ 18; $\alpha(M)=2.81 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=2.37 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=6.59 \times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(O)=9.41 \times 10^{-7}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=5.07 \times 10^{-8}$ 7; $\alpha(IPF)=1.608 \times 10^{-5}$ 24 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.0016$ (1970Ch28).
^x 1215.4 ^b 4	9.0 15							
1233.5 2	9@ 5	1819.04	(6 ⁺)	585.21	6 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.0034 10	$\alpha(K)=0.0028$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.00041$ 11; $\alpha(M)=9.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 24; $\alpha(N+..)=3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=3.1 \times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF)=9.9 \times 10^{-6}$ 12
1264.5 3		1551.20	(4) ⁺	286.59	4 ⁺			Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0027$ 9 (1970Ch28) for triplet. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0020$ 9 (1970Ch28) for $1257\gamma+1265\gamma$ (where $I(1257\gamma):I(1265\gamma)=15$ 2:32 3); this favors mult=M1,E2 but does not rule out E1 for one of the transitions.
^x 1289& 2	&							I_γ : 2 2 In 1970Ar16.
1311.2 2		1597.83	(-)	286.59	4 ⁺	(E1)	9.91×10^{-4}	$\alpha(K)=0.000784$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0001063$ 15; $\alpha(M)=2.34 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=7.72 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(N)=5.49 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=7.85 \times 10^{-7}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=4.25 \times 10^{-8}$ 6; $\alpha(IPF)=7.09 \times 10^{-5}$ 10
1363.9 2		1451.66	(3) ⁺	87.77	2 ⁺			Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.0014$ (1970Ch28). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp\approx 0.0012$ (1970Ch28). $I(1364\gamma):I(1165\gamma):I(467\gamma):I(385\gamma)=38$ 4:10.2 15:9.0 15:19.5 20 (1970Ch28).
1387.5 2		1674.06	(5 ⁺)	286.59	4 ⁺			E_γ : for doubly-placed G. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.0016$ (1970Ch28) for doublet dominated by this transition.
1387.5 2	8 8	1972.70	(5,6 ⁺)	585.21	6 ⁺			I_γ : see comment on 1388 γ from 1973 level. $I(1388\gamma$ from 1674): $I(1089\gamma)$: $I(372\gamma)$: $I(123\gamma)=27$ 8:9.0 17:5.3 9:4.8 9 (1970Ch28).
1413.5 3		16.4 18	1998.74	(5) ⁻	585.21	6 ⁺		E_γ : for γ which May Be a doublet. I_γ : $I(1388\gamma)=35$ 3 for doubly-placed G. based on adopted $I(123\gamma)/I(1388\gamma$ from 1674 level)=0.18 3 and $I(123\gamma)=4.8$ 9 here, $I(1388\gamma)=27$ 8 from 1674 level, leaving $I_\gamma=8$ 8 to Be placed from this level. γ is coincident with 112 γ , absent In 6.7-min ε decay.
^x 1449.6 ^b 5	11 2							Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.0016$ (1970Ch28) for doublet. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0013$ 6 (1970Ch28).

¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (5.5 min) 1970Ch44, 1970Ch28 (continued)

<u>γ(¹⁶⁸Yb) (continued)</u>								
E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	α^d	Comments
1463.5 3		1551.20	(4) ⁺	87.77	2 ⁺			Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.0018$ (1970Ch28) consistent with E1 or E2. I(1463 γ):I(1265 γ):I(380 γ):I(100 γ)=21 3:32 3:10.9 17:4.8 10 (1970Ch28).
1483.6 2	72 9	1770.18	5 ⁻	286.59	4 ⁺	E1	9.26×10^{-4}	$\alpha(K)=0.000634$ 9; $\alpha(L)=8.56 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(M)=1.89 \times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000187$ 3 $\alpha(N)=4.42 \times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=6.33 \times 10^{-7}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=3.44 \times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000182$ 3 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.0007$ (1970Ch28).
1510.0 4		1597.83	(⁻)	87.77	2 ⁺			I(1510 γ):I(1311 γ):I(1013 γ)=4.4 10:33 3:7.1 10 (1970Ch28), or 12 4:7 2:12 2 (for doublet) In 1970Ar16; note that branching from 1970Ch28 disagrees with that adopted from ε decay (6.7 min).
1525.1 5	4.5 10	2110.6	(5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻ ,7 ⁻)	585.21	6 ⁺			
^x 1529 ^{&} 2	^{&}							I $_\gamma$: 2 2 In 1970Ar16.
1533.3 5	2.9 9	1819.04	(6 ⁺)	286.59	4 ⁺			
^x 1669.2 ^b 10	≈ 1.5							
1686.0 5	20 4	1972.70	(5,6 ⁺)	286.59	4 ⁺			
1712.0 5	≈ 2	1998.74	(5) ⁻	286.59	4 ⁺			
^x 1897.6 ^b 10								
^x 1902.5 ^b								
^x 1956.5 ^b 10	≈ 0.5							
^x 2031.5 ^b 12								
^x 2042.3 ^b 15								
^x 2054.0 ^b 15								
^x 2355 ^{&} 2	^{&}							I $_\gamma$: 7 3 In 1970Ar16.

[†] From 1970Ch28, except where noted.[‡] From 1970Ch28. I $_\gamma$ is included here for γ rays which appear to occur only with ¹⁶⁸Lu(5.5 min) decay. Otherwise, branching information from 1970Ch28 for the mixed parent decay is given In a comment on the highest energy transition from the level In question.# From $\alpha(K)\exp$ values based on Ice(K) data In 1970Ch28, except As noted. 1970Ch28 normalized their γ and ce intensity scales by assuming pure E2 for the following transitions: 87.7 γ , 198.8 γ , 298.8 γ , 884.6 γ , 896.1 γ , 979.2 γ +983.8 γ . However, the 885 γ , 896 γ and 979 γ are not $\Delta J=2$ transitions, so May have a D admixture. 1999Ba65 recommend using only the 299 γ for normalization; this would give $\alpha(K)\exp$ values 24% higher than deduced In 1970Ch28. if, instead, only the 199 γ were used, No adjustment of $\alpha(K)\exp$ from 1970Ch28 would Be called for. 1970Ch28 do not give Ice(K) for the 88 γ . both the 199 γ and 299 γ have weak transitions from 6.7-min ¹⁶⁸Lu decay nearby; these might not have been resolved by 1970Ch28, but their impact on $\alpha(K)\exp$ values whose uncertainties are $\geq 20\%$ probably is not significant. The evaluator quotes $\alpha(K)\exp$ from 1970Ch28 below but, when making multipolarity assignments, takes into account the possibility that $\alpha(K)\exp$ May Be systematically low by about 10%.@ In ¹⁶⁸Lu(5.5 min) ε decay, a 1233 γ deexcites the 1819 level. In ¹⁶⁸Lu(6.7 min) ε decay, a 1233 γ deexcites both a 1233 and a 2405 level, each peculiar to that decay. Thus, the 1233 γ (I $_\gamma$ =32 3) reported by 1970Ch28 from their mixed source will Be a triplet. From I(1233 γ doublet):I(1420 γ)=21 2:62 10 In 6.7-min decay and I(1420 γ)=68 7 from 1970Ch28's mixed source, I(1233 γ)=23 4 can Be attributed to the 6.7 min component, implying I $_\gamma$ =9 5 for the 5.5-min component.

¹⁶⁸₇₀Lu ε decay (5.5 min) 1972Ch44,1970Ch28 (continued) γ (¹⁶⁸Yb) (continued)

^a From 1970Ar16. Parent isomer undetermined, but absence of γ In ¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (6.7 min) study In 1999Ba65 favors assignment to ¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (5.5 min).

^a Reported by 1972Ch44 and 1973Ch28. These high-resolution studies of the γ spectrum showed the E γ =111.8 3, I γ =98 15 transition (1970Ch28) to be two lines (E γ =111.4, E γ =112.4) of similar intensity.

^b Unplaced γ from 1970Ch28. Parent isomer unknown, but absence of γ In ¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (6.7 min) study In 1999Ba65 favors assignment to ¹⁶⁸Lu ε decay (5.5 min).

^c From analogies between the well-known 5⁻ and 6⁻ and 7⁻ levels (and depopulating γ' s) in ¹⁶⁴Er and their apparent counterparts in ¹⁶⁸Yb.

^d Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^e Multiply placed.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{168}\text{Lu} \epsilon$ decay (5.5 min) 1972Ch44,1970Ch28