

$^{167}\text{Dy } \beta^- \text{ decay }$ **1977Tu01**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin		NDS 90, 431 (2000)	5-Jul-2000

Parent: ^{167}Dy : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(1/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=6.20 \text{ min}$ 8; $Q(\beta^-)=2350$ 60; $\% \beta^- \text{ decay}=100.0$

Others: [1974Ka21](#), [1999As03](#).

1977Tu01: sources from $^{170}\text{Er}(n,\alpha)$ ($E(n)=14\text{-}15 \text{ MeV}$, Er oxide targets enriched to 96.9% in ^{170}Er); measured $E\beta$, $I\beta$ (plastic scin), $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ (Ge(Li) with FWHM=0.50 keV at 60 keV (for x rays); two coaxial Ge(Li) with FWHM $\approx 2.2 \text{ keV}$ at 1.33 MeV), $\gamma\gamma$ coin, prompt and delayed $\beta\gamma$ coin.

 $^{167}\text{Ho Levels}$

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$		Comments
0.0	7/2 ⁻	3.1 h	1	
259.34 11	3/2 ⁺	6.0 μs	10	$T_{1/2}: \beta\gamma(t)$.
319.73 13	5/2 ⁺			
392.52 13	(1/2 ⁺)			
409.96 12	3/2 ⁺			
569.67 12	(3/2 ⁻)			
921.88? 24				
1099.68 24				
1149.0 3				
1168.88? 24				
1240.6 4				
1664.9 4				
1918.9? 3	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻)			

[†] Adopted values.

 β^- radiations

β^- feedings are from intensity imbalance at each level (no feeding to g.s. expected, as $\Delta J^\pi=3$). No feedings to low-energy levels are uncertain because of lack of multipolarity information for the low-energy γ rays.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log f_t		Comments
(4.3×10^2) 6	1918.9?	0.46 5	5.43 22	av $E\beta=127$	21
(6.9×10^2) 6	1664.9	0.78 12	5.88 16	av $E\beta=217$	23
(1.11×10^3) 6	1240.6	≈ 1.3	≈ 6.4	av $E\beta=381$	25
(1.18×10^3) 6	1168.88?	1.01 22	6.61 13	av $E\beta=410$	25
(1.20×10^3) 6	1149.0	0.80 16	6.74 12	av $E\beta=418$	25
(1.25×10^3) 6	1099.68	1.08 23	6.67 13	av $E\beta=439$	25
(1.43×10^3) 6	921.88?	0.72 21	7.07 15	av $E\beta=513$	26
(1.78×10^3) 6	569.67	82 4	5.38 7	av $E\beta=663$	26
(1.94×10^3) 6	409.96	1.7 5	7.20 14	av $E\beta=733$	27
(1.96×10^3) 6	392.52	5.6 11	6.70 10	av $E\beta=740$	27
(2.09×10^3) 6	259.34	<5	>6.9	av $E\beta=799$	27

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{167}Dy β^- decay 1977Tu01 (continued) **$\gamma(^{167}\text{Ho})$**

I γ normalization: from total I($\gamma+ce$) to g.s.=100% (no feeding to g.s. expected, as $\Delta J^\pi=3^-$, No.

I γ (Ho K x ray)=810 120, relative to I γ =1000 for 569.7 γ . The corresponding decay-scheme value is I γ (Ho K x ray)=644.

E γ	I γ #	E i (level)	J i^π	E f	J f^π	Mult.	δ	α @	Comments
60.44 8	19 3	319.73	5/2 $^+$	259.34	3/2 $^+$	M1(+E2)	≤ 1	13.9 24	$\alpha(K)=7.8\ 19; \alpha(L)=5\ 4;$ $\alpha(M)=1.1\ 8; \alpha(N+..)=0.30\ 22$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=11\ 5$ (comparison of K x-ray and γ -ray intensities in singles and coincidence spectra); $\alpha(K)(M1$ theory)=9.60, $\alpha(K)(E2$ theory)=2.31.
72.67 ^{‡&} 10	≈ 3	392.52	(1/2 $^+$)	319.73	5/2 $^+$	[E2]	9.9		$\alpha(K)=2.10; \alpha(L)=5.94;$ $\alpha(M)=1.43; \alpha(N+..)=0.389$
90.26 8	8.9 13	409.96	3/2 $^+$	319.73	5/2 $^+$	[M1,E2]	3.9 [†] 4		$\alpha(K)=2.2\ 8; \alpha(L)=1.3\ 9;$ $\alpha(M)=0.31\ 21; \alpha(N+..)=0.09$ 6
133.19 7	65 5	392.52	(1/2 $^+$)	259.34	3/2 $^+$	[M1,E2]	1.09 [†] 9		$\alpha(K)=0.76\ 24; \alpha(L)=0.26\ 11;$ $\alpha(M)=0.06\ 3; \alpha(N+..)=0.017$ 8
150.58 8	14 2	409.96	3/2 $^+$	259.34	3/2 $^+$	[M1,E2]	0.74 [†] 10		$\alpha(K)=0.53\ 17; \alpha(L)=0.16\ 6;$ $\alpha(M)=0.037\ 14;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.010\ 4$
159.71 8	≈ 10	569.67	(3/2 $^-$)	409.96	3/2 $^+$	[E1]	0.092		$\alpha(K)=0.0769; \alpha(L)=0.0114;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00251;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00070$
250.03 13	199 11	569.67	(3/2 $^-$)	319.73	5/2 $^+$	[E1]	0.0284		$\alpha(K)=0.0239; \alpha(L)=0.00345;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00075;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00021$
259.33 13	580 40	259.34	3/2 $^+$	0.0	7/2 $^-$	M2	0.84		$\alpha(K)=0.673; \alpha(L)=0.131;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0299; \alpha(N+..)=0.0085$ I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: 27.9% 9 based on adopted normalization.
310.26 12	520 30	569.67	(3/2 $^-$)	259.34	3/2 $^+$	[E1]	0.0164		Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.69\ 11$ (comparison of K x-ray and γ -ray intensities in singles and coincidence spectra); $\alpha(K)(M2$ theory)=0.67.
352.2 2	21 2	921.88?		569.67	(3/2 $^-$)				$\alpha(K)=0.0139; \alpha(L)=0.00198;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00043;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00012$
569.7 2	1000	569.67	(3/2 $^-$)	0.0	7/2 $^-$	[E2]	0.0115		$\alpha(K)=0.0092; \alpha(L)=0.00168$
579.4 3	4.7 8	1149.0		569.67	(3/2 $^-$)				
599.2 2	17 2	1168.88?		569.67	(3/2 $^-$)				
662.9 ^{‡&} 3	7.0 8	921.88?		259.34	3/2 $^+$				
689.4 ^{‡&} 3	≈ 5	1099.68		409.96	3/2 $^+$				
707.1 2	20 4	1099.68		392.52	(1/2 $^+$)				
738.8 4	12 3	1149.0		409.96	3/2 $^+$				
^x 746.0 [‡] 2	8.6 10								
^x 799.0 [‡] 4	≈ 8								
830.8 5	≈ 7	1240.6		409.96	3/2 $^+$				
848.3 10	≈ 10	1240.6		392.52	(1/2 $^+$)				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{167}Dy β^- decay 1977Tu01 (continued) **$\gamma(^{167}\text{Ho})$ (continued)**

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
909.1 ^{‡&} 5	≈8	1168.88?		259.34	3/2 ⁺
920.5 ^{‡&} 5	≈5	1240.6		319.73	5/2 ⁺
981.4 8	≈5	1240.6		259.34	3/2 ⁺
997.0 2	9.5 10	1918.9?	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻)	921.88?	
^x 1080.3 [‡] 3	6.2 8				
1094.6 6	5 2	1664.9		569.67	(3/2 ⁻)
1272.9 6	6.5 8	1664.9		392.52	(1/2 ⁺)
1405.6 5	4.8 7	1664.9		259.34	3/2 ⁺

[†] Value and uncertainty cover combined range for both M1 and E2.

[‡] Isotopic assignment of γ is uncertain.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0481 *I*₇.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

