

$^{167}\text{W}$   $\varepsilon$  decay [1989Me02](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	ENSDF	23-May-2013

Parent:  $^{167}\text{W}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=(^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=19.9$  s 5;  $Q(\varepsilon)=6250$  30;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=99.96 1

$^{167}\text{W}$ - $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay: Based on  $\% \alpha(^{167}\text{W})=0.04$  1 ([1989Me02](#)).

Others: [1987Es08](#) (see also [1989Br19](#)); [1992HeZV](#).

The decay scheme is based on that of [1989Me02](#). No  $\varepsilon$  branch to the  $^{167}\text{Ta}$  g.s. is known but, if it exceeded 7.2%, it would be an allowed branch; also, provided it were <84%, the branch to the 289 level (which has the same parity as the g.s.) would be allowed. Thus,  $^{167}\text{W}$ (g.s.),  $^{167}\text{Ta}$ (g.s.) and  $^{167}\text{Ta}$ (289 level) must have the same parity. [1989Me02](#) assumed  $J^\pi=5/2^-$  for the  $^{167}\text{W}$  parent, based on systematics; this is not adopted here because  $J^\pi(^{167}\text{Ta g.s.})=(3/2^+)$  is favored in a (HI,x $\gamma$ ) study by [1992Th02](#). In view of the likelihood of g.s.  $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  feeding, the decay scheme has not been normalized.

 $^{167}\text{Ta}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ †	Comments
0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
94.4 2	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
204.6 3	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
232.83 25	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
254.1 5		
289.0 3	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> , 7/2 <sup>+</sup> , 9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
392.0 4	( $\leq 7/2$ )	E(level): 175.4 3 also possible; order of 175 $\gamma$ and 392 $\gamma$ uncertain.
496.57 25		
503.0 5		
567.4 5		
611.2 5	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
663.0 4		

† From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
( $5.59 \times 10^3$ † 3)	663.0	
( $5.64 \times 10^3$ † 3)	611.2	
( $5.68 \times 10^3$ † 3)	567.4	
( $5.75 \times 10^3$ † 3)	503.0	
( $5.75 \times 10^3$ 3)	496.57	$\varepsilon K/\beta^+=0.57$ 11 ( <a href="#">1989Me02</a> ) from I(K x ray, Ta) and I( $\gamma^\pm$ ) in coincidence with 497 $\gamma$ . This implies $Q=5590 +300-240$ ( <a href="#">1989Me02</a> ) for $^{167}\text{W}$ $\varepsilon$ decay, cf. 6250 30 from <a href="#">2012Wa38</a> .
( $5.96 \times 10^3$ 3)	289.0	
( $6.00 \times 10^3$ † 3)	254.1	
( $6.05 \times 10^3$ 3)	204.6	
( $6.16 \times 10^3$ 3)	94.4	
( $6.25 \times 10^3$ † 3)	0.0	

† Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{167}\text{W}$   $\varepsilon$  decay **1989Me02** (continued) $\gamma(^{167}\text{Ta})$ 

All gammas reported by **1989Me02** are in coincidence with K x ray(Ta) and  $\gamma^{\pm}$ .

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. $^{\ddagger}$	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
84.4 2	29 2	289.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	204.6	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1(+E2)	$\leq 1.3$	7.18 14	$\alpha(\text{K})=4.4$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.1$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.5$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.14$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.12$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.017$ 9; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00041$ 15
94.4 2	100	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	E2(+M1)	$\geq 1.1$	4.77 14	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=6$ 3 ( <b>1989Me02</b> ) $\alpha(\text{K})=1.8$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.3$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.57$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.15$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.13$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.018$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00015$ 8
110.2 2	94 4	204.6	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1(+E2)	$\leq 2.8$	3.0 4	$\alpha(\text{exp})=4.5$ 5 ( <b>1989Me02</b> ) $\alpha(\text{K})=1.9$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.8$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.21$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.06$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.048$ 25; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.007$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00017$ 9
<sup>x</sup> 141.6 4									Reported by <b>1987Es08</b> (and <b>1989Br19</b> ). Probably does not belong to $^{167}\text{Ta}$ ; <b>1989Me02</b> report 141.6 $\gamma$ in coincidence with K x ray(Hf) and 139.5 $\gamma(^{167}\text{Hf})$ , so they assign it to $^{167}\text{Ta}$ $\varepsilon$ decay.
159.7 4	21 2	254.1		94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )				$I_{\gamma}$ : after correction for contribution from 158.7 $\gamma$ from $^{166}\text{Ta}$ decay.
175.4 3		567.4		392.0	( $\leq 7/2$ )				$I_{\gamma}$ : not determined; contaminant present. $I_{\gamma}<17$ expected based on intensity balance at the 392 level.
194.6 3	16 2	289.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ,7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	94.4	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
232.8 3	46 2	232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]		0.181	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.1110$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0531$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01303$ 20; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00349$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00306$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000422$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.33\times 10^{-6}$ 12
263.7 3	4 1	496.57		232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
270.2 4	13 4	503.0		232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
<sup>x</sup> 275.6 3	22 1								
378.4 4	18 5	611.2	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
392.0 4	17 2	392.0	( $\leq 7/2$ )	0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
430.2 3	17 2	663.0		232.83	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
496.6 3	34 3	496.57		0.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
<sup>x</sup> 533.7 4	21 2								

<sup>†</sup> From **1989Me02**.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

$^{167}\text{W}$   $\varepsilon$  decay    **1989Me02** (continued)

$\gamma(^{167}\text{Ta})$  (continued)

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$  ([1989Me02](#)).

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{167}\text{W}$   $\epsilon$  decay 1989Me02

## Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ 

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

