

$^{167}\text{W } \varepsilon \text{ decay (19.9 s)}$     **1989Me02**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 191,1 (2023)		22-Aug-2023

Parent:  $^{167}\text{W}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(5/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=19.9$  s 5;  $Q(\varepsilon)=6260$  30; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=99.96 1

$^{167}\text{W}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{167}\text{W}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{167}\text{W}-Q(\varepsilon)$ : From [2021Wa16](#).

$^{167}\text{W}$ -Measured  $\varepsilon K/\beta^+=0.57$  11 ([1989Me02](#)) from I(K x ray, Ta) and I( $\gamma^\pm$ ) in coincidence with  $497\gamma$ . This implies  $Q=5590 +300-240$  ([1989Me02](#)) for  $^{167}\text{W } \varepsilon$  decay, as compared to 6260 30 from [2021Wa16](#).

$^{167}\text{W}-\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay: Measured  $\%\alpha=0.04$  1 for  $^{167}\text{W}$  decay ([1989Me02](#)).

**1989Me02**:  $^{167}\text{W}$  produced by irradiating 93% enriched  $^{136}\text{BaF}_2$  with 191 MeV  $^{36}\text{Ar}$  beam at the VICKSI accelerator facility of HMI-Berlin, and identified from coincidences with Ta x rays and excitation function measurements. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $E\alpha$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma$ (Ta K-x rays)-coin,  $\gamma$ (511-annihilation radiation)-coin, half-life of the decay of  $^{167}\text{W}$ . Deduced total and K-conversion coefficients for 84-, 94- and 110-keV transitions from  $\gamma$  ray, K-x ray and  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin data.

Others: [1989Br19](#) (also [1987Es08](#)), [1992HeZV](#): measured half-life of  $^{167}\text{W}$  and  $^{167}\text{Ta}$  decays.

The decay scheme is from [1989Me02](#). In view of the unknown  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feeding to the g.s., and due to a large difference between the highest populated level at 663 keV and Q-value of 6260 30, the decay scheme is considered incomplete by the evaluators, and has not been normalized to obtain  $\gamma$ -ray intensities per 100 decays.

 $^{167}\text{Ta}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
94.4 2	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
204.6 3	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
232.83 25	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
254.1 5	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
289.0 3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
392.0 4	(≤7/2)	E(level): 175.4 3 also possible; order of $175\gamma$ and $392\gamma$ uncertain.
496.57 25	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
503.0 5	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
567.4 5		
611.2 5	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
663.0 4		

<sup>†</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

$^{167}\text{W} \varepsilon$  decay (19.9 s)    1989Me02 (continued)

$\gamma(^{167}\text{Ta})$

The  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  feedings and log  $ft$  values given in Fig. 3 of 1989Me02: 20% (log  $ft=4.8$ ) for 94.4 level; 24% (log  $ft=4.7$ ) for 204.6 level; 5% (log  $ft=5.3$ ) for 254.1 level; 36% (log  $ft=4.5$ ) for 289.0 level; and 15% for levels above 289 keV. As the evaluators consider the decay scheme to be incomplete, these values are not adopted. The apparent large feedings to low-lying levels in 1989Me02 could be due to the missing  $\gamma$  transitions from unobserved higher levels.

All the gamma rays reported by 1989Me02 are in coincidence with Ta K-x rays and 511-keV annihilation radiation.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^\ddagger$	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
84.4 2	29 2	289.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	204.6	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1(+E2)	<1.25	7.25 15	$\alpha(K)=3.0\ 29$ ; $\alpha(L)=3.2\ 15$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.8\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.19\ 9$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.025\ 11$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00028\ 27$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=6\ 3$ (1989Me02)
94.4 2	100	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	4.9 3		$\alpha(K)=2.6\ 17$ ; $\alpha(L)=1.7\ 11$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.4\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.10\ 7$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.014\ 8$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00024\ 17$ $\alpha(\text{exp})=4.5\ 5$ (1989Me02)
110.2 2	94 4	204.6	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1+E2	2.9 4		$\alpha(\text{total})$ from simultaneous observation of 110 $\gamma$ in singles and the number of 94 keV $\gamma$ rays in coincidence with 110 $\gamma$ (1989Me02).
<sup>x</sup> 141.6 4									$\alpha(K)=1.8\ 10$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.9\ 5$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.22\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.05\ 3$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.007\ 4$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00015\ 11$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=2\ 1$ (1989Me02)
									Reported by 1987Es08 (and 1989Br19). Probably does not belong to $^{167}\text{Ta}$ . 1989Me02 report 141.6 $\gamma$ in coincidence with Hf K x ray and 139.5 $\gamma$ in $^{167}\text{Hf}$ , so they assign it to $^{167}\text{Ta} \varepsilon$ decay.
159.7 4	21 2	254.1	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )				$I_\gamma$ : corrected for contribution from 158.7 $\gamma$ emitted in $^{166}\text{Ta}$ decay.
175.4 3		567.4		392.0	(≤7/2)				$I_\gamma$ : not determined; contaminant present. $I_\gamma < 17$ expected based on intensity balance at the 392 level.
194.6 3	16 2	289.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )				$\alpha(K)=0.1110\ 16$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.0531\ 8$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.01303\ 20$
232.8 3	46 2	232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	0.181		$\alpha(N)=0.00306\ 5$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.000422\ 7$ ; $\alpha(P)=8.33\times 10^{-6}\ 12$
263.7 3	4 1	496.57	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
270.2 4	13 4	503.0	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
<sup>x</sup> 275.6 3	22 1								
378.4 4	18 5	611.2	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
392.0 4	17 2	392.0	(≤7/2)	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
430.2 3	17 2	663.0		232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
496.6 3	34 3	496.57	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
<sup>x</sup> 533.7 4	21 2								

<sup>†</sup> From 1989Me02.

$^{167}\text{W}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (19.9 s)    **1989Me02** (continued)

$\gamma(^{167}\text{Ta})$  (continued)

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\alpha$ (total) and or  $\alpha(K)\exp$  (1989Me02).

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{167}\text{W } \varepsilon \text{ decay (19.9 s)} \quad 1989\text{Me02}$ 