

$^{167}\text{Ho } \beta^- \text{ decay (2.98 h)}$     **1968Fu09**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 191,1 (2023)	22-Aug-2023

Parent:  $^{167}\text{Ho}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=7/2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.98$  h 3;  $Q(\beta^-)=1010$  5; % $\beta^-$  decay=100

$^{167}\text{Ho}$ -J $^\pi$ , T $_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels of  $^{167}\text{Ho}$ .

$^{167}\text{Ho}$ -Q( $\beta^-$ ): From [2021Wa16](#).

**1968Fu09**:  $^{167}\text{Ho}$  from  $^{168}\text{Er}(\gamma, p)$ ,  $E\gamma=30$ –MeV and  $^{164}\text{Dy}(\alpha, p)$ ,  $E\alpha=27$  MeV. Measured  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , prompt and delayed  $\beta\gamma$ - and  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin using Ge(Li) and scintillation detectors for  $\gamma$  rays and a magnetic spectrometer for  $\beta$  radiation.

**1962Ha24**: measured ce using a magnetic spectrometer.

**1955Ha45**:  $^{167}\text{Ho}$  from bombardment of  $\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$  with 22.4 MeV protons at the ORNL 86-inch cyclotron. Measured half-life of  $^{167}\text{Ho}$  decay,  $E\beta$ ,  $E\gamma$ .

Theory for log  $ft$  values: [1994Dz03](#), [1975Fe13](#), [1974Bo49](#).

 $^{167}\text{Er}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$7/2^+$	stable	
79.3219 13	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>		
207.801 5	$1/2^-$	2.269 s 6	$T_{1/2}$ : from the Adopted Levels.
264.874 5	$3/2^-$		
281.574 6	$5/2^-$		
346.547 15	$5/2^-$	1.0 ns 1	$T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ , $\beta\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1968Fu09</a> ); adopted in Adopted Levels.
413.272 7	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>		
430.020 15	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>		
441.9? 10	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>		
531.5 3	$3/2^+$		
535.81 10	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
667.890 20	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>		
744.94 18	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta$ <sup>†#</sup>	Log $ft$	Comments
(265 5)	744.94	2.9 7	5.49 11	av $E\beta=73.1$ 15
(342 5)	667.890	42 9	4.68 10	av $E\beta=97.1$ 16
				Measured $E\beta=315$ 20, $I\beta=45$ ( <a href="#">1968Fu09</a> ).
(580@ 5)	430.020	2.5 23	6.7 +11–3	av $E\beta=177.4$ 18
(664 5)	346.547	21 5	5.94 10	av $E\beta=207.2$ 18
				Measured $E\beta=610$ , $I\beta=25$ ( <a href="#">1968Fu09</a> ).
(931 5)	79.3219	15 <sup>‡</sup> 15	>6.2	av $E\beta=308.5$ 20
(1010 5)	0.0	15 <sup>‡</sup> 15	>6.3	av $E\beta=339.5$ 20
				Measured $E\beta=970$ 20, $I\beta\approx30$ ( <a href="#">1968Fu09</a> ).

<sup>†</sup>  $\beta^-$  feedings to  $E(\text{level})>79$  are from intensity imbalance at each level.

<sup>‡</sup>  $\approx 30\%$  for  $\beta^-$  branch to the (0.0+79.3) levels combined ([1968Fu09](#)). Evaluators assumed 50% uncertainty in this intensity in order to obtain  $\gamma$  normalization.

# Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>167</sup>Ho β<sup>-</sup> decay (2.98 h)    1968Fu09 (continued)γ(<sup>167</sup>Er)

Iγ normalization: If total I(γ+ce) to (g.s.+79.3 level) minus I(γ+ce)(79.3γ) is 70% 15 (1968Fu09 report Iβ≈30% for β group populating the (0.0+79.3) levels; evaluators assigns 50% uncertainty to this intensity).

E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†a</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ	a <sup>b</sup>	I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> <sup>a</sup>	Comments
(16.700 <sup>#</sup> 9)	≈0.022	281.574	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	264.874	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1]		89.0 13	≈2.0	%Iγ≈0.012 ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.771 7; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.1715 31 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.0400 8; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.00576 11; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=0.000315 6 α(L)=69.4 10; α(M)=15.43 22 α(N)=3.59 5; α(O)=0.518 7; α(P)=0.0283 4 Unobserved, but expected from decay scheme; Eγ deduced from energy difference between 281.6 and 264.9 levels. I(γ+ce) deduced from intensity balance at 264.9 level.
57.0723 <sup>&amp;</sup> 12	1.4 6	264.874	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	207.801	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.352 16	5.02 23		I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced from I(γ+ce) and α(theory) for M1. α(N)=0.208 10; α(O)=0.0264 11; α(P)=0.000684 11 %Iγ=0.8 4 α(L)=3.88 18; α(M)=0.91 4 I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced from intensity balance at 207.8 level. E <sub>γ</sub> ,Mult.,δ: from the Adopted Gammas, based on subshell ratios.
73.775 <sup>#</sup> 4	0.8 3	281.574	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	207.801	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		9.66 14		%Iγ=0.45 20 α(K)=1.898 27; α(L)=5.94 8; α(M)=1.448 20 α(N)=0.327 5; α(O)=0.0380 5; α(P)=8.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12 Eγ=73.8 2 (1968Fu09). Mult.: from L1:L2:L3=(very weak):20:20 (1962Ha24).
79.3219 <sup>#</sup> 13	3.8 9	79.3219	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.32 3	5.70 8		%Iγ=2.1 7 α(K)exp≈3.0 (1962Ha24) α(K)=4.37 8; α(L)=1.03 6; α(M)=0.236 15 α(N)=0.0544 33; α(O)=0.0073 4; α(P)=0.000268 5 Eγ=79.3 2 (1968Fu09). K:L1≈250:50 (1962Ha24). L2 is weak (1962Ha24). Mult.,δ: from the Adopted Gammas; α(K)exp in <sup>167</sup> Ho β <sup>-</sup> decay gives M1+E2 with δ≈1.2.
83.4733 <sup>#</sup> 25	2.7 <sup>@</sup> 6	430.020	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	346.547	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.40 +50-13	4.94 35		%Iγ=1.5 5 α(K)exp≈2.6 (1962Ha24) α(K)=3.7 8; α(L)=1.0 8; α(M)=0.23 21

From ENSDF

<sup>167</sup>Ho β<sup>-</sup> decay (2.98 h)    1968Fu09 (continued)γ(<sup>167</sup>Er) (continued)

E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†a</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	α <sup>b</sup>	Comments
105.75 <sup>#</sup> 10	0.16 7	535.81	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	430.020	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	2.40 4	$\alpha(N)=0.05\ 5; \alpha(O)=0.007\ 5; \alpha(P)=2.2\times10^{-4}\ 6$ $E\gamma=83.5\ 2$ (1968Fu09). K:L1:L2≈150:30:≈15 (1962Ha24). δ: from α(K)exp and K, L1, L2 ratios using BrIccMixing code, assuming 50% uncertainty in α(K)exp, K and L2 intensities and 20% in L1. %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.09 4 $\alpha(K)=1.5\ 5; \alpha(L)=0.7\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.17\ 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.038\ 23; \alpha(O)=0.0047\ 24; \alpha(P)=8.E-5\ 4$ $E\gamma=106\ 1$ (1968Fu09).
131 <sup>c</sup> 1	≈0.08	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	535.81	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	1.088 34	$\alpha(K)=0.540\ 14; \alpha(L)=0.421\ 16; \alpha(M)=0.102\ 4$ %I <sub>γ</sub> ≈0.045 $\alpha(N)=0.0231\ 9; \alpha(O)=0.00275\ 10; \alpha(P)=2.31\times10^{-5}\ 6$ Observed in coincidence spectrum only. I <sub>γ</sub> : deduced from intensity balance at 536.3 level; I <sub>γ</sub> (131γ+131.7γ)=0.2 1. %I <sub>γ</sub> ≈0.0674
131.700 <sup>#</sup> 4	≈0.12	413.272	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	281.574	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	1.18 12	$\alpha(K)=0.81\ 28; \alpha(L)=0.29\ 12; \alpha(M)=0.068\ 32$ $\alpha(N)=0.015\ 7; \alpha(O)=0.0020\ 7; \alpha(P)=4.5\times10^{-5}\ 22$ $E\gamma=131.7\ 3$ (1968Fu09). I <sub>γ</sub> : see comment with 131γ from 668 level; remaining intensity is attributed to 131.7γ.
148.394 <sup>#</sup> 6	0.2 1	413.272	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	264.874	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.699 10	%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.11 6 $\alpha(K)=0.382\ 5; \alpha(L)=0.2434\ 34; \alpha(M)=0.0586\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.01331\ 19; \alpha(O)=0.001601\ 22; \alpha(P)=1.677\times10^{-5}\ 23$ $E\gamma=148.3\ 4$ (1968Fu09).
207.801 <sup>#</sup> 5	8.8 6	207.801	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E3	1.380 19	%I <sub>γ</sub> =4.9 11 $\alpha(K)=0.476\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.689\ 10; \alpha(M)=0.1718\ 24$ $\alpha(N)=0.0392\ 5; \alpha(O)=0.00466\ 7; \alpha(P)=2.75\times10^{-5}\ 4$ $E\gamma=207.8\ 2$ (1968Fu09).
208.7 4	0.30 15	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	535.81	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.29 7	Mult.: from the Adopted Gammas, based on ce subshell ratios and α(K)exp. %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.17 9 $\alpha(K)=0.22\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.051\ 7; \alpha(M)=0.0118\ 20$ $\alpha(N)=0.0027\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.000361\ 29; \alpha(P)=1.3\times10^{-5}\ 6$ Observed in coincidence spectrum only.
237.873 <sup>#</sup> 15	9.0 6	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	430.020	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	M1	0.2498 35	%I <sub>γ</sub> =5.1 11 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.31; K/L1=6.0$ (1962Ha24) $\alpha(K)=0.2100\ 29; \alpha(L)=0.0310\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.00688\ 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.001604\ 22; \alpha(O)=0.0002322\ 33; \alpha(P)=1.286\times10^{-5}\ 18$ $E\gamma=237.9\ 2$ (1968Fu09).
250.2 <sup>#</sup> 5	@	531.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	281.574	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	0.0292 4	$\alpha(K)=0.0246\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.00359\ 5; \alpha(M)=0.000793\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001831\ 27; \alpha(O)=2.56\times10^{-5}\ 4; \alpha(P)=1.249\times10^{-6}\ 19$

<sup>167</sup>Ho  $\beta^-$  decay (2.98 h) 1968Fu09 (continued) $\gamma^{(167)\text{Er}}$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta$	$a^b$	Comments
254.7 2	0.37 10	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	413.272	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.16 5	%I $\gamma$ =0.21 7 $\alpha(K)=0.13$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0261$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00596$ 27 $\alpha(N)=0.00138$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000186$ 7; $\alpha(P)=7.3\times10^{-6}$ 33	
266.5 <sup>#</sup> 5	@	531.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	264.874	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1 <sup>#</sup>	0.0249 4	$\alpha(K)=0.02099$ 31; $\alpha(L)=0.00305$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000673$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.0001556$ 23; $\alpha(O)=2.178\times10^{-5}$ 32; $\alpha(P)=1.072\times10^{-6}$ 16	
303 <sup>c</sup> 1	$\leq 0.06$	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	441.9?	(9/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.098 31	%I $\gamma$ <0.0337 $\alpha(K)=0.079$ 30; $\alpha(L)=0.0149$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.00339$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.00078$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000107$ 13; $\alpha(P)=4.6\times10^{-6}$ 21	
315.0 5	1.3 3	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	430.020	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.088 29	%I $\gamma$ =0.73 23 $\alpha(K)=0.071$ 27; $\alpha(L)=0.0132$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00300$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.00069$ 5; $\alpha(O)=9.5\times10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=4.1\times10^{-6}$ 19	
321.336 <sup>#</sup> 25	42.0 15	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	346.547	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	<0.8	0.100 11	%I $\gamma$ =24 5 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.087$ ; K:L1=80:15 (1962Ha24) $\alpha(K)=0.083$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0132$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00295$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.000685$ 24; $\alpha(O)=9.8\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.0\times10^{-6}$ 7 E $\gamma$ =321.3 2 (1968Fu09). $\delta$ : from combined fit to $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ and K/L1 ratio, assuming 20% uncertainty in each value.
323.7 <sup>#</sup> 5	@	531.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	207.801	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1 <sup>#</sup>	0.01538 22	$\alpha(K)=0.01299$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.001866$ 27; $\alpha(M)=0.000411$ 6 $\alpha(N)=9.51\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=1.340\times10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(P)=6.75\times10^{-7}$ 10	
332 1	0.30 15	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	413.272	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.076 25	%I $\gamma$ =0.17 9 $\alpha(K)=0.062$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.0112$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00254$ 24	
346.547 <sup>#</sup> 15	100	346.547	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.01304 18	%I $\gamma$ =56 12 $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.016$ ; K:L1=35:6 (1962Ha24) $\alpha(K)=0.01102$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.001576$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.000347$ 5 $\alpha(N)=8.04\times10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=1.134\times10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(P)=5.76\times10^{-7}$ 8 E $\gamma$ =346.5 2 (1968Fu09).	
(351.31 25)	1.4 11	430.020	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	79.3219	(9/2) <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.01261 18	%I $\gamma$ =0.8 6 $\alpha(K)=0.01067$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.001524$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.000336$ 5 $\alpha(N)=7.77\times10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=1.097\times10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(P)=5.58\times10^{-7}$ 8 E $\gamma$ : from the Adopted Gammas; transition probably not resolved from 346.5 $\gamma$ in <sup>167</sup> Ho $\beta^-$ decay (1968Fu09). I $\gamma$ : deduced from I $\gamma$ (83.5 $\gamma$ ) and adopted $\gamma$ branching ratio for 430.0 level.	
386.2 2	6.0 3	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	281.574	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1	0.0682 10	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.12$ (1962Ha24) $\alpha(N)=0.000432$ 6; $\alpha(O)=6.27\times10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=3.49\times10^{-6}$ 5 %I $\gamma$ =3.4 8 $\alpha(K)=0.0575$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.00838$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.001855$ 26	

↳

<sup>167</sup>Ho β<sup>-</sup> decay (2.98 h) 1968Fu09 (continued) $\gamma(167\text{Er})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$a^b$	Comments
398.6 3	1.6 3	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	346.547	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.046 16	$\alpha(K)=0.038$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0065$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.00147$ 24 $\%I\gamma=0.9$ 3
403.0 2	5.8 3	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	264.874	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.045 16	$\alpha(N)=0.00034$ 6; $\alpha(O)=4.7\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=2.2\times 10^{-6}$ 10 $\%I\gamma=3.3$ 7
430.0 5	0.22 6	430.020	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.00785 11	$\alpha(K)=0.037$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.0063$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.00142$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.00033$ 6; $\alpha(O)=4.6\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=2.2\times 10^{-6}$ 9 $\%I\gamma=0.12$ 4
460.0 2	3.7 4	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	207.801	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.02045 29	$\alpha(K)=0.00665$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.000939$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.0002066$ 29 $\alpha(N)=4.79\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=6.79\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=3.53\times 10^{-7}$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.01612$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.00336$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000769$ 11 $\%I\gamma=2.1$ 5
463 1	0.8 3	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	281.574	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.031 11	$\alpha(N)=0.0001772$ 25; $\alpha(O)=2.378\times 10^{-5}$ 33; $\alpha(P)=8.84\times 10^{-7}$ 12 $\%I\gamma=0.45$ 20
480.0 5	0.26 5	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	264.874	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.01828 26	$\alpha(K)=0.026$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0042$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.00095$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.00022$ 5; $\alpha(O)=3.1\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=1.5\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\%I\gamma=0.15$ 4
531.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 8	<0.03	531.5	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2 <sup>#</sup>	0.01408 20	$\alpha(K)=0.01449$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.00294$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000673$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.0001552$ 22; $\alpha(O)=2.091\times 10^{-5}$ 30; $\alpha(P)=7.98\times 10^{-7}$ 11 $\%I\gamma<0.017$
668.0 5	0.4 2	667.890	(5/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.00301 4	$\alpha(K)=0.01128$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.002171$ 32; $\alpha(M)=0.000494$ 7 $\%I\gamma=0.23$ 12
745.0 5	0.3 1	744.94	(7/2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	$2.41\times 10^{-3}$ 3	$\alpha(K)=0.00256$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000352$ 5; $\alpha(M)=7.73\times 10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(N)=1.795\times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(O)=2.57\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.388\times 10^{-7}$ 20 $I\gamma: 0.4 +1-2$ in 1968Fu09. $\%I\gamma=0.17$ 7
								$\alpha(K)=0.002053$ 29; $\alpha(L)=0.000281$ 4; $\alpha(M)=6.16\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(N)=1.432\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(O)=2.056\times 10^{-6}$ 29; $\alpha(P)=1.117\times 10^{-7}$ 16

<sup>†</sup> From 1968Fu09, except where noted.<sup>‡</sup> From  $\alpha(K)$ exp, unless otherwise specified; the  $I\gamma$  (adopted here) and ce intensity (1962Ha24) scales are normalized through  $\alpha(K)=0.469$  (E3 theory) for 207.8 $\gamma$ . The same values are adopted in Adopted Gammas.<sup>#</sup> From the Adopted Gammas.<sup>@</sup> Negligible relative to  $I\gamma(531.5\gamma)$  (from adopted branching).<sup>&</sup> From ce data (1962Ha24).<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.56 12.<sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.<sup>c</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

**$^{167}\text{Ho} \beta^-$  decay (2.98 h) 1968Fu09**
