

$^{167}\text{Ta } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$     **1982Li17,1987Es08**

Type	Author	History
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 90, 431 (2000)
		5-Jul-2000

Parent:  $^{167}\text{Ta}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(3/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=80$  s 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=5010$  SY; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=100.0

Others: [1969Ar22](#), [1989Br19](#) (same data as [1987Es08](#)), [1992HeZV](#).

The decay scheme cannot be constructed. [1982Li17](#) report nothing more than the energies of the most intense  $\gamma$  rays.

[1987Es08](#): sources from  $^{151}\text{Eu}(^{22}\text{Ne},6\text{n})$ , chemical separation, aerosol transport; measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ . See also [1989Br19](#).

[1982Li17](#): sources from Lu( $^3\text{He},\text{xn}$ ) ( $E(^3\text{He})=280$  MeV, Lu metal and Lu fluoride targets; fluorination of products followed by mass separation); measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$  (Si(Li), coaxial Ge(Li)).

 $^{167}\text{Hf}$  Levels

$E(\text{level})$	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \ddagger$
0.0	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	2.05 min 5
92.3?	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{167}\text{Hf})$ 

$E_\gamma \dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 81.6					
92.3 <sup>‡</sup>	92.3?	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : consistent with that for known transition deexciting first excited state of $^{167}\text{Hf}$ ; tentatively placed by evaluator.
<sup>x</sup> 111.6					
<sup>x</sup> 113.7					Also reported in <a href="#">1987Es08</a> (and <a href="#">1989Br19</a> ).
<sup>x</sup> 118.6					
<sup>x</sup> 139.5	4				From <a href="#">1987Es04</a> . $E\gamma=139.5$ in <a href="#">1982Li17</a> .
<sup>x</sup> 214.2					
<sup>x</sup> 278.0					Other $E\gamma$ : 277.7 in <a href="#">1987Es08</a> (and <a href="#">1989Br19</a> ), 280.0 in <a href="#">1992HeZV</a> .
<sup>x</sup> 296.3					

<sup>†</sup> From [1982Li17](#), except as noted; authors do not state uncertainty.

<sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{167}\text{Ta } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$     **1982Li17,1987Es08**

Legend

Decay Scheme