

<sup>167</sup>Hf ε decay (2.05 min) 1973Me09

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 191,1 (2023)	22-Aug-2023

Parent: <sup>167</sup>Hf: E=0.0; J<sup>π</sup>=(5/2<sup>-</sup>); T<sub>1/2</sub>=2.05 min 5; Q(ε)=4060 50; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay=100

<sup>167</sup>Hf-J<sup>π</sup>,T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <sup>167</sup>Hf Adopted Levels.

<sup>167</sup>Hf-Q(ε): From 2021Wa16.

1973Me09: <sup>167</sup>Hf source from <sup>170</sup>Yb(<sup>3</sup>He,6n) reaction, with the targets enriched to 67% in <sup>170</sup>Yb, followed by chemical separation. Measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub> using Compton-suppression Ge(Li) spectrometer, K x rays using surface-barrier Ge(Li) detector, and conversion electrons using Si(Li) detector.

1989Br19 (also 1987Es08), 1970At01, 1969Ar23: half-life of decay of <sup>167</sup>Hf measured from γ-decay curves.

The decay scheme is tentative, and considered incomplete by evaluators, thus no meaningful γ-normalization and ε feedings can be deduced.

<sup>167</sup>Lu Levels

E(level)	J <sup>π</sup> †	T <sub>1/2</sub> †	Comments
0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	51.46 min 15	
139.87 15	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		
315.25 10	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : E1 γ to 7/2 <sup>+</sup> ; tentative 7/2[523] bandhead assignment from B(E1)(315.2γ)/B(E1)(175.4γ)(exp)=2.9 5, compared to 3.5 from Alaga rule, where B(E1)=I <sub>γ</sub> /E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>3</sup> .

† From the Adopted Levels.

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
(3.75×10 <sup>3</sup> † 5)	315.25	1973Me09 estimated >65% ε+β <sup>+</sup> branching to 315.2 level from I <sub>γ</sub> (K x ray)=58 29 and I(γ <sup>±</sup> )=60 30, relative to I <sub>γ</sub> =100 for 315.2γ.
(3.92×10 <sup>3</sup> † 5)	139.87	Intensity imbalance at 139.9 level indicates at the most 1.4% 17 ε+β <sup>+</sup> feeding to 139.9 level.
(4.06×10 <sup>3</sup> † 5)	0.0	1973Me09 listed <35% ε+β <sup>+</sup> branching to the g.s., based on estimated >65% ε+β <sup>+</sup> feeding to the 315 level.

† Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(<sup>167</sup>Lu)

I(K x ray)=58 29, I(γ<sup>±</sup>)=60 30, relative to I<sub>γ</sub>=100 for 315.2γ.

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult.	α <sup>†</sup>	Comments
139.9 2	3.8 8	139.87	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	1.19 23	α(K)=0.8 4; α(L)=0.29 11; α(M)=0.07 3; α(N)=0.016 7
175.4 2	6 1	315.25	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	139.87	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.0803 12	α(O)=0.0021 7; α(P)=6.E-5 4 α(K)=0.0669 10; α(L)=0.01041 15; α(M)=0.00233 4; α(N)=0.000544 8
315.24 10	100	315.25	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.0183 3	α(O)=7.66×10 <sup>-5</sup> 11; α(P)=3.90×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6 α(K)=0.01537 22; α(L)=0.00228 4; α(M)=0.000510 8; α(N)=0.0001194 17 α(O)=1.720×10 <sup>-5</sup> 25; α(P)=9.55×10 <sup>-7</sup> 14 Mult.: from α(K)exp=0.014 3, as deduced

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

**$^{167}\text{Hf}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (2.05 min)    [1973Me09](#) (continued)**

---

$\gamma(^{167}\text{Lu})$  (continued)

<u><math>E_\gamma</math></u>	<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>	<u>Comments</u>
		from a simultaneous measurement of $\text{Ic}(315.2\gamma)$ and $\text{I}\gamma(315.2\gamma)$ , using $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0823$ (E2 theory) for $198.8\gamma$ in $^{168}\text{Yb}$ for calibration of detector.

† Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{167}\text{Hf}$   $\epsilon$  decay (2.05 min) 1973Me09

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$

- Legend
- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
  - $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
  - $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

