

$^{167}\text{Dy } \beta^- \text{ decay (6.20 min)}$ **1977Tu01**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 191,1 (2023)	22-Aug-2023

Parent: ^{167}Dy : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(1/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=6.20 \text{ min}$ 8; $Q(\beta^-)=2368 \text{ 7}$; $\% \beta^- \text{ decay}=100$

$^{167}\text{Dy}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{167}Dy Adopted Levels.

$^{167}\text{Dy}-Q(\beta^-)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

1977Tu01: ^{167}Dy from $^{170}\text{Er}(n,\alpha), E(n)=14\text{-}15 \text{ MeV}$ using 96.9% enriched ^{170}Er target at the 400 kV neutron generator of the University of Helsinki. Measured $E\beta$, $I\beta$, $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, prompt and delayed $\beta\gamma$ -coin using plastic scintillator for β detection and Ge(Li) detectors for γ rays.

Others:

[1999As03](#): 133.2, 250.0, 310.3, and 569.7 keV γ rays reported from the decay of ^{167}Dy in the main study of ^{167}Tb decay.

[1974Ka21](#): 250.0, 258.9, 569.7, 599.2, 843.2, 975.9, 996.5, and 1014.1 keV γ rays tentatively assigned to the decay of ^{167}Dy in the main study of decays of ^{170}Ho isomers to ^{170}Er . The γ rays of 843.2, 975.9 and 1014.1 keV were not confirmed in [1977Tu01](#).

 ^{167}Ho Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	$7/2^-$	2.98 h 3	Configuration= $\pi 7/2[523]$ (1977Tu01).
259.34 11	$3/2^+$	6.0 μs 10	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1977Tu01). Configuration= $\pi 3/2[411]$ (1977Tu01).
319.75 12	$5/2^+$		Configuration= $\pi 3/2[411]$ (1977Tu01).
392.48 13	$(1/2^+)$		Configuration= $\pi 1/2[411]$ (1977Tu01).
409.97 12	$3/2^+$		Configuration= $\pi 1/2[411]$ (1977Tu01).
569.69 12	$(3/2^-)$		Configuration= $\pi 7/2[523]\otimes 2^+$ (1977Tu01).
922.0? 2			
1099.5 2			
1149.0 3			
1168.8? 2			
1240.6 4			
1664.9 4			
1919.0? 3			

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ values, with tentative placements included.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels. Nilsson assignments proposed in [1977Tu01](#) were confirmed in (pol t, α) study by [1979Lo02](#).

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log f_t	Comments
(449# 7)	1919.0?	0.46 5	5.55 5	av $E\beta=132.3$ 24
(703 7)	1664.9	0.79 11	5.97 6	av $E\beta=222.2$ 26
(1127 7)	1240.6	≈ 1.3	≈ 6.5	av $E\beta=387.0$ 28
(1199# 7)	1168.8?	1.22 22	6.61 8	av $E\beta=416.3$ 29
(1219 7)	1149.0	0.81 16	6.82 9	av $E\beta=424.5$ 29
(1269 7)	1099.5	1.22 24	6.70 9	av $E\beta=445.1$ 29
(1446# 7)	922.0?	0.94 13	7.03 6	av $E\beta=519.0$ 30
(1798 7)	569.69	82 4	5.452 23	av $E\beta=669.6$ 30
(1958 7)	409.97	1.5 5	7.33 15	av $E\beta=739.4$ 31
(1976 7)	392.48	6.3 11	6.73 8	av $E\beta=747.2$ 31
(2048# 7)	319.75	<4	>8.0 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=759.2$ 29 $I\beta^-$: 0 4 from intensity balance.
(2109# 7)	259.34	<8	>6.7	av $E\beta=805.7$ 31 $I\beta^-$: 3 6 from intensity balance.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{167}Dy β^- decay (6.20 min) 1977Tu01 (continued) **β^- radiations (continued)**

[†] β^- feedings are from transition intensity balance at each level, with tentative placements included. No feeding to g.s. is expected, as $\Delta J=3$ and $\Delta \pi=\text{no}$. Feedings to low-energy levels are uncertain because of lack of definite multipolarity assignments for the low-energy γ rays.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁶⁷Dy β⁻ decay (6.20 min) 1977Tu01 (continued)γ(¹⁶⁷Ho)

Iγ normalization: From total I(γ+ce) to g.s.=100% (no feeding to g.s. expected, as ΔJ=3 and Δπ=no).

Iγ(Ho K x ray)=81 12, relative to Iγ=100.0 for 569.7γ. The corresponding decay-scheme value is Iγ(Ho K x ray)=64.4.

	E _γ	I _γ [‡]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	δ	α [#]	Comments
3	60.44 8	1.9 3	319.75	5/2 ⁺	259.34	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	≤1.25	14.1 30	α(K)exp=11 5 %Iγ=0.92 15 α(K)=7.2 22; α(L)=5 4; α(M)=1.3 10 α(N)=0.29 21; α(O)=0.035 24; α(P)=4.5×10 ⁻⁴ 14 Mult.: from α(K)exp, determined from comparison of K x-rays and γ-ray intensities in singles and coincidence spectra (1977Tu01).
	72.67 ^{†@} 10	≈0.3	392.48	(1/2 ⁺)	319.75	5/2 ⁺	[E2]	9.73 15	%Iγ≈0.15 α(K)=2.061 29; α(L)=5.89 9; α(M)=1.425 22 α(N)=0.321 5; α(O)=0.0376 6; α(P)=9.32×10 ⁻⁵ 13	
	90.26 8	0.89 13	409.97	3/2 ⁺	319.75	5/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	3.83 33	%Iγ=0.43 7 α(K)=2.2 8; α(L)=1.3 8; α(M)=0.30 21 α(N)=0.07 5; α(O)=0.008 5; α(P)=1.2×10 ⁻⁴ 6	
	133.19 7	6.5 5	392.48	(1/2 ⁺)	259.34	3/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	1.07 8	α(K)=0.74 23; α(L)=0.25 11; α(M)=0.059 28 %Iγ=3.1 3 α(N)=0.013 6; α(O)=0.0017 7; α(P)=4.1×10 ⁻⁵ 19 This γ also reported in spectral Fig. 1 of 1999As03.	
	150.58 8	1.4 2	409.97	3/2 ⁺	259.34	3/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	0.73 9	%Iγ=0.68 10 α(K)=0.53 16; α(L)=0.16 6; α(M)=0.037 14 α(N)=0.0083 31; α(O)=0.00108 32; α(P)=2.9×10 ⁻⁵ 13	
	159.71 8	≈1.0	569.69	(3/2 ⁻)	409.97	3/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0910 13	%Iγ≈0.48 α(K)=0.0764 11; α(L)=0.01141 16; α(M)=0.002509 35 α(N)=0.000575 8; α(O)=7.96×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(P)=3.72×10 ⁻⁶ 5	
	250.03 13	19.9 11	569.69	(3/2 ⁻)	319.75	5/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0282 4	%Iγ=9.6 6 α(K)=0.02385 34; α(L)=0.00344 5; α(M)=0.000756 11 α(N)=0.0001740 24; α(O)=2.449×10 ⁻⁵ 34; α(P)=1.223×10 ⁻⁶ 17	
	259.33 13	58.0 40	259.34	3/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻	M2	0.827 12	%Iγ=28.0 10 α(K)exp=0.69 11 α(K)=0.661 9; α(L)=0.1286 18; α(M)=0.0294 4 α(N)=0.00685 10; α(O)=0.000980 14; α(P)=5.11×10 ⁻⁵ 7 I _(γ+ce) : 27.9% 9 based on adopted normalization. Mult.: from α(K)exp, determined from comparison of K x-rays and γ-ray intensities in singles and coincidence spectra (1977Tu01).	
310.26 12	52.0 30	569.69	(3/2 ⁻)	259.34	3/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.01643 23	%Iγ=25.1 17 α(K)=0.01390 20; α(L)=0.001981 28; α(M)=0.000435 6 α(N)=0.0001001 14; α(O)=1.419×10 ⁻⁵ 20; α(P)=7.27×10 ⁻⁷ 10		

¹⁶⁷₆₇Dy β^- decay (6.20 min) 1977Tu01 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{167}\text{Ho})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$a^\#$	Comments
352.2 2	2.1 2	922.0?		569.69	(3/2 ⁻)	[D,E2]	0.046 34	%I γ =1.01 10
569.7 2	100.0	569.69	(3/2 ⁻)	0.0	7/2 ⁻	[E2]	0.01135 16	%I γ =48.3 17 $\alpha(K)=0.00920$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.001672$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.000377$ 5 $\alpha(N)=8.67\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(O)=1.198\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=5.17\times 10^{-7}$ 7
579.4 3	0.47 8	1149.0		569.69	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.23 4
599.2 2	1.7 2	1168.8?		569.69	(3/2 ⁻)	[D,E2]	0.012 8	%I γ =0.82 10
662.9 ^{†@} 3	0.70 8	922.0?		259.34	3/2 ⁺			%I γ =0.34 4
689.4 ^{†@} 3	\approx 0.5	1099.5		409.97	3/2 ⁺			%I γ \approx 0.24
707.1 2	2.0 4	1099.5		392.48	(1/2 ⁺)	[D,E2]	0.008 5	%I γ =0.97 20
738.8 4	1.2 3	1149.0		409.97	3/2 ⁺	[D,E2]	0.007 5	%I γ =0.58 15
^x 746.0 [†] 2	0.86 10							
^x 799.0 [†] 4	\approx 0.8							
830.8 5	\approx 0.7	1240.6		409.97	3/2 ⁺			%I γ \approx 0.34
848.3 10	\approx 1.0	1240.6		392.48	(1/2 ⁺)			%I γ \approx 0.48
909.1 ^{†@} 5	\approx 0.8	1168.8?		259.34	3/2 ⁺			%I γ \approx 0.39
920.5 ^{†@} 5	\approx 0.5	1240.6		319.75	5/2 ⁺			%I γ \approx 0.24
981.4 8	\approx 0.5	1240.6		259.34	3/2 ⁺			%I γ \approx 0.24
997.0 2	0.95 10	1919.0?		922.0?				%I γ =0.46 5
^x 1080.3 [†] 3	0.62 8							
1094.6 6	0.5 2	1664.9		569.69	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.24 10
1272.9 6	0.65 8	1664.9		392.48	(1/2 ⁺)			%I γ =0.31 4
1405.6 5	0.48 7	1664.9		259.34	3/2 ⁺			%I γ =0.23 4

[†] Isotopic assignment of this γ ray is uncertain (1977Tu01).

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.483 17.

[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

