

^{167}Tm ε decay [1968Fu09,1979ArZU](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 90, 431 (2000)	5-Jul-2000

Parent: ^{167}Tm : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=9.25$ d 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=748.4$ 15; % ε decay=100.0

Others: [1955Ne01](#), [1957Go78](#), [1957Gr74](#), [1957Mi01](#), [1958Be72](#), [1959Ha09](#), [1960Na14](#), [1962Dz03](#), [1962Gr23](#), [1962Ko09](#), [1963Al32](#), [1965Fu04](#), [1966Ja16](#), [1982Ar22](#), [1982BeYN](#), [1987BaZB](#), [1990Mu05](#), [1991Be15](#), [1991Mu15](#), [1996BeZY](#).

[1968Fu09](#): sources from $^{165}\text{Ho}(\alpha,2n)$; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ (Ge(Li)), delayed (x ray)(ce) coin.

[1979ArZU](#): measured ce data (mag spect).

The decay scheme and most data are from [1968Fu09](#).

 ^{167}Er Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$7/2^+$	stable	
207.801 5	$1/2^-$	2.269 s 6	$T_{1/2}$: adopted value.
264.873 6	$3/2^-$	1.47 ns 5	$T_{1/2}$: (x ray)(ce)(t) (1968Fu09); other values: 2.0 ns 5 (1958Be72), 1.46 ns 8 (1966Ja16).
281.3 7	$5/2^-$		
346.5 3	$5/2^-$		
531.54 4	$3/2^+$		

[†] Adopted values.

 ε radiations

ε feedings are from intensity imbalance at each level; no feeding to g.s. is expected, because $\Delta J=3$.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(216.9 15)	531.54	1.64 23	7.23 7	$\varepsilon K=0.7508$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.1892$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.06005$ 24 K-capture probability measurement: 0.755 28 (1991Be15). $\varepsilon L(\text{exp})/\varepsilon K(\text{exp})=0.243$ 17 (1991Be15), implying $Q(\varepsilon)=750+30-20$ (1991Be15 is presumed to supersede 1982BeYN).
(401.9 15)	346.5	0.025 5	9.39 ^{1u} 9	$\varepsilon K=0.7259$; $\varepsilon L=0.2067$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.06736$ 18
(483.5 15)	264.873	29 7	6.80 11	$\varepsilon K=0.8059$; $\varepsilon L=0.1487$; $\varepsilon M+=0.04536$ K-capture probability measurements: 0.813 30 (1996BeZY), 0.835 29 (1990Mu05). $\varepsilon L(\text{exp})/\varepsilon K(\text{exp})=0.180$ 16 (1996BeZY).
(540.6 15)	207.801	69 18	6.53 12	$\varepsilon K=0.8098$; $\varepsilon L=0.1459$; $\varepsilon M+=0.04435$

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: From total $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ to g.s.=100%; no feeding to g.s. is expected because $\Delta J=3$.

See [1982Ar22](#) for L-Auger spectrum.

$I(\text{Er } K\alpha \text{ x ray})=310$, relative to $I\gamma=10.0$ for 531.5γ ([1960Na14](#)) (after correction for absorption and assuming $\omega_K=0.949$), compared with $I(\text{Er } K\alpha \text{ x ray})=466$ from decay scheme.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{167}Tm ε decay [1968Fu09,1979ArZU](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α^a	Comments
(16.7 [‡]) 57.0723 12	# 29 5	281.3 264.873	5/2 ⁻ 3/2 ⁻	264.873 207.801	3/2 ⁻ 1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.360 11	5.22 12	$\alpha(\text{L})=4.02$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.94$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.261$ 8 E_γ : from adopted gammas. I_γ : deduced from $I_\gamma(207.8\gamma)$ and $\text{Ice}(\text{L})(57.1\gamma)$: $\text{Ice}(\text{K})(207.8\gamma)=18.7$ 9:19.76 24 (1979ArZU). Mult., δ : from evaluator's analysis of L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3: (M5+M5):N:O=7.3 6:5.6 4:5.8 5:1.5 2:1.4 2:1.5 2:0.04 2:1.1 1:0.14 3 (1979ArZU). Consistent with L1:L2:L3=1.00:0.70 2:0.855 22 (1987BaZB). However, $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=18.3$ 7 (1991Mu15) implies $\delta=2.7$ +6-4.
(73.8 [‡])	#	281.3	5/2 ⁻	207.801	1/2 ⁻	E2		9.8	$\alpha(\text{K})=1.94$; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.99$; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.45$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.396$ Mult.: from adopted gammas.
207.801 5	258 35	207.801	1/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E3		1.36	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.469$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.679$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.169$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0466$ E_γ : from adopted gammas. I_γ : 41.6% 7 based on adopted decay scheme normalization. Mult.: from K:L1:L2:L3:M:N:O=19.76 24:2.0 2:16.7 17:10.0 10:7.5 8:2.0 3:0.3 1 (1979ArZU), L1:L2:L3=1.00:6.3 4:4.00 16 (1987BaZB), and $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.50$ 5 (1963Al32 , scin), 0.47 2 (1991Mu15 , HPGe).
250.2 5	0.014 3	531.54	3/2 ⁺	281.3	5/2 ⁻	[E1]		0.0293	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0247$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00361$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00079$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00022$
264.9	≤ 0.46	264.873	3/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺	[M2]		0.85	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.677$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.133$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0306$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0087$ E_γ : from 1979ArZU . I_γ : upper limit of 0.46 6 deduced from $I_\gamma(207.8\gamma)$ and $\text{Ice}(\text{L})(264.9\gamma)/\text{Ice}(\text{K})(207.8\gamma)\leq$ 5.1×10^{-4} (1979ArZU).
266.5 5	0.014 3	531.54	3/2 ⁺	264.873	3/2 ⁻	E1 [@]		0.0250	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0211$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00306$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00067$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00019$ $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}\leq 0.02$ (1965Fu04).
323.7 5	0.013 3	531.54	3/2 ⁺	207.801	1/2 ⁻	E1 [@]		0.0154	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0130$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00187$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00041$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00011$ $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}\leq 0.01$ (1965Fu04).
346.5 3	0.155 20	346.5	5/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E1		0.0130	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0110$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00158$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00035$ Mult.: from adopted gammas.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{167}Tm ε decay [1968Fu09](#),[1979ArZU](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α ^a	Comments
531.54 4	10.0	531.54	3/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E2 [@]	0.0142	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0113$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00218$ $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.013$ (1965Fu04). E_γ : from adopted gammas.

[†] From [1968Fu09](#), except as noted.

[‡] Rounded-off value from adopted gammas; transition is expected, but has not been observed, in ^{167}Tm ε decay.

[#] Negligible; if it is assumed that there is no ε branch, the only feeding into the 281.6 level is from 250.2 γ ($I_\gamma=0.014$).

[@] From X_γ and $\text{ce}\gamma$ coin ([1965Fu04](#)).

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.161 22.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{167}Tm ε decay 1968Fu09,1979ArZU

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
