## **Adopted Levels, Gammas**

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Coral M. Baglin	NDS 109,1103 (2008)	1-Mar-2008

 $Q(\beta^{-}) = -6.46 \times 10^{3} \ 8; \ S(n) = 9.32 \times 10^{3} \ 8; \ S(p) = 3.2 \times 10^{2} \ 8; \ Q(\alpha) = 5.46 \times 10^{3} \ 5$ 2012Wa38

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record -6410 syst 9260 syst 280 syst 5510 syst 2003Au03.

Uncertainty in  $Q(\beta^{-})$ , S(n), S(p) and  $Q(\alpha)$  is 90, 90, 90 and 70, respectively (2003Au03).

 $Q(\alpha)$ : 2003Au03 deduce  $Q(\alpha)$  from E $\alpha$  in <sup>166</sup>Re  $\alpha$ -decay (1992Me10 and 1996Pa01) assuming an E(level)=150 50 to g.s. transition. If, instead, it were a g.s. to g.s. transition, those two measurements would imply  $Q(\alpha)=5657$  16. Assignment: <sup>93</sup>Nb(<sup>84</sup>Kr, $\alpha$ 7n), <sup>89</sup>Y(<sup>84</sup>Kr,7n), E=5.1 to 5.5 MeV/u and 5.8 to 6.4 MeV/u, excit (1978Sc26); <sup>141</sup>Pr(<sup>32</sup>S,pxn), E=204

MeV, excit (1992Me10).

<sup>166</sup>Re Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

 $^{170}$ Ir  $\alpha$  decay (0.87 s) A

 $^{170}$ Ir  $\alpha$  decay (811 ms) В

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0	+	2.25 <sup>#</sup> s 21	A	$%\alpha < 24$ ; %ε+%β <sup>+</sup> >76 %α: Both α decay and ε decay have been observed, but the branching has not been measured. Based on a comparison of excitation function data for the various nuclides they studied, 1978Sc26 estimate $30 \le %\alpha$ ( <sup>166</sup> Re)≤100. However, based on T <sub>1/2</sub> and assuming r <sub>0</sub> ( <sup>162</sup> Ta)=1.562 <i>3</i> (unweighted average of r <sub>0</sub> =1.567 24 ( <sup>160</sup> Hf), 1.556 <i>16</i> ( <sup>162</sup> W), 1.563 <i>11</i> ( <sup>164</sup> W) from 1998Ak04), %α<24 for HF>1 if a g.s. to g.s. transition is assumed and %α<6 if Q(α)=5510 70 from 2003Au03; further, if this is an unhindered decay, HF<4 would imply %α>6 or >1.35 respectively, for these two Q(α) possibilities. The evaluator adopts an upper limit of 24 for %α, implying %ε+%β <sup>+</sup> >76 since p decay is not expected (S(p)>0 from 2003Au03). The much higher estimate of %α in 1978Sc26 might Be unreliable due to the similarity of both Eα and T <sub>1/2</sub> for the <sup>166</sup> Re and <sup>165</sup> Re decays.
0.0+x			В	E(level): it is not known whether this is the g.s. or an excited state.
0.0+y	(3 <sup>-</sup> )		A	E(level): this may or may not Be the g.s.; if it is, y=0. However, a comparison of E $\alpha$ from low-spin <sup>170</sup> Ir $\alpha$ decay with Q( $\alpha$ ) from systematics (2003Au03) suggests that it is not.
53+x			В	<i>E</i> (level): an alternative value of $69+x$ is possible because the order of the $53\gamma$ and the $69\gamma$ has not been established. $\pi$ probably opposite to $\pi(0+x)$ level based on (E1) 53 $\gamma$ to 0.0+x level.
65+x			В	$\pi$ probably same as $\pi(0+x)$ level based on (E1) 110 $\nu$ from 175+x level.
75+x			В	E(level): 70+x 14 from energy difference between possible $\alpha$ group feeding this level and the 6121 $\alpha$ feeding the 0+x level. $\pi$ probably same as $\pi(0+x)$ level based on (M1) 75 $\alpha$ to 0.0+x level
122+x			В	E(level): 117+x 12 from energy difference between possible α group feeding this level and the 6121α feeding the 0+x level. $\pi$ probably opposite to $\pi(0+x)$ level based on (E1) 122γ to 0.0+x level.
175+x			В	E(level): $174+x$ 14 from energy difference between possible $\alpha$ group feeding this level and the 6121 $\alpha$ feeding the 0+x level. $\pi$ probably opposite to $\pi$ (0+x) level based on (E1) 175 $\gamma$ to 0.0+x level.

<sup>†</sup> From  $E\gamma$ , except as noted.

### Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

## <sup>166</sup>Re Levels (continued)

- <sup>‡</sup> The lowest-energy orbitals available for the 75th proton are probably 1/2[411] (d<sub>3/2</sub>) and 9/2[514] (h<sub>11/2</sub>) based on possible  $J^{\pi}$ =(1/2<sup>+</sup>) and (9/2<sup>-</sup>) for the g.s. of <sup>165</sup>Re and <sup>167</sup>Re, respectively; the lowest-energy neutron orbital available to the 91st neutron is probably 5/2[523] (f<sub>7/2</sub>) based on  $J^{\pi}$ =(5/2<sup>-</sup>) for the g.s. of the isotone <sup>165</sup>W (1995Hi02). If the deformation is large enough for the Gallagher-Moszkowski rule to Be valid, low-lying 3<sup>-</sup> and 7<sup>+</sup> states might Be expected, but no low-lying isomeric excited state has been identified in <sup>166</sup>Re as yet.  $\varepsilon$  decay to <sup>166</sup>W indicates an intensity imbalance at each of the 2<sup>+</sup>, 4<sup>+</sup> and 6<sup>+</sup> levels observed so far; this is probably the result of a very incomplete decay scheme, so this provides no useful indication of  $J^{\pi}$ (g.s.) for <sup>166</sup>Re. The possibility that the 0+x or the 0+y level is, in fact, the g.s. cannot Be ruled out.
- <sup>#</sup> Weighted average of 2.23 s 27 from  $252\gamma(t)$  and 2.28 s 34 from  $424\gamma(t)$  in  $\varepsilon$  decay (1992Me10). Other data: 2.2 s 4 (1978Sc26, for E $\alpha$ =5495 10); 1.9 s 11 (1992Me10, for E $\alpha$ =5501 13; however, A=165 contribution cannot Be ruled out); the E $\alpha$ =5506 10, 2.4 s 6 line assigned by 1981Ho10 to <sup>165</sup>Re has T<sub>1/2</sub> and E $\alpha$  consistent with those for <sup>166</sup>Re (to which 1978Sc26 assign their 5495 10 line and 1982De11 assign their 5527 4 line) but 1996Pa01 confirm its assignment to <sup>165</sup>Re. T<sub>1/2</sub>=2.8 s 3 (1984Sc06, for E $\alpha$ =5372 10) was assigned by those authors to <sup>166</sup>Re, but neither 1992Me10 nor 1996Pa01 see this line so the evaluator presumes it to have been misassigned. Note that the assignment of this T<sub>1/2</sub> to the <sup>166</sup>Re g.s. here is at variance with the assumption in 2003Wa32 that the observed <sup>166</sup>Re  $\alpha$  decay takes place from an excited state, unless both states have comparable T<sub>1/2</sub>.

 $\gamma(^{166}\underline{\text{Re}})$ 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_f$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	α <b>#</b>	Comments
53+x	53 <sup>@</sup>	0.0+x	(E1)	0.410	See comments on $53\gamma$ from $175+x$ level.
65+x	(65)	0.0+x	[M1]	3.12	$E_{\gamma}$ ,Mult.: $\gamma$ expected to form a cascade with 110 $\gamma$ to 0+x level in <sup>170</sup> Ir $\alpha$ decay (811 ms); may Be a highly-converted transition because transition is not evident in relevant $\alpha$ - $\gamma$ coin spectrum, so 2007Ha45 suggest M1 multipolarity, consistent with level scheme.
75+x	75	0.0+x	(M1)	11.75	Mult.: suggested in <sup>170</sup> Ir $\alpha$ decay (811 ms) based on 6053 $\alpha$ - $\gamma$ coin spectrum which includes significant I(K x ray) attributed to internal conversion of the 75 $\gamma$ ; analogous to authors' observations for known M1 92 $\gamma$ from <sup>171</sup> Re $\alpha$ decay.
122+x	(47)	75+x			$E_{\gamma}$ : highly tentative; however, observation of 2007α-75γ coin (2007Ha45) suggests the existence of a transition connecting the 122+x and 75+x levels and such a transition may Be too highly converted to Be seen in α-γ coincidence spectrum. Level scheme implies $\Delta \pi$ =(yes), suggesting a multipolarity of M2 or higher.
	69	53+x	[M1]	2.62	
	122	0.0+x	(E1)	0.229	Mult.: since $I(75\gamma)/I(K\alpha x ray)$ in <sup>170</sup> Ir $\alpha$ decay (811 ms) is approximately the same in spectra gated by the 6053 $\alpha$ and by the 6007 $\alpha$ , 2007Ha45 conclude that the 122 $\gamma$ is probably E1 since it provides no significant contribution to K x ray peak's intensity via internal conversion.
175+x	53 <sup>@</sup> &	122+x	[M1,E2]	40 40	This second placement of 53 $\gamma$ is suggested by energy difference between 175 $\gamma$ and 122 $\gamma$ that deexcite the same level. Mult.: assumed, based on level scheme; however, I(53 $\gamma$ )/I(122 $\gamma$ ) in <sup>170</sup> Ir $\alpha$ decay (811 ms) is approximately the same in the spectra gated by 5951 $\alpha$ or by the 6007 $\alpha$ (2007Ha45). Authors favor M1 multipolarity for
					this component and E1 for the other.
	110	65+x	(E1)	0.300	Mult.: based on an argument similar to that used by 2007Ha45 to assign multipolarity to $122\gamma$ .
	175	0.0+x	(E1)	0.0906	Mult.: based on an argument similar to that used by 2007Ha45 to assign multipolarity to $122\gamma$ .

<sup>†</sup> From <sup>170</sup>Ir  $\alpha$  decay (811 ms); uncertainties unstated by authors.

<sup>±</sup> Very tentative values from arguments based on  $\gamma$  and K x ray intensities in  $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  coin spectra in <sup>170</sup>Ir  $\alpha$  decay (811 ms), except

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

# $\gamma(^{166}\text{Re})$ (continued)

as noted.

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>@</sup> Multiply placed.

<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

### **Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Level Scheme

Legend

 $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)



<sup>166</sup><sub>75</sub>Re<sub>91</sub>