

^{167}Ho β^- decay [1962Ha24,1968Fu09](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 90, 431 (2000)	5-Jul-2000

Parent: ^{167}Ho : E=0.0; $J^\pi=7/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=3.1$ h 1; $Q(\beta^-)=1007$ 5; % β^- decay=100.0

The decay scheme and most data are from [1968Fu09](#) (sources from $^{168}\text{Er}(\gamma, p)$ (photons from 30-MeV betatron) and $^{164}\text{Dy}(\alpha, p)$ (E(α)=27 MeV); measured E β , I β (mag spect), E γ , I γ (Ge(Li), scin), prompt and delayed $\beta\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ coin); ce data are from [1962Ha24](#) (mag spect). Other: [1955Ha45](#).

 ^{167}Er Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$7/2^+$	stable	
79.3 2	$(9/2)^+$		
207.82 15	$1/2^-$	2.269 s 6	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.
264.91 17	$3/2^-$		
281.60 19	$5/2^-$		
346.50 15	$5/2^-$	1.0 ns 1	$T_{1/2}$: $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1968Fu09).
413.20 22	$(7/2)^-$		
429.99 18	$(7/2)^-$		
442? 1	$(9/2)^-$		
531.6 3	$3/2^+$		
536.3 5	$(9/2)^-$		
667.86 16	$(5/2)^-$		
745.01 23	$7/2^-$		

† From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

Experimental β^- data ([1968Fu09](#))

E β (keV)	I β (%)
-----	-----
970 20	≈ 30
610	25
315	45

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^{-\dagger\#}$	Log f_t	Comments
(262 5)	745.01	3.0 9	5.42 14	av E β =73.0 16
(339 5)	667.86	43 12	4.61 13	av E β =97.2 16
(577@ 5)	429.99	2.7 24	6.6 4	av E β =177.5 18
(661 5)	346.50	21 6	5.89 13	av E β =207.5 19
(928 5)	79.3	15 ‡ 15	>6.3	av E β =308.5 20
(1007 5)	0.0	15 ‡ 15	>6.4	av E β =339.6 20

† β^- feedings to E(level)>79 are from intensity imbalance at each level. I $\beta\approx 30\%$ for β^- branch to the (0.0+79.3) levels combined ([1968Fu09](#)) (evaluator assumed 50% uncertainty in this intensity in order to obtain γ normalization).

‡ See comment on I β .

$^\#$ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(¹⁶⁷Er)

I_γ normalization: If total I(γ+ce) to (g.s.+79.3 level) minus Ti(79.3γ) is 70% 15 (**1968Fu09** report I_β≈30% for β group populating the (0.0+79.3) levels; evaluator assigns 50% uncertainty to this intensity).

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^c</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[‡]</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α^d</u>	<u>I_(γ+ce)^c</u>	Comments
(16.7 3)	<0.021	281.60	5/2 ⁻	264.91	3/2 ⁻				≈2.0	Unobserved, but expected from decay scheme; E _γ deduced from energy difference between 281.6 and 264.9 levels. I(γ+ce) deduced from intensity balance at 264.9 level.
57.10 ^{& 9}	1.4 6	264.91	3/2 ⁻	207.82	1/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.360 11	5.22 3		I _γ : upper limit deduced from I(γ+ce) and minimum α for mult=M1,E2. α(L)=4.01 3; α(M)=0.936 6; α(N+..)=0.2606 16 I _γ : deduced from intensity balance at 207.8 level. Mult.,δ: from adopted gammas, based on subshell ratios.
73.8 2	0.8 3	281.60	5/2 ⁻	207.82	1/2 ⁻	E2		9.8		α(K)=1.94; α(L)=5.99; α(M)=1.45; α(N+..)=0.396 Mult.: from L1:L2:L3=(very weak):20:20 (1962Ha24).
79.3 2	3.8 9	79.3	(9/2) ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.32	6.06		α(K)=4.65 14; α(L)=1.09 4; α(M)=0.251 8; α(N+..)=0.0708 22 α(K)exp≈3.0, K:L1:L2≈250:50:weak (1962Ha24). Mult.,δ: from adopted gammas (however, 1976Kr21 adopt δ=-0.20 4); α(K)exp in ¹⁶⁷ Ho β ⁻ decay gives M1+E2 with δ≈1.2.
83.5 2	2.7 6	429.99	(7/2) ⁻	346.50	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	≈0.4	≈5.05		α(K)≈3.76; α(L)≈0.99; α(M)≈0.229; α(N+..)=0.0647 δ: from combined fit to α(K)exp≈2.6 and K:L1:L2≈150:30:≈15 (1962Ha24).
106 1	0.16 7	536.3	(9/2) ⁻	429.99	(7/2) ⁻	[M1,E2]		2.43 ^b 4		α(K)=1.5 6; α(L)=0.7 4; α(M)=0.17 10; α(N+..)=0.05 3
131 ^e 1	≈0.08	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	536.3	(9/2) ⁻	[E2]		1.10		α(K)=0.546; α(L)=0.425; α(M)=0.102; α(N+..)=0.0285 Observed in coincidence spectrum only. I _γ : deduced from intensity balance at 536.3 level; I _γ (131γ+131.7γ)=0.2 1.
131.7 3	≈0.12	413.20	(7/2) ⁻	281.60	5/2 ⁻	[M1,E2]		1.20 ^b 13		α(K)=0.8 3; α(L)=0.29 13; α(M)=0.07 4; α(N+..)=0.019 9 I _γ : see comment with 131γ from 668 level; remaining intensity is attributed to 131.7γ.
148.3 4	0.2 1	413.20	(7/2) ⁻	264.91	3/2 ⁻	[E2]		0.708		α(K)=0.386; α(L)=0.246; α(M)=0.0590; α(N+..)=0.0164
207.8 2	8.8 6	207.82	1/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E3		1.36		α(K)=0.469; α(L)=0.679; α(M)=0.169; α(N+..)=0.0466 Mult.: from adopted gammas, based on ce subshell ratios and α(K)exp.

γ(¹⁶⁷Er) (continued)

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^c	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [‡]	δ	α ^d	Comments
208.7 4	0.30 15	745.01	7/2 ⁻	536.3	(9/2 ⁻)	[M1,E2]		0.29 ^b 8	α(K)=0.23 9; α(L)=0.052 7; α(M)=0.0120 19; α(N+..)=0.0033 5 Observed in coincidence spectrum only.
237.9 2	9.0 6	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	429.99	(7/2) ⁻	M1		0.255	α(K)=0.214; α(L)=0.0317; α(M)=0.00701; α(N+..)=0.00199 α(K)exp=0.31; K/L1=6.0 (1962Ha24).
250.2 [#] 5	@	531.6	3/2 ⁺	281.60	5/2 ⁻				
254.7 2	0.37 10	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	413.20	(7/2) ⁻	[M1,E2]		0.16 ^b 5	α(K)=0.13 5; α(L)=0.0265 1; α(M)=0.0060 2; α(N+..)=0.00168 3
266.5 [#] 5	@	531.6	3/2 ⁺	264.91	3/2 ⁻	E1 ^a		0.0250	α(K)=0.0211; α(L)=0.00306; α(M)=0.00067; α(N+..)=0.00019
303 ^e 1	≤0.06	745.01	7/2 ⁻	442?	(9/2) ⁻				
315.0 5	1.3 3	745.01	7/2 ⁻	429.99	(7/2) ⁻	[M1,E2]		0.09 ^b 3	α(K)=0.07 3; α(L)=0.0134 14; α(M)=0.00303 23; α(N+..)=0.00084 8
321.3 2	42.0 15	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	346.50	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.17	0.112	α(K)=0.094; α(L)=0.0139; α(M)=0.00307; α(N+..)=0.00086 δ: from combined fit to α(K)exp=0.087 and K:L1=80:15 (1962Ha24).
323.7 [#] 5	@	531.6	3/2 ⁺	207.82	1/2 ⁻	E1 ^a		0.0154	α(K)=0.0130; α(L)=0.00187; α(M)=0.00041; α(N+..)=0.00011
332 1	0.30 15	745.01	7/2 ⁻	413.20	(7/2) ⁻	[M1,E2]		0.08 ^b 3	α(K)=0.063 25; α(L)=0.0114 15; α(M)=0.0026 3; α(N+..)=0.00071 8
346.5 2	100	346.50	5/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E1		0.0130	α(K)=0.0110; α(L)=0.00158; α(M)=0.00035 α(K)exp=0.016; K:L1=35:6 (1962Ha24).
(351.31 25)	1.4 11	429.99	(7/2) ⁻	79.3	(9/2) ⁺				E _γ : from adopted gammas; transition probably not resolved from 346.5γ in ¹⁶⁷ Ho β ⁻ decay. I _γ : deduced from I _γ (83.5γ) and adopted photon branching for 430.0 level.
386.2 2	6.0 3	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	281.60	5/2 ⁻	M1		0.0698	α(K)=0.0588; α(L)=0.0086; α(M)=0.00189; α(N+..)=0.00052 α(K)exp=0.12.
398.6 3	1.6 3	745.01	7/2 ⁻	346.50	5/2 ⁻	[M1,E2]		0.047 ^b 17	α(K)=0.039 16; α(L)=0.0066 13; α(M)=0.0015 3; α(N+..)=0.00041 8
403.0 2	5.8 3	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	264.91	3/2 ⁻	[M1,E2]		0.046 ^b 17	α(K)=0.038 15; α(L)=0.0064 13; α(M)=0.0014 3; α(N+..)=0.00039 8
430.0 5	0.22 6	429.99	(7/2) ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺				
460.0 2	3.7 4	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	207.82	1/2 ⁻	[E2]		0.0205	α(K)=0.0162; α(L)=0.00337; α(M)=0.00077; α(N+..)=0.00021
463 1	0.8 3	745.01	7/2 ⁻	281.60	5/2 ⁻				
480.0 5	0.26 5	745.01	7/2 ⁻	264.91	3/2 ⁻				
531.5 ^{&} 8	<0.03	531.6	3/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	E2 ^a		0.0142	α(K)=0.0113; α(L)=0.00218
668.0 5	0.4 2	667.86	(5/2) ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺				I _γ : 0.4 +1-2.
745.0 5	0.3 1	745.01	7/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁺				

[†] From 1968Fu09 (¹⁶⁷Ho source), except where noted.

[‡] From α(K)exp unless otherwise specified; the I_γ (adopted here) and ce intensity (1962Ha24) scales are normalized through α(K)=0.469 (E3 theory) for 207.8γ.

[#] From adopted gammas.

@ Negligible relative to I_γ(531.5γ) (from adopted branching).

& From ce data (1962Ha24).

^a From adopted gammas.

$\gamma(^{167}\text{Er})$ (continued)

^b Value and uncertainty cover combined range for M1 and E2.

^c For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.57 15.

^d Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^e Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{167}\text{Ho} \beta^- \text{ decay } ^{1962}\text{Ha24}, ^{1968}\text{Fu09}$

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

