#### <sup>166</sup>Er(n,γ) E=thermal **1970Mi01,1965Ko13**

	History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 191,1 (2023)	22-Aug-2023						

1970Mi01: 95.6% enriched <sup>166</sup>Er target. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin using Ge(Li) detector with Compton suppression for low-energy  $\gamma$  rays, Ge(Li) pair spectrometer for high-energy  $\gamma$  rays, and Ge(Li)-NaI(Tl) for  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin. A companion paper 1970Mi09 from the same authors used <sup>167</sup>Er(n, $\gamma$ ),E=thermal to study <sup>168</sup>Er levels and gamma rays, but as the target material contained 9% 2 of <sup>166</sup>Er, some  $\gamma$  rays from <sup>167</sup>Er were also seen in this work.

1965Ko13: 72.9% enriched <sup>166</sup>Er target. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$  for 47  $\gamma$  rays up to 799 keV using Riso Bent-crystal spectrometer. A total of 22  $\gamma$  rays were placed in a level scheme of <sup>167</sup>Er.

The total  $\gamma$ -ray intensity of the primary  $\gamma$  rays is less than 20%, suggesting normalization problems or a very incomplete decay scheme. Additionally, there might be problems due to the neutron spectrum. Only 1970Mi01 used a bismuth filter to reduce the contributions from fast neutron.

Others:

1967Pr11: 99.97% enriched <sup>166</sup>Er target. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$  of 25 primary  $\gamma$  rays using Ge(Li) detector at Argonne National Laboratory.

1965Gr32: this work is mainly for  $\gamma$ -ray study of <sup>168</sup>Er from <sup>167</sup>Er(n, $\gamma$ ),E=thermal.

1962Iv02 (same group as 1965Gr32): measured conversion electrons.

<sup>167</sup>Er Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	7/2+ @		Proposed configuration: $v7/2[633] (90\%) + v7/2[633]+Q_{20} (6\%) + v5/2[642] (3\%) (1970Mi01).$
79.3219 <i>13</i>	(9/2) <sup>+</sup> @		Proposed configuration: $v7/2[633]$ (86%) + $v7/2[633]+Q_{20}$ (6%) + $v5/2[642]$ (6%) (1970Mi01).
177.952 15	$(11/2)^+$		
207.801 5	1/2-#	2.269 s 6	%IT=100 $T_{1/2}$ : from the Adopted Levels. Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521] (92\%) + v1/2[521]+Q_{20} (2\%) + v3/2[521]+Q_{22} (3\%)$ $+ v5/2[523]+Q_{22} (2\%) (1970Mi01).$
264.874 5	3/2-#		J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin from the Adopted Levels. Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521] (90\%) + v1/2[521] + Q_{20} (2.5\%) + v3/2[521] + Q_{22} (2\%) + v5/2[523] + Q_{22} (2\%) + v5/2[512] + Q_{22} (1\%) + v1/2[510] (1\%) (1970Mi01).$
281.574 6	5/2 <sup>-</sup> @		Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]$ (92%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (3%) + $v3/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (2.5%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (2%) (1970Mi01).
346.558 14	5/2 <sup>-</sup> @		Proposed configuration: $v5/2[512]$ (86%) + $v1/2[510]+Q_{22}$ (11%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (1%) (1970Mi01).
413.272 7	(7/2) <sup>-@</sup>		Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]$ (88%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (3%) + $v3/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (2%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (1.5%) + $v5/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (2%) + $v1/2[510]$ (2%) (1970Mi01).
430.032 15	(7/2) <sup>-@</sup>		Proposed configuration: $v5/2[512] (86\%) + v1/2[510]+Q_{22} (11\%) + v1/2[521]+Q_{22} (1\%) (1970Mi01).$
441.980 12	(9/2) <sup>-</sup> @		Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]$ (90%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (3.5%) + $v3/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (2.5%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (2%) (1970Mi01).
531.50 3	3/2+#		J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin from the Adopted Levels. Proposed configuration: $v7/2[633]+Q_{22}$ (81%) + $v3/2[651]$ (15%) + $v3/2[651] +Q_{20}$ (3%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=3/2^+$ in the Adopted Levels.
573.74 6	(5/2) <sup>+</sup> <sup>@</sup>		Proposed configuration: $v7/2[633]+Q_{22}$ (84%) + $v3/2[651]$ (10%) + $v3/2[651] +Q_{20}$ (2%) + $v5/2[642]$ (1%) + $v5/2[642]+Q_{22}$ (2%) (1970Mi01).
591.82 15			
667.909 18	(5/2) <sup>-</sup> @		Proposed configuration: $v5/2[523]$ (81%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (16%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{20}$ (2%) (1970Mi01).
745.41 12	(7/2) <sup>-</sup> @		Proposed configuration: $v5/2[523]$ (80%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (14%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{20}$

#### <sup>166</sup>Er( $\mathbf{n}, \gamma$ ) E=thermal 1970Mi01,1965Ko13 (continued)

## <sup>167</sup>Er Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	Comments
		$(2\%) + \nu 5/2[512] (1\%) + \nu 7/2[514] (1\%) (1970Mi01).$
752.78 10	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-#</sup>	Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (61%) + $v3/2[521]$ (37%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=3/2^{-}$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}=(3/2)^{-}$ .
763.47 8	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-#</sup>	Proposed configuration: $v5/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (56%) + $v1/2[510]$ (38%) + $v3/2[512] +Q_{22}$ (5%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=1/2^{-}$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}=(1/2)^{-}$ .
801.65 9	(3/2) <sup>-#</sup>	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : spin from the Adopted Levels. Proposed configuration: $v5/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (54%) + $v1/2[510]$ (37%) + $v3/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (5%) + $v1/2[521]$ (2%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (1%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=3/2^{-}$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}=(3/2)^{-}$ .
810.49 11		Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (60%) + $v3/2[521]$ (36%) + $v5/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (1%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=5/2^{-}$ .
1058.96 13		Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (80%) + $v5/2[523]$ (15%) + $v3/2[512]$ (2%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=5/2^{-}$ .
1086.28 <sup>&amp;</sup> 17	1/2,3/2	Proposed configuration: $v_3/2[521]$ (60%) + $v_1/2[521] + Q_{22}$ (37%) + $v_3/2[521] + Q_{20}$ (2%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=3/2^-$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}=3/2^+$ .
1135.28 <sup>&amp;</sup> 23		Proposed configuration: $v_3/2[521]$ (58%) + $v_1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (36%) + $v_3/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (2%) + $v_5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (2%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=5/2^-$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}=1/2^+$ .
1178.98 22	1/2,3/2	Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]+Q_{20} (93\%) + v1/2[521] (2\%) + v5/2[523]+Q_{22} (1\%) + v3/2[521]+Q_{22} (4\%) (1970Mi01) for J^{\pi}=1/2^{-}.$
1206.0 3		Proposed configuration: $v3/2[521]$ (55%) + $v1/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (36%) + $v3/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (3%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (2.5%) + $v5/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (1%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=7/2^{-}$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}<7/2$ .
1227.17 17	1/2,3/2	Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (90%) + $v1/2[521]$ (2%) + $v5/2[512]+Q_{22}$ (1%) + $v3/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (7%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=3/2^{-}$ .
1254.4 3		Proposed configuration: $v1/2[521]+Q_{20}$ (90%) + $v1/2[521]$ (2%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (3%) + $v3/2[521]+Q_{22}$ (4%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=5/2^{-}$ .
1384.41 12	1/2,3/2	Proposed configuration: $v3/2[512]$ (41%) + $v7/2[514]+Q_{22}$ (38%) + $v1/2[510] +Q_{22}$ (16%) + $v5/2[523]+Q_{22}$ (3%) (1970Mi01) for $J^{\pi}=3/2^{-}$ . In the Adopted Levels, $J^{\pi}=(3/2)^{-}$ .
1545.4 5	1/2,3/2	
1565.2 15	1/2,3/2	
1641.2 5	1/2,3/2	
1649.3 5	1/2,3/2	
1661.9 <i>3</i>	1/2,3/2	
1719.6 9	1/2,3/2	
1754.8 <i>3</i>	1/2,3/2	
1792.3 10	1/2,3/2	
1810.4 12	1/2,3/2	
1869.0 10	1/2,3/2	
1923 <i>3</i>	1/2,3/2	
1949.6 <i>11</i>	1/2,3/2	
2064.3 15	1/2,3/2	
2095 5	1/2,3/2	
2105 5	1/2,3/2	
(6436.32 20)	$1/2^{+}$	$S(n)(^{167}Er) = 6436.43$ 18 (2021Wa16).
(	-, -	$J^{\pi}$ : s-wave capture in <sup>166</sup> Er g.s.

 $^\dagger$  From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> Based on observed feeding by primary transition, except where noted. <sup>#</sup>  $\pi$  from I $\gamma/E\gamma^3$  values in average-resonance capture (1970Bo29). <sup>@</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

<sup>&</sup> See 1970Mi01 for a discussion of structure of this level.

## $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$

I $\gamma$  normalization: Deduced from  $\sigma_n$ =15.0 20 for thermal capture to 207.8 level (1965Ko13,2018MuZZ), I( $\gamma$ +ce)(207.8 $\gamma$ ), and  $\alpha$  (revised to reflect change from  $\alpha$ (E3)=1.48 used by 1965Ko13 to  $\alpha$ (E3)=1.38); uncertainty reflects 30% to 50% uncertainty estimated by 1970Mi01 for I $\gamma$ (absolute) values.

See 1970Mi01 for high-energy  $\gamma$  rays with E $\gamma$  between 2481 and 4326. 1970Mi01 did not attempt to separate the <sup>167</sup>Er intensity in this region from that for the other Er isotopes.

With new cross-section data adopted by 2018MuZY, the relative cross-section contributions for  $^{166}\text{Er}$ : $^{167}\text{Er}$  in the  $^{166}\text{Er}$  samples used by 1970Mi01 become 49:51 rather than 69:31 as reported by 1970Mi01. This increased extent of contamination by  $^{168}\text{Er} \gamma$  rays affects the analysis of contaminant peaks listed here.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$_{\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}f$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	${ m J}_f^\pi$	Mult.	α <sup>g</sup>	Comments
57.0723 <sup>‡</sup> 12	$1.2^{\ddagger} 4$	264.874	3/2-	207.801	$1/2^{-}$			
73.775 <sup>‡</sup> 4	$0.26^{\ddagger}$ 10	281.574	5/2-	207.801	$1/2^{-}$			
79.3219 <sup>‡</sup> <i>13</i>	$0.36^{\ddagger}$ 7	79.3219	$(9/2)^+$	0.0	$7/2^+$			
83.4733 <sup>‡</sup> 25	$0.11^{\ddagger} 2$	430.032	$(7/2)^{-}$	346.558	5/2-			
98.633 <sup>‡</sup> 15	$0.025^{\ddagger}$ 10	177.952	$(11/2)^+$	79.3219	$(9/2)^+$			
<sup>x</sup> 98.835 <sup>‡b</sup> 15	0.025 <sup>‡</sup>							
<sup>x</sup> 103.133 <sup>‡b</sup> 20	0.020 <sup>‡</sup>							
<sup>x</sup> 116.74 <sup>‡#</sup> 5	0.020 <sup>‡</sup>							
131.700 <sup>‡</sup> 4	0.29 <sup>‡</sup> 4	413.272	(7/2)-	281.574	5/2-			$E\gamma$ =131.70 25, $I\gamma$ =0.3 <i>l</i> (1970Mi01), intensity affected by the pulse-shape discrimination.
136.46 <sup>‡#h</sup> 4	0.015 <sup>‡</sup>	667.909	$(5/2)^{-}$	531.50	$3/2^{+}$			
148.394 <sup>‡</sup> 6	0.36 <sup>‡</sup> 4	413.272	(7/2)-	264.874	3/2-			$E\gamma$ =148.43 20, $I\gamma$ =0.3 1 (1970Mi01), intensity affected by the pulse-shape discrimination
x159.02 <sup>‡</sup> 3	0.05 <sup>‡</sup>							the pulse shape discrimination.
x159.15 <sup>‡</sup> 3	0.05 <sup>‡</sup>							
x159.26 <sup>‡</sup> 3	0.05 <sup>‡</sup>							
160.406 <sup>‡</sup> 10	0.114 <sup>‡</sup> <i>17</i>	441.980	(9/2)-	281.574	5/2-			$E\gamma$ =160.4 5, I $\gamma$ <0.2 (1970Mi01), intensity affected by the
x162 0 <sup>b</sup> 6	<0.1@							pulse-shape discrimination.
$x_{167} h_{b}^{b} 5$	< 0.1							
$x_{174} 0^{b} 5$	$< 0.1^{\circ}$							
177.90 <sup>‡</sup> 6	0.015 <sup>‡</sup> 6	177.952	$(11/2)^+$	0.0	7/2+			$E\gamma$ =177.65 45, $I\gamma$ <0.1 (1970Mi01), intensity affected by the pulse-shape discrimination.
<sup>x</sup> 193.5 <sup>b</sup> 5	< 0.1							
207.801 <sup>‡</sup> 5	13.9 <sup>‡</sup> <i>14</i>	207.801	1/2-	0.0	7/2+	E3	1.380	$E\gamma$ =208.84 8, I $\gamma$ =10.0 20 (1970Mi01). Mult.: from the Adopted Gammas.
$x_{209.04}^{\pm \#b} 10$ $x_{213.28}^{\pm \#b} 10$	0.022 <sup>‡</sup> 0.022 <sup>‡</sup>							Ĩ
^226.9° 6	< 0.03		(5.10) -	120.025	(7.0) -			
237.873 <sup>cc</sup> 15	0.144 <sup>cc</sup> 20	667.909	(5/2)-	430.032	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>			$E\gamma = 237.874 \ 15, \ 1\gamma = 0.165 \ 25 \\ (1965Ko13). \\ E\gamma = 237.78 \ 12, \ I\gamma = 0.13 \ 2 \\ (1970Mi01).$

# $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$_{\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}f$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$J_f^{\pi}$	Comments
$x_{249.8}^{b} 6$ $x_{275.21}^{\ddagger b} 16$	<0.03 0.096 <sup>‡</sup> 24					Eγ=274.4 8, Iγ=0.10 3 (1970Mi01); small contribution from $^{168}$ Er.
$x^{277.60}$ $\frac{16}{16}$	$0.096^{\ddagger} 24$					$E\gamma$ =277.8 9, $I\gamma$ =0.09 2 (1970Mi01).
315.57 20	≈0.043	745.41	(7/2)-	430.032	(7/2)-	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx$ 50% of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> =0.085 <i>17</i> .
<sup>x</sup> 317.25 <sup>‡</sup> 20	0.052 <sup>‡</sup> 21					$E\gamma = 317.4 \ 8, I\gamma < 0.04 \ (1970 \text{Mi01}).$
321.336 <sup>&amp;</sup> 25	0.84 <sup>&amp;</sup> 11	667.909	(5/2)-	346.558	5/2-	Eγ=321.335 25, Iγ=0.93 9 (1965Ko13). Eγ=321.35 10, Iγ=0.71 11 (1970Mi01).
x337.88 <sup>bc</sup> 45	0.05 2					
<sup>x</sup> 341.70 <sup>‡</sup> 5	0.41 <sup>‡</sup> 6					
346.547 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 15	$5.6^{\circ}$ 6	346.558	5/2-	0.0	7/2+	$E\gamma$ =346.549 <i>15</i> , $I\gamma$ =6.1 <i>6</i> (1965Ko13). $E\gamma$ =346.50 <i>7</i> , $I\gamma$ =4.90 <i>75</i> (1970Mi01).
351.31 <sup>‡</sup> 25	$0.10^{\ddagger} 3$	430.032	(7/2)-	79.3219	(9/2)+	$E_{\gamma}$ , $r_{\gamma}$ . Inputties contribute to this line. $E_{\gamma}$ : placement suggested by 1979Bo44 in <sup>167</sup> Er(n n' $\gamma$ )
<sup>x</sup> 357.4 <sup>c</sup> 8	< 0.03					
x365.8 <sup>bc</sup> 5	<0.03					
<sup>x</sup> 371.35 <sup>d</sup> 18	$0.135^{d}$ 28					
371.35 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 18	0.14 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 3	801.65	(3/2)-	430.032	(7/2)-	$E_{\gamma}$ : placement suggested by 1979Bo44 in $^{167}$ Er(n,n' $\gamma$ ).
386.48 <sup>&amp;</sup> 15	0.094 & 18	667.909	(5/2)-	281.574	5/2-	Eγ=386.53 <i>15</i> , Iγ=0.13 <i>4</i> (1965Ko13). Eγ=386.33 <i>25</i> , Iγ=0.087 <i>18</i> (1970Mi01).
<sup>x</sup> 394.6 <sup>‡#</sup> 3	0.7 <sup>‡</sup>					
398.93 <sup>&amp;</sup> 16	0.21 2	745.41	(7/2)-	346.558	5/2-	Eγ=398.95 <i>16</i> , Iγ=0.20 <i>6</i> (1965Ko13). Eγ=398.90 <i>16</i> , Iγ=0.21 <i>2</i> (1970Mi01).
403.18 <sup>&amp;</sup> 15	0.140 20	667.909	(5/2)-	264.874	3/2-	$E\gamma$ =403.11 25, $I\gamma$ =0.14 4 (1965Ko13). $E\gamma$ =403.20 15, $I\gamma$ =0.140 20 (1970Mi01), ≈20% of total $I_{V=0}$ 186 25 from <sup>150</sup> Sm
<sup>x</sup> 406.68 28	≈0.06					$I_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 25\%$ of intensity to $^{150}$ Sm: total $I_{\gamma}$ =0.08.2.
416.99 18	≈0.36	763.47	1/2-,3/2-	346.558	5/2-	$E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}$ : doublet; 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 15\%$ of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total $I_{\gamma}=0.42$ 6.
426.28 22 430.00 28	0.143 <i>20</i> ≈0.027	1178.98 430.032	1/2,3/2 (7/2) <sup>-</sup>	752.78 0.0	1/2 <sup>-</sup> ,3/2 <sup>-</sup> 7/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}$ : Doublet; 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 80\%$ of intensity to $\frac{168}{2}$ Fr. total $I_{27} = 0.137, 20$
444.0 3	0.152 22	1254.4		810.49		$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
<sup>x</sup> 453.4 <sup>c</sup> 10	0.06 2	901 65	$(2/2)^{-}$	216 550	5/2-	E. I., doublet: 1070 Vi01 attribute - 250% of
455.52 25	~0.15	801.05	(3/2)	540.556	5/2	$E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}$ . doublet, 1970/001 attribute ~25% of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total I $\gamma$ =0.21 5.
460.5 8	0.05 3	667.909	$(5/2)^{-}$	207.801	$1/2^{-}$	
462.62 40	≈0.08	745.41	(7/2)-	281.574	5/2-	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx$ 40% of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total I $\gamma$ =0.14 <i>3</i> .
471.36 <sup>‡</sup> <i>30</i>	0.34 <sup>‡</sup> <i>14</i>	752.78	1/2-,3/2-	281.574	5/2-	$E\gamma$ =471.10 40, $I\gamma$ =0.15 8 (1970Mi01), line affected by impurity line.
<sup>x</sup> 474.04 <sup>‡</sup> 40	0.88 <sup>‡</sup> 26					$E\gamma$ =474.45 40, $I\gamma$ =0.2 1 (1970Mi01); major portion of intensity assigned to <sup>168</sup> Er.
<sup>x</sup> 480.1 8	< 0.1					$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : includes major component from <sup>168</sup> Er. $E_{\gamma}$

# $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger f}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$J_f^{\pi}$	Comments
						and I $\gamma$ measurements affected by background from ${}^{10}B(n,\alpha)$ .
487.91 <sup>&amp;</sup> 12	0.90 <sup>&amp;</sup> 8	752.78	1/2-,3/2-	264.874	3/2-	Eγ=487.86 <i>18</i> , Iγ=1.30 <i>26</i> (1965Ko13). Eγ=487.93 <i>12</i> , Iγ=0.88 <i>5</i> (1970Mi01).
494.39 <sup>&amp;</sup> 8	1.14 <sup>&amp;</sup> 9	573.74	$(5/2)^+$	79.3219	(9/2)+	Eγ=494.44 <i>15</i> , Iγ=1.80 <i>45</i> (1965Ko13). Eγ=494.37 <i>9</i> , Iγ=1.13 <i>6</i> (1970Mi01).
x495.7 <sup>‡#</sup> 3 498.57 9	0.6 <sup>‡</sup> 1.40 8	763.47	1/2-,3/2-	264.874	3/2-	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 1970Mi01 attribute <10% of total intensity=1.48 8 to <sup>168</sup> Er.
						$E\gamma$ =498.6 3, $I\gamma$ =3.0 6 (1965Ko13, intensity seems too high).
<sup>x</sup> 511.0 <sup>‡#b</sup> 5	1.1‡					$E_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 report that 511.17 30 $\gamma$ is complex, no ly given.
520.6 5	≈0.23	801.65	(3/2)-	281.574	5/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ , $I_{\gamma}$ : doublet; 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 30\%$ of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total $I\gamma$ =0.33 8.
528.7 <sup>‡h</sup> 4	$1.1^{\ddagger}$	810.49		281.574	$5/2^{-}$	
531.54 <sup>‡</sup> 4	6.8 <sup>‡</sup> 10	531.50	3/2+	0.0	7/2+	$E\gamma$ =531.54 8, $I\gamma$ =6.8 (1970Mi01), used for intensity normalization.
544.98 <sup>&amp;</sup> 25	1.14 29	752.78	1/2-,3/2-	207.801	1/2-	$E\gamma = 544.87 \ 25, \ I\gamma = 2.9 \ (1965Ko13).$ $E\gamma = 545.34 \ 45, \ I\gamma = 1.14 \ 29 \ (1970Mi01).$
554.8 5	0.44 7	1086.28	1/2,3/2	531.50	$3/2^{+}$	
573.78 <sup>&amp;</sup> 9	2.83 <sup>&amp;</sup> 23	573.74	$(5/2)^+$	0.0	7/2+	$E\gamma$ =573.77 9, $I\gamma$ =3.0 6 (1965Ko13). $E\gamma$ =573.78 9, $I\gamma$ =2.80 23 (1970Mi01).
<sup>x</sup> 578.7 <sup>c</sup> 5	< 0.2					
<sup>x</sup> 583.79 <sup>d</sup> 30	0.38 <sup>d</sup> 15					
591.82 <sup>&amp;</sup> 15	0.89 <sup>&amp;</sup> 11	591.82		0.0	7/2+	$E\gamma$ =591.85 50, $I\gamma$ =1.6 6 (1965Ko13). $E\gamma$ =591.82 15, $I\gamma$ =0.87 9 (1970Mi01).
593.87 <sup>&amp;</sup> 12	1.60 <sup>&amp;</sup> 24	801.65	(3/2)-	207.801	1/2-	$E_{\gamma}$ =593.82 25, $I_{\gamma}$ =2.7 7 (1965Ko13). $E_{\gamma}$ =593.88 12, $I_{\gamma}$ =1.55 15 (1970Mi01).
603.76 <sup>&amp;</sup> 25	0.73 <sup>&amp;</sup> 7	1135.28		531.50	3/2+	$E\gamma$ =603.4 5, $I\gamma$ =1.4 (1965Ko13). $E\gamma$ =603.85 25, $I\gamma$ =0.73 7 (1970Mi01).
$x_{613.53}^{b} 28$	0.275 40					_,,, _,,,,
x617.14° 28 x639.32 18	0.315 35 ≈0.11					$I_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 attribute ≈75% of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er;
645.74 <i>15</i>	≈0.58	1058.96		413.272	(7/2)-	total $1\gamma$ =0.45 5. $E_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$ : doublet; 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx$ 40% of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total $I\gamma$ =0.97 9. Theory predicts very low intensity for 645.7 $\gamma$ relative to $I\gamma$ values for other transitions from 1059.0 level to the 1/2[521] rotational band; a component of 645.7 $\gamma$ , therefore, probably belongs elsewhere.
x650.0 <sup>c</sup> 5 x656.9 <sup>c</sup> 6 x661.18 <sup>b</sup> 32 668.3 <sup>de</sup> 7 x691.80 22	0.10 4 0.06 3 0.17 4 0.06 <sup>de</sup> 3 0.33 5	667.909	(5/2)-	0.0	7/2+	$E_{\gamma}$ : probable doublet; includes component from <sup>168</sup> Er.
x756.3 <sup>c</sup> 10 x761.9 <sup>c</sup> 10 x767.9 <sup>c</sup> 10 777.0 7	0.07 2 0.08 3 0.07 3 0.05 3 0.11 4	1058.96		281.574	5/2-	

# $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$_{\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}f$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
794.00 25 *798.79 16	0.28 4	1058.96		264.874	3/2-	E <sub>γ</sub> : from 1965Ko13. 1970Mi01 attribute 798.98 22 γ with Iγ=0.88 9 to <sup>168</sup> Er only. Large intensity of 9.2 18 in 1965Ko13 is assumed by evaluators to belong to <sup>168</sup> Er.
810.53 12	2.42 24	810.49		0.0	7/2+	$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by evaluators, based on $(n,n'\gamma)$ results. Unplaced by 1970Mi01, but authors suggested that, although 810.5 $\gamma$ fits well between the 1384.4 and 573.8 levels, it is unreasonable to place it there because of its high intensity.
840.8 5 <sub>x845</sub> 2 <sup>bc</sup> 9	0.27 8 0.10 5	1254.4		413.272	$(7/2)^{-}$	$I_{\gamma}$ : includes small component from <sup>168</sup> Er.
870.5 5	0.17 4	1135.28		264.874	3/2-	
878.52 18	0.46 6	1086.28	1/2,3/2	207.801	$1/2^{-}$	
<sup>x</sup> 898.52 15	≈0.34					I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx$ 50% of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total I $\gamma$ =0.68 8.
909.37 42	0.16 3	1661.9	1/2,3/2	752.78	1/2-,3/2-	
924.56 <i>35</i>	0.25 5	1206.0		281.574	5/2-	
940.8 5	0.13 3	1206.0		264.874	3/2-	169-
962.7 6	≈0.33	1227.17	1/2,3/2	264.874	3/2-	$I_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 60\%$ of intensity to <sup>108</sup> Er; total $I_{\gamma}$ =0.82 <i>10</i> .
971.0 7	0.46 12	1178.98	1/2,3/2	207.801	$1/2^{-}$	
989.1 <sup>ch</sup> 18	0.13 6	1254.4		264.874	3/2-	
<sup>x</sup> 995.2 <sup>d</sup> 6	0.16 <sup>d</sup> 6					
<sup>x</sup> 1011.4 7	0.56 12					
1019.37 18	0.77 15	1227.17	1/2,3/2	207.801	$1/2^{-}$	
<sup>x</sup> 1030.1 <sup>b</sup> 7	0.15 5	100111			<i></i>	
1037.83 12	1.16 20	1384.41	1/2,3/2	346.558	5/2-	
"1049.1" 9	0.13 0					
$x_{1058.51}^{\circ}$ 30	0.33 / 0.08 / 1					
x1095.1 10	0.08 4 0.17 8					$E_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 indicate that 1095.1 $\gamma$ might belong to ${}^{168}E_{r}$
<sup>x</sup> 1098.3 <i>10</i>	0.13 6					$E_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 indicate 1098.3 $\gamma$ might belong to <sup>168</sup> Er.
<sup>x</sup> 1146.68 25	0.12 4					$E_{\gamma}$ : total I $\gamma$ =0.62 <i>12</i> , possible doublet, also contributed
<sup>x</sup> 1173.41 25 <sup>x</sup> 1201.7 5						$E_{\gamma}$ : total $I\gamma$ =0.46 9, impurities contribute. $E_{\gamma}$ : total $I\gamma$ =0.49 9, predominantly double-escape peak from <sup>1</sup> H(n, $\gamma$ ).
$x_{1219.6}^{bc}$ 15	0.07.3					
1223.35 30	0.47 10	1754.8	1/2,3/2	531.50	$3/2^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 1273.0 5						$E_{\gamma}$ : total I $\gamma$ =0.65 <i>13</i> , possible doublet, also contributed by <sup>168</sup> Er.
1280.5 5	0.42 13	1545.4	1/2,3/2	264.874	3/2-	-
1294.5 5	0.42 11	1641.2	1/2,3/2	346.558	$5/2^{-}$	
<sup>x</sup> 1298.0 <sup>b</sup> 6	0.44 11					
<sup>x</sup> 1305.2 <i>12</i>	0.12 5					
<sup>x</sup> 1341.5 <sup>b</sup> 5	0.48 10					
<sup>x</sup> 1353.5 6 <sup>x</sup> 1373.3 6						$E_{\gamma}$ : total Iγ=0.82 22, also contributed by <sup>168</sup> Er. $E_{\gamma}$ : total Iγ=0.28 7, possible doublet, also contributed by <sup>168</sup> Er.

		-	<sup>166</sup> Er(n,γ) Ε	E=thermal	1970Mi0	1,1965Ko13 (continued)
				$\gamma(^{16}$	nued)	
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$_{\mathrm{I}_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}f$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 1381.5 5	0.80 16				<u> </u>	
1384.4 9	0.22 8	1649.3	1/2,3/2	264.874	3/2-	
<sup>x</sup> 1432.9 <sup>a</sup> 8	$0.18^{a}$ 7					
<sup>x</sup> 1437.7 <sup>0</sup> 7	0.34 7					
1441.5 6	≈0.38	1649.3	1/2,3/2	207.801	1/2-	$I_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 25\%$ of intensity to <sup>106</sup> Er; total I <sub>2</sub> =0.51 10
1453.9 5	0.82 16	1661.9	1/2,3/2	207.801	$1/2^{-}$	ioui 17–0.51 70.
<sup>x</sup> 1485.2 <sup>d</sup> 8	$0.26^{d}$ 5					
<sup>x</sup> 1491.7 <sup>b</sup> 15	0.11 5					
<sup>x</sup> 1503.0 <sup>b</sup> 12	0.23 8					
<sup>x</sup> 1523.8 <sup>d</sup> 7	0.37 <sup>d</sup> 9					
<sup>x</sup> 1534.4 <sup>bc</sup> 25	0.10 5					
x1538.0 <sup>c</sup> 20	0.10 5					
<sup>x</sup> 1554.1 <sup>c</sup> 25	0.11 5					
<sup>x</sup> 1556.9 <sup>d</sup> 15	0.25 <sup>d</sup> 9					
<sup>x</sup> 1708.5 8	0.32 9					
4331 5	0.23 7	(6436.32)	) 1/2 <sup>+</sup>	2105	1/2,3/2	
4341 5	< 0.18	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	2095	1/2,3/2	
4372.0 15	0.23 5	(6436.32)	) $1/2^+$	2064.3	1/2,3/2	1685 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4486./11	< 0.39	(6436.32	) $1/2^+$	1949.6	1/2,3/2	$I_{\gamma}$ : includes component from <sup>100</sup> Er; total $I_{\gamma}=0.39$ 9.
4515 5	0.074 7	(6436.32)	$1/2^{+}$ 1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1925	1/2,3/2	
4625 9 12	≈0.12	(6436 32)	1/2	1809.0	1/2,3/2	L : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 25\%$ of intensity to $^{168}$ Er:
1023.7 12	0.12	(0150.52	) 1/2	1010.1	1/2,5/2	total $I\gamma=0.16$ 5.
4644.0 10	≈0.06	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	1792.3	1/2,3/2	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 60\%$ of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er; total I $\gamma$ =0.16 5.
4682.0 9	0.41 9	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	1754.8	1/2,3/2	,
4716.6 9	0.64 12	(6436.32	) 1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1719.6	1/2,3/2	
4775.3 9	0.58 12	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	1661.9	1/2,3/2	
4787.0 15	0.39 7	(6436.32	) $1/2^+$	1649.3	1/2,3/2	
4/92.8 20	0.14 5	(6430.32)	$1/2^{+}$ 1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1041.2	1/2,3/2	
4891 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 3	0.101 23 $0.095^{a} 10$	(6436.32)	1/2	1505.2	1/2, 3/2 1/2, 3/2	
5051.3 7	0.37 9	(6436.32)	$1/2^+$	1384.41	1/2, 3/2 1/2, 3/2	
5210.2 9	≈1.13	(6436.32	$1/2^+$	1227.17	1/2,3/2	$I_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 15\%$ of intensity to <sup>168</sup> Er;
						total $I\gamma = 1.33 \ 23$ .
5257.7 6	0.44 9	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	1178.98	1/2,3/2	
5351.0 9	≈0.39	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	1086.28	1/2,3/2	$I_{\gamma}$ : 1970Mi01 attribute $\approx 15\%$ of intensity to <sup>108</sup> Er; total $I_{\gamma}$ =0.46 7.
5634.2 7	<0.23	(6436.32	) 1/2+	801.65	(3/2)-	
5670 <sup>4</sup> 3	$0.058^{a}$ 6	(6436.32)	) 1/2+	763.47	1/2-,3/2-	
5682.8 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 7	$\approx 0.23^{a}$	(6436.32	) 1/2+	752.78	1/2-,3/2-	$E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}$ : doublet.
5904 <sup><i>u</i></sup> 3	$0.087^{u}$ 9	(6436.32)	) $1/2^+$	531.50	$3/2^+$	
01/1.2 5	2.30 23	(6436.32	) $1/2^+$	264.874	$\frac{3}{2}$	$I_{\gamma}$ : Irom 196/Pr11.
0440.43 33	7.1 7	(0+30.32	1/2	207.001	1/2	

<sup>†</sup> From 1970Mi01, except as noted. Intensities in 1967Pr11 and those of secondary  $\gamma$  rays of 1970Mi01 were normalized to those of 1965Ko13 (intensity scale used in calibration) through I $\gamma$ =6.8 for 531.5 $\gamma$ . I $\gamma$  of primary  $\gamma$  rays in 1970Mi01 were normalized to those in 1967Pr11 through I $\gamma$ =2.30 for 6171.2 $\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From 1965Ko13, energies are from bent crystal spectrometer.

## $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

<sup>#</sup> The  $\gamma$ -ray is questionable (1965Ko13).

<sup>@</sup> Below 200 keV, the intensities from 1970Mi01 are affected by the pulse-shape discrimination used.

& Weighted average of values from 1965Ko13 and 1970Mi01.

<sup>a</sup> From 1967Pr11.

- <sup>b</sup> Transition may belong to <sup>168</sup>Er, either totally or partially (evaluators). Assignment is based on evaluated data for <sup>167</sup>Er( $n,\gamma$ ) E=thermal (1988Sh11).
- <sup>c</sup> Assignment to <sup>167</sup>Er is uncertain or line itself is uncertain (1970Mi01).
- <sup>d</sup> Includes component from <sup>168</sup>Er.
- <sup>e</sup> Probable doublet.
- <sup>f</sup> For intensity per 100 neutron captures, multiply by 1.05 30.
- <sup>g</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.
- <sup>h</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
- $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



<sup>167</sup><sub>68</sub>Er<sub>99</sub>





10

 $^{167}_{68}\mathrm{Er}_{99}$ -10

 $^{167}_{68}\mathrm{Er}_{99}$ -10

From ENSDF