# Adopted Levels, Gammas

					History			
		Туре		Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date		
		Full Evaluation	Cora	l M. Baglin	NDS 109,1103 (2008)	1-Mar-2008		
$Q(\beta^{-})=487.1 \ 10; \ S(n)=7043.5 \ 4; \ S(p)=9.31\times10^{3} \ syst; \ Q(\alpha)=-729 \ 4 \ 2012Wa38$ Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 486.8 10 7043.5 4 9220 syst-728 4 2003Au03. Uncertainty in S(p) is 200 (2003Au03).								
				1	<sup>166</sup> Dy Levels			
				Cross Ref	ference (XREF) Flags			
				A 165 I B 164 I C 118 S D 166 J	Dy(n, $\gamma$ ) E=thermal Dy(t,p) Sn( <sup>164</sup> Dy, <sup>116</sup> Sn $\gamma$ ) Fb $\beta^-$ decay			
E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF			Comments		
0.	0+	81.6 h <i>I</i>	ABCD	$\%\beta^{-}=100$ J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : g.s. of e T <sub>1/2</sub> : weigh (1963Ho1 (1949Ke2	wen-even nucleus; L(t,p) ted average from 81.8 h 5). Others: 80.2 h 5 (19 2).	=0. 2 (1962Gu03) and 81.46 h 20 60He09), 82 h (1950Bu30), 81 h		
76.587 <sup>@</sup> 1	2+ <b>#</b>		ABCD	$J^{\pi}$ : E2 77 $\gamma$	to $0^+$ g.s			
253.5278 <sup>@</sup> 14	4 <sup>+#</sup>		ABCD		0			
526.9670 <sup>@</sup> 25	6+ <b>#</b>		AC					
857.163 <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	$(2)^{+}$		AB D	$J^{\pi}: M1(+E2)$	2) 781 $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> 77; band a	ssignment.		
892.0 <sup>@</sup> 10	8+ <b>#</b>		С					
928.729 <b>&amp;</b> 4	(3)+		A D	J <sup>π</sup> : E2(+M1	) $\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> 77 and to 4 <sup>+</sup> 2	254; band assignment.		
1023.434 4	$(4)^+$		AB	$J^{\pi}: M1 + E2$	770 $\gamma$ to 4 <sup>+</sup> 254; E2 947	$\gamma$ to 2 <sup>+</sup> 77; band assignment.		
$1029.903^{a}$ 4	(2) $(3^{-})$		A D	$J^{n}$ : $\gamma$ to (3)	$^{\circ}$ 929 and to (2) $^{\circ}$ 857 in	$\gamma$ band.		
$1141.266 \frac{\&}{13}$	$(5^+)$							
1141.200 13 $1149^{b}$	$(5^{+})$		R	$I^{\pi} \cdot L(t \mathbf{n}) = 0$	)			
1180.854 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 4	(4 <sup>-</sup> )		A	•••====(,,p)				
1189.387 4	$(2^+, 3, 4^-)$	) .	Α	$J^{\pi}$ : 159 $\gamma$ to (2 <sup>-</sup> ) 1030; 166 $\gamma$ to (4 <sup>+</sup> ) 1023.				
1208 <sup>0</sup>	$(2^{+})$		B	$\mathbf{E}(1,\dots,1)$ , $\mathbf{f}_{-1}$				
1274 1334			в В	E(level): for E(level): for	r contaminated line.			
1341.0 <sup>@</sup> 15	10 <sup>+#</sup>		С					
1351			В	E(level): for	r contaminated line.			
1515			B					
1616			Б В					
1645			В					
1674			B					
1864			ь В					
1868.0 <sup>@</sup> 18	12 <sup>+#</sup>		С					
1891			В					
2029			B					
2069.7 3	(≤3 <sup>−</sup> )		ь D	J <sup>π</sup> : log ft≈5	.4 in $\beta^-$ decay from (1 <sup>-</sup> )	,2 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>166</sup> Tb.		
	. /			0.5	, ,			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

#### <sup>166</sup>Dy Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	XREF	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	XREF
2120	В	2311		В
2183	В	2383		В
2252	В	2467.0 <sup>@</sup> 20	14+ <b>#</b>	С
		3119.0? <sup>@</sup> 22	$(16^+)^{\#}$	С

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ , assigning 1 keV uncertainty to  $E\gamma$  data for which the authors did not state an uncertainty, except for levels observed only in (t,p) reaction.

<sup>‡</sup> Based on the systematics for band structures of the even Dy isotopes, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>#</sup> Established  $J^{\pi}$  for the g.s. and 76 level combined with known E2 multipolarity for the J=4 to J=2 177-keV transition and a regular sequence of level energies enable the assignment of definite  $J^{\pi}$  to g.s. band members with J≤14.

<sup>(a)</sup> Band(A):  $K^{\pi}=0^+$  band (1998Wu04). A=12.80, B=-0.0063.

<sup>&</sup> Band(B):  $K^{\pi}=2^{+} \gamma$ -vibrational band (1988Ka44). A=12.05, B=-0.0065.

<sup>*a*</sup> Band(C):  $K^{\pi}=(2^{-})$  band. A=11.13, B=-0.013. Possible octupole band analogous to that in <sup>164</sup>Dy.

<sup>*b*</sup> Band(D):  $K^{\pi}=0^{+}$  band (1988Bu08). A=9.8 if B=0.

# $\gamma(^{166}\text{Dy})$

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
76.587	2+	76.587 1	100	0.	$0^{+}$	E2	7.51	
253.5278	4+	176.941 <i>1</i>	100	76.587	$2^{+}$	E2	0.357	
526.9670	6+	273.439 2	100	253.5278	4+	E2	0.0859	
857.163	$(2)^{+}$	780.571 <i>6</i> 857.156 <i>11</i>	88 <i>17</i> 100 <i>22</i>	76.587 0.	$2^+$ $0^+$	M1(+E2) [E2]	0.0074 <i>23</i> 0.00422	
892.0	8+	365 <sup>@</sup>		526.9670	6+			
928.729	$(3)^{+}$	675.218 9 852.128 8	13.7 27 100 <i>1</i> 9	253.5278 76.587	$4^+$ $2^+$	E2(+M1) E2(+M1)	0.011 <i>4</i> 0.0061 <i>18</i>	
1023.434	$(4)^{+}$	769.907 6 946.850 15	100 <i>21</i> 65 <i>13</i>	253.5278 76.587	$4^+$ 2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2 E2	0.0077 <i>24</i> 0.00341	
1029.903	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	101.175 <i>1</i>	4.4 8	928.729	(3)+	[E1]	0.299	$I_{\gamma}$ : from (n,γ) E=thermal. Other I(101γ):I(173γ)=13 4:100 13 in $Q^{-}$ decay
		172 738 1	100.10	857 163	$(2)^{+}$	[F1]	0.0716	III p decay.
1095 210	$(3^{-})$	166 479 3	73.8	928 729	$(2)^{+}$	[E1]	0.0789	
1095.210	(5)	238.062.4	100 10	857.163	$(2)^+$	[E1]	0.0309	
1141.266	$(5^{+})$	614.302 26	9.6 17	526.9670	6+	[21]	0.0000	
	(- )	887.734 15	100 21	253.5278	4+			
1180.854	(4 <sup>-</sup> )	85.644 2	1.6 8	1095.210	(3 <sup>-</sup> )			
		157.421 3	12.3 8	1023.434	$(4)^+$			
		252.124 3	100 10	928.729	$(3)^{+}$			
1189.387	$(2^+, 3, 4^-)$	94.178 <i>1</i>	2.8 18	1095.210	(3-)			
		159.492 4	5.5 9	1029.903	(2 <sup>-</sup> )			
		165.95 <i>I</i>	17.4 18	1023.434	$(4)^+$			
		260.652 2	100 9	928.729	(3)			
1341.0	$10^{+}$	449 <sup>@</sup>	100	892.0	8+			
1868.0	12+	527 <sup>@</sup>	100	1341.0	$10^{+}$			
2069.7	(≤3 <sup>−</sup> )	1039.8 <i>3</i>	100	1029.903	(2 <sup>-</sup> )			$E_{\gamma}$ : from $\beta^-$ decay.
2467.0	$14^{+}$	599 <sup>@</sup>	100	1868.0	$12^{+}$			
3119.0?	(16 <sup>+</sup> )	652 <sup>@a</sup>	100	2467.0	14+			

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

## $\gamma(^{166}\text{Dy})$ (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From <sup>165</sup>Dy( $n,\gamma$ ), E=thermal, except as noted.

- <sup>‡</sup> Relative photon intensity normalized to 100 for strongest  $\gamma$  deexciting each level; from <sup>165</sup>Dy(n, $\gamma$ ) E=thermal, except as noted. Branching from  $\beta^-$  decay is in good agreement with that from (n, $\gamma$ ).
- <sup>#</sup> From subshell ratios and/or  $\alpha(K)$ exp in  $(n,\gamma)$  E=thermal.
- <sup>@</sup> From <sup>118</sup>Sn(<sup>164</sup>Dy,<sup>116</sup>Sn $\gamma$ ); uncertainty unstated by authors.
- & Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>*a*</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



 $^{166}_{\ 66} Dy_{100}$ 

## Adopted Levels, Gammas

#### Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



 $^{166}_{66} Dy_{100}$ 

### Adopted Levels, Gammas



 $^{166}_{\ 66} Dy_{100}$