

^{165}Dy β^- decay (2.331 h) [1972Ma06,2004Mi18](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 194,460 (2024)	31-Oct-2022

Parent: ^{165}Dy : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=7/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=2.331$ h 4; $Q(\beta^-)=1285.7$ 8; $\%\beta^-$ decay=100

^{165}Dy - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From ^{165}Dy Adopted Levels.

^{165}Dy - $Q(\beta^-)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

[1972Ma06](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, ce; magnetic spectrometer for ce.

[2014Mi01](#): measured conversion data for 361.7-keV transition using a magnetic β spectrometer; deduced M2+E3 mixing ratio.

[Additional information 1](#).

[2004Mi18](#): measured E_γ , I_γ ; emission probability of 94.7 γ ; relative intensities of 19 γ rays from 94.7 to 1079.6 keV.

[1991Mi26](#): measured ce data for 94.7 γ , 279.8 γ , 361.7 γ and 633.4 γ using high-resolution magnetic spectrometer.

Others:

γ : [1971Lu08](#), [1965Sc09](#), [1964Re09](#), [1963Ma08](#), [1962Ni11](#), [1962Ha46](#), [1956An34](#).

ce: [1963Pe11](#), [1962No07](#), [1962Vo02](#).

$\gamma(\theta, T)$ from oriented ^{165}Dy : [1982HaYO](#).

β : [1963Pe11](#), [1959Cr73](#), [1955Ro57](#), [1947Sl14](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$: [1964Si24](#), [1959Gr01](#).

$\gamma(t)$: [1967Ba27](#), [1964Ha19](#), [1959Gr01](#), [1959Cr80](#), [1958Ka10](#), [1951Wr13](#).

$\beta\gamma$: [1959Bo52](#).

 ^{165}Ho Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	7/2 ⁻	stable	
94.700 3	9/2 ⁻	22.2 ps 8	$T_{1/2}$: value from this dataset: 25 ps 10, from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1967Ba27).
209.805 11	11/2 ⁻	12.7 ps 14	
361.674 11	3/2 ⁺	1.512 μ s 4	$\%IT=100$ $T_{1/2}$: adopted value from $\gamma(t)$ in 1959Cr80 . Other: 1.65 μ s 20 ($\gamma(t)$, 1958Ka10).
419.543 11	5/2 ⁺		
429.387 11	1/2 ⁺		
449.258 11	3/2 ⁺		
491.046 14	7/2 ⁺		
515.475 11	3/2 ⁻	10.4 ps 11	$T_{1/2}$: value from this dataset: <0.1 ns from $\gamma(t)$ (1964Ha19).
539.010 13	5/2 ⁺		
565.719 20	(5/2) ⁻	27 ps 10	
589.801 18	7/2 ⁺		
715.331 11	7/2 ⁺	<0.1 μ s	$T_{1/2}$: $\gamma(t)$ (1958Ka10). J^π : $\gamma(\theta)$ from oriented ^{165}Dy β decay for 620 γ and 715 γ agree only with $J^\pi=5/2^+$, not with 7/2 ⁺ ; however, 620 γ to 9/2 ⁻ disfavors 5/2 ⁺ ; L=4 and analyzing powers in (pol t, α) strongly favor 7/2 ⁺ .
820.108 16	(9/2 ⁺)		
995.094 11	5/2 ⁺		
1055.761 25	5/2 ⁺		
1079.621 17	7/2 ⁺		
1140.36 5	7/2 ⁺		
1186.59 6	9/2 ⁺		

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

^{165}Dy β^- decay (2.331 h) [1972Ma06,2004Mi18](#) (continued) β^- radiationsav E β : [Additional information 2](#).

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(99.1 13)	1186.59	0.0018 2	7.24 6	av E β =25.49 22
(145.3 13)	1140.36	0.0020 2	7.70 5	av E β =38.17 22
(206.1 13)	1079.621	0.167 3	6.253 14	av E β =55.58 23
(229.9 13)	1055.761	0.0469 10	6.954 15	av E β =62.60 24
(290.6 13)	995.094	1.80 3	5.693 12	av E β =81.03 25
				Measured E β =305 10 (1959Bo52).
(465.6 13)	820.108	0.012 2	8.54 +8-7	av E β =137.87 27
(570.4 13)	715.331	0.069 6	8.07 4	av E β =174.18 28
(695.9 [#] 13)	589.801			$I\beta^-$ =-0.0082 9.
(720.0 13)	565.719	0.0012 8	10.2 +5-2	av E β =228.46 30
(746.7 [#] 13)	539.010			$I\beta^-$ =-0.019 4.
(770.2 [#] 13)	515.475	0.0025 22	10.3 ^{1u} +9-3	av E β =255.03 29
(794.7 13)	491.046	0.015 4	9.23 +14-10	av E β =256.49 30
(866.2 [#] 13)	419.543	<0.03	>9.1	av E β =283.82 31
				$I\beta^-$ =-0.02 5.
				E(decay): 880 from 1955Ro57 , 1947Sl14 . Other: 1000 30 (1959Bo52).
				$I\beta^-$: observation of this β^- transition is not compatible with very small
				$I\beta^-$ <0.05% expected from the balance of $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$.
(924.0 [#] 13)	361.674			$I\beta^-$ =0.09 8 is consistent with expected no feeding for $\Delta J=2$, $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$.
(1075.9 13)	209.805	0.016 2	10.25 ^{1u} +6-5	av E β =367.6 3
(1191.0 13)	94.700	15.3 4	6.857 13	av E β =413.07 33
				Measured E β =1190 (1959Cr73), 1215 20 (1959Bo52).
				$I\beta^-$: measured % $I\beta^-$ =14 (1959Cr73), 16 (1959Bo52).
(1285.7 16)	0.0	82.6 4	6.248 4	av E β =452.03 33
				Measured E β =1285 10 (1963Pe11), 1280 (1959Cr73), 1305 20 (1959Bo52).
				$I\beta^-$: measured % $I\beta^-$ =83 2 (1963Pe11), 84 (1959Cr73), 80 (1959Bo52).

[†] $\text{ce}(\text{K})(94.7\gamma)/\beta^-=0.093$ 10 ([1963Pe11](#)).[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(¹⁶⁵Ho)

I_γ normalization: from I_γ(94.7γ)/100 decays of ¹⁶⁵Dy=3.80 5 ([2004Mi18](#)).

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{†&}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α ^a	Comments
29.715 [#] 3	0.12 6	449.258	3/2 ⁺	419.543	5/2 ⁺	M1		14.61 20	%I _γ =0.0046 23 α(L)=11.42 16; α(M)=2.522 35 α(N)=0.585 8; α(O)=0.0849 12; α(P)=0.00474 7 Mult.: α(L1)exp=12.9 69; L1:L2:L3=100 20:80.1 32:<5.7 (1972Ma06).
57.864 [#] 5	0.39 6	419.543	5/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.130 +46-30	12.77 24	%I _γ =0.0148 23 α(K)=10.37 18; α(L)=1.87 22; α(M)=0.42 5 α(N)=0.097 12; α(O)=0.0136 13; α(P)=0.000657 12 Mult.: L1:L2:L3=100 20:14.8 59:12.0 48; α(L1)exp=1.32 33 (1972Ma06).
67.712 [#] 4	0.40 20	429.387	1/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺	M1		8.03 11	%I _γ =0.015 8 α(K)=6.74 9; α(L)=1.011 14; α(M)=0.2232 31 α(N)=0.0518 7; α(O)=0.00753 11; α(P)=0.000421 6 Mult.: α(K)exp=6.3 37; K/L=7.4 32 (1972Ma06).
71.502 [#] 10	0.066 10	491.046	7/2 ⁺	419.543	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.41	7.12 27	%I _γ =0.0025 4 α(K)=5.50 27; α(L)=1.3 4; α(M)=0.29 10 α(N)=0.066 22; α(O)=0.0089 25; α(P)=0.000340 19 Mult.: α(K)exp=4.4 15, K/L=5.0 21 (1972Ma06).
87.585 [#] 4	0.40 4	449.258	3/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.32	3.86 7	%I _γ =0.0152 15 α(K)=3.13 9; α(L)=0.57 9; α(M)=0.128 23 α(N)=0.030 5; α(O)=0.0041 6; α(P)=0.000193 7 Mult.: α(K)exp=3.3 7, K/L=7.2 26 (1972Ma06).
89.753 [#] 8	0.080 16	539.010	5/2 ⁺	449.258	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.5	3.63 9	%I _γ =0.0030 6 α(K)=2.83 16; α(L)=0.62 17; α(M)=0.14 4 α(N)=0.032 10; α(O)=0.0044 11; α(P)=0.000173 13 Mult.: α(K)exp=2.7 10, K/L>3 (1972Ma06).
94.700 [#] 3	100.0 [‡] 6	94.700	9/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.160 5	3.06 4	%I _γ =3.80 5 α(K)=2.53 4; α(L)=0.414 6; α(M)=0.0924 14 α(N)=0.02138 32; α(O)=0.00305 4; α(P)=0.0001565 22 E _γ : from 1965Sc09 . Others: 94.692 3 (1971Lu08), 94.705 3 (1964Re09), 94.697 5 (1963Ma08), 94.697 4 (1962Ni11), 94.702 10 (1962Ha46). δ: weighted average of 0.168 9 from subshell ratios (1991Mi26) and +0.157 5 from E2 and M1 matrix elements in Coulomb excitation (2003Iw01). α(K)exp=2.62 20, K:L1:L2:L3=1000 150:120 6:22 4:8.6 20

¹⁶⁵Dy β⁻ decay (2.331 h) [1972Ma06,2004Mi18](#) (continued)

<u>γ(¹⁶⁵Ho) (continued)</u>									
E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{†&}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [@]	δ [@]	α ^a	Comments
									(1963Pe11). Others: α(K)exp=2.5 2, K:L1:L2:L3=1000 30:128:19.7 6:9.6 3 (1962No07); α(K)exp=2.60, K:L1:L2:L3=1000:133 7:17.8 18:8.2 8 (1972Ma06); for L:M:N+O and L:M1:N1 see 1970Mi15 , 1963Pe11 , 1962Vo02 . δ=0.168 9 (1991Mi26) from L1/L2/L3=100.0 5/14.2 3/8.0 2.
95.931 [#] 4	0.026 4	515.475	3/2 ⁻	419.543	5/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.354 5	%I _γ =0.00099 15 α(K)=0.294 4; α(L)=0.0466 7; α(M)=0.01029 14 α(N)=0.002342 33; α(O)=0.000315 4; α(P)=1.334×10 ⁻⁵ 19
98.80 15	0.023 5	589.801	7/2 ⁺	491.046	7/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00087 19
109.59 [#] 3	0.016 5	539.010	5/2 ⁺	429.387	1/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00061 19
115.104 [#] 10	0.199 14	209.805	11/2 ⁻	94.700	9/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.17 +1-3	1.744 24	%I _γ =0.0076 5 α(K)=1.448 21; α(L)=0.231 5; α(M)=0.0514 13 α(N)=0.01190 29; α(O)=0.001704 35; α(P)=8.93×10 ⁻⁵ 14
									δ: from the Adopted Gammas.
119.47 3	0.200 14	539.010	5/2 ⁺	419.543	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.6	1.556 26	%I _γ =0.0076 5 α(K)=1.24 8; α(L)=0.25 5; α(M)=0.056 13 α(N)=0.0129 29; α(O)=0.00177 32; α(P)=7.5×10 ⁻⁵ 7
									Mult.: α(K)exp=1.44 31, K/L>3.3 (1972Ma06).
129.39 [#] 3	0.014 5	491.046	7/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00053 19
140.544 [#] 20	0.059 6	589.801	7/2 ⁺	449.258	3/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00224 23
153.803 [#] 6	0.160 13	515.475	3/2 ⁻	361.674	3/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.1006 14	%I _γ =0.0061 5 α(K)=0.0844 12; α(L)=0.01264 18; α(M)=0.00278 4 α(N)=0.000637 9; α(O)=8.80×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α(P)=4.09×10 ⁻⁶ 6
									%I _γ =0.00323 34
170.22 [#] 3	0.085 9	589.801	7/2 ⁺	419.543	5/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00118 23
174.96 [#] 3	0.031 6	995.094	5/2 ⁺	820.108	(9/2 ⁺)				%I _γ =0.0011 5
209.70 25	0.028 14	209.805	11/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	E2		0.2084 30	α(K)=0.1396 20; α(L)=0.0531 8; α(M)=0.01252 19 α(N)=0.00284 4; α(O)=0.000356 5; α(P)=6.69×10 ⁻⁶ 10
									%I _γ =0.00046 23
228.3 3	0.012 6	589.801	7/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.0156 4
259.53 5	0.41 [‡] 1	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	820.108	(9/2 ⁺)				%I _γ =0.00118 30
266.80 15	0.031 8	361.674	3/2 ⁺	94.700	9/2 ⁻	[E3]		0.446 6	α(K)=0.2155 30; α(L)=0.1767 25; α(M)=0.0432 6 α(N)=0.00982 14; α(O)=0.001202 17; α(P)=1.246×10 ⁻⁵ 18
									%I _γ =0.534 8
279.763 [#] 12	14.06 [‡] 8	995.094	5/2 ⁺	715.331	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.38 +3-1	0.1398 23	α(K)=0.1166 20; α(L)=0.01807 25; α(M)=0.00401 6 α(N)=0.000929 13; α(O)=0.0001335 19; α(P)=7.08×10 ⁻⁶ 13
									Mult.: α(K)exp=0.126 36; K/L=5.4 7 (1991Mi26). Others:

¹⁶⁵Dy β⁻ decay (2.331 h) [1972Ma06,2004Mi18](#) (continued)γ(¹⁶⁵Ho) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†&}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>δ[@]</u>	<u>α^a</u>	<u>Comments</u>
									>5.5 (1963Pe11), K/L=4.3 6 (1962Vo02). L1/L2/L3=100 6/15 4/<7 (1991Mi26).
									E _γ : from 1965Sc09 . Others: 279.759 20 (1971Lu08), 279.784 41 (1964Re09), 279.755 30 (1962Ni11).
									δ: γ(θ,T) (1982HaYO) gives -0.38 +3-1 or +5.3 4. The ce data of 1991Mi26 gives 0.55 16 from K/L and L1/L2, which supports lower δ of 1982HaYO .
^x 356.90 25	0.023 12								%I _γ =0.0009 5
361.68 [#] 2	23.79 [‡] 12	361.674	3/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻	M2+E3	0.33 4	0.265 5	%I _γ =0.904 13
									K:L1:L2:L3=643 8:100:17.9 8:6.9 8 (2014Mi01)
									α(K)=0.212 4; α(L)=0.0406 6; α(M)=0.00925 13
									α(N)=0.002148 30; α(O)=0.000305 4; α(P)=1.527×10 ⁻⁵ 33
									E _γ : from 1965Sc09 . Others: 361.676 30 (1971Lu08), 361.699 25 (1964Re09).
									Mult.,δ: from ce data in 2014Mi01 (earlier values from the same experimental group reported in 1991Mi26 : K/L=5.2 3; L/M=8.1 14; L1:L2:L3=100.0 9:12.2 7:5.5 8). Others: K/L=6.3 18 (1965Bo40). α(K) _{exp} =0.22 4, K/L=4.8 12 (1963Pe11). The δ(E3/M2) value is deduced by evaluators by fitting ce data in 2014Mi01 using BrIccMixing code. 2014Mi01 give δ(E3/M2)=0.329 22 using a somewhat different procedure. Inclusion of ce data from 1965Bo40 and 1963Pe11 gives δ(E3/M2)=0.33 6. Fitting with all the ce data, including those from 1991Mi26 gives δ(E3/M2)=0.28 6, with a large and unacceptable reduced χ ² =12, with L/M, L1/L2 and L1/L3 data from 1991Mi26 poorly fitted.
405.25 3	0.298 15	995.094	5/2 ⁺	589.801	7/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.0113 6
456.093 25	1.19 [‡] 2	995.094	5/2 ⁺	539.010	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.52 +14-26	0.0364 35	%I _γ =0.0452 10
									α(K)=0.0305 31; α(L)=0.00458 29; α(M)=0.00101 6
									α(N)=0.000235 14; α(O)=3.39×10 ⁻⁵ 24; α(P)=1.84×10 ⁻⁶ 20
472.11 15	0.040 8	565.719	(5/2) ⁻	94.700	9/2 ⁻				δ: from γ(θ), may also be δ≥+29.4 (1982HaYO).
									%I _γ =0.00152 30
									E _γ : poor fit; level-energy difference=471.05. E _γ not used in fitting.
479.622 25	1.22 [‡] 2	995.094	5/2 ⁺	515.475	3/2 ⁻				%I _γ =0.0464 10
489.90 10	0.096 10	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	589.801	7/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.0036 4
504.10 15	0.031 8	995.094	5/2 ⁺	491.046	7/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00118 30
512.57 ^c 25	0.089 18	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	565.719	(5/2) ⁻				%I _γ =0.0034 7
									E _γ : poor fit; level-energy difference=513.87; E _γ not used in the fitting procedure.

¹⁶⁵Dy β⁻ decay (2.331 h) [1972Ma06,2004Mi18](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁶⁵Ho) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†&}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[@]</u>	<u>δ[@]</u>	<u>α^a</u>	<u>Comments</u>
515.467 25	1.06 5	515.475	3/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	(E2)		0.0146 2	%I _γ =0.0403 20 α(K)=0.01172 16; α(L)=0.002234 31; α(M)=0.000506 7 α(N)=0.0001162 16; α(O)=1.592×10 ⁻⁵ 22; α(P)=6.54×10 ⁻⁷ 9
540.52 5	0.157 16	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	539.010	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.14 6	0.0255 4	%I _γ =0.0060 6
545.834 20	4.53 14	995.094	5/2 ⁺	449.258	3/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.172 6 α(K)=0.0215 4; α(L)=0.00308 5; α(M)=0.000678 11 α(N)=0.0001574 25; α(O)=2.30×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.306×10 ⁻⁶ 23 I _γ : 4.72 4 for 540.5+545.8 (2004Mi18). δ: from γ(θ), may also be δ=+10.5 +36-53 (1982HaYO).
565.718 ^b 20	≈0.08 ^b	565.719	(5/2) ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻				%I _γ ≈0.00304 I _γ : deduced from branching ratios in Coul. ex. and (n,n'γ).
565.718 ^b 20	3.59 ^{b‡} 3	995.094	5/2 ⁺	429.387	1/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.1364 21 I _γ : other: 3.69 11 (1972Ma06).
575.558 20	2.13 [‡] 2	995.094	5/2 ⁺	419.543	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.35 +6-4	0.0212 5	%I _γ =0.0809 13 α(K)=0.0179 4; α(L)=0.00259 5; α(M)=0.000570 11 α(N)=0.0001324 25; α(O)=1.93×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=1.082×10 ⁻⁶ 25 I _γ : other: 2.20 7 (1972Ma06). δ: from γ(θ), may also be δ=+4.9 +8-10 (1982HaYO).
588.57 5	0.092 9	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	491.046	7/2 ⁺				%I _γ =0.00350 35
610.29 5	0.148 15	820.108	(9/2 ⁺)	209.805	11/2 ⁻				%I _γ =0.0056 6
620.635 20	2.64 [‡] 2	715.331	7/2 ⁺	94.700	9/2 ⁻				%I _γ =0.1003 15 I _γ : other: 2.72 11 (1972Ma06).
633.415 20	16.12 [‡] 10	995.094	5/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.18 1	0.01738 25	%I _γ =0.613 9 α(K)=0.01471 21; α(L)=0.002094 29; α(M)=0.000460 6 α(N)=0.0001069 15; α(O)=1.560×10 ⁻⁵ 22; α(P)=8.89×10 ⁻⁷ 13 I _γ : other: 15.87 32 (1972Ma06). Mult.,δ: γ(θ,T) (1982HaYO) gives +0.18 1 or +9.9 +11-9. α(K) _{exp} =0.018 7 (1963Pe11); 0.014 3 (1991Mi26) support lower δ.
660.08 3	0.74 [‡] 1	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	419.543	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	+0.37 +11-99	0.0150 13	%I _γ =0.0281 5 α(K)=0.0126 11; α(L)=0.00181 13; α(M)=0.000399

¹⁶⁵Dy β⁻ decay (2.331 h) [1972Ma06,2004Mi18](#) (continued)

γ(¹⁶⁵Ho) (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †&	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	δ @	Comments
								27
								$\alpha(\text{N})=9.3\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.35\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=7.6\times 10^{-7}$ 7
								I_γ : other: 0.74 4 (1972Ma06).
								δ : from $\gamma(\theta)$, may also be ≤ -6.1 (1982HaYO).
694.08 4	0.324 16	1055.761	5/2 ⁺	361.674	3/2 ⁺			% I_γ =0.0123 6
715.328 20	15.21 ‡ 10	715.331	7/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.578 9
								$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}} < 0.008$
								I_γ : other: 14.9 3 (1972Ma06).
725.39 3	0.39 4	820.108	(9/2 ⁺)	94.700	9/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.0148 15
820.106 25	0.225 15	820.108	(9/2 ⁺)	0.0	7/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.0085 6
900.41 5	0.070 7	995.094	5/2 ⁺	94.700	9/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.00266 27
976.74 20	0.0063 13	1186.59	9/2 ⁺	209.805	11/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.00024 5
984.92 4	0.178 13	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	94.700	9/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.0068 5
995.089 25	1.66 ‡ 2	995.094	5/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.0631 11
								I_γ : other: 1.54 5 (1972Ma06).
1045.60 15	0.0141 21	1140.36	7/2 ⁺	94.700	9/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.00054 8
1055.76 3	0.91 ‡ 1	1055.761	5/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻	D+Q	+0.32 +32-18	% I_γ =0.0346 6
								I_γ : other: 0.87 5 (1972Ma06).
								Mult.: M1+E2 for 1055.76γ inconsistent with adopted ΔJ^π which requires E1+M2.
								δ : from $\gamma(\theta)$, may also be $\delta \geq +2.1$ (1982HaYO).
1079.63 3	2.63 ‡ 3	1079.621	7/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.0999 17
								I_γ : other: 2.56 8 (1972Ma06).
1091.91 8	0.028 3	1186.59	9/2 ⁺	94.700	9/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.00106 11
1140.36 5	0.038 3	1140.36	7/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.00144 12
1186.56 10	0.0129 13	1186.59	9/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻			% I_γ =0.00049 5

† From [1972Ma06](#), except where noted otherwise. The intensities quoted by [1972Ma06](#) have been divided by a factor of 42.6 so that the two sets of intensities (from [1972Ma06](#) and [2004Mi18](#)) are on the same scale.

‡ From [2004Mi18](#), corresponding value in [1972Ma06](#) is in agreement but much less precise.

From [1965Sc09](#) (curved-crystal spectrometer).

@ Deduced from conversion coefficients, unless otherwise noted. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ were deduced from I_γ ([1972Ma06](#)), ce ([1972Ma06,1963Pe11](#)) and normalized to $\alpha(\text{K})(94.7\gamma)=2.59$ (for M1+E2, $\delta=0.168$ 9). From ce data, mixing ratios are deduced using the BrIccMixing program. γ rays with significant $\delta > 0.1$ are interpreted as M1+E2, based on Weisskopf estimates for transition probabilities.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0380 5.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^b Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

$\gamma(^{165}\text{Ho})$ (continued)

^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

