

$^{165}\text{Dy } \beta^- \text{ decay (1.257 min)}$ **1972Ma06,1974StZQ**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 194,460 (2024)	31-Oct-2022

Parent: ^{165}Dy : E=108.1562 13; $J^\pi=1/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=1.257$ min 6; $Q(\beta^-)=1285.7$ 8; $\% \beta^-$ decay=2.27 9

$^{165}\text{Dy}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{165}Dy Adopted Levels.

$^{165}\text{Dy}-Q(\beta^-)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

$^{165}\text{Dy}-\% \beta^-$ decay: From $\% \text{IT} + \% I\beta^- = \% I(\gamma+\text{ce})(108.6\gamma)(^{165}\text{Dy}) + \Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce} \text{ to g.s.})(^{165}\text{Ho}) = 100$, using measured $I\gamma$ in [1972Ma06](#). See ^{165}Dy IT decay dataset.

[1972Ma06](#), [1974StZQ](#): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$.

Others:

γ : [1964Ha19](#), [1964Bu01](#).

β : [1960To06](#) using scintillation detector.

$I(\gamma+\text{ce})(108\gamma):I\beta=97.76$ 11:2.24 11 from decay scheme in [1972Ma06](#); 97.6 3:2.4 3 ([1960To06](#)). Others: [1959Cr73](#), [1964Ha19](#).

 ^{165}Ho Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$T_{1/2}$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$
0.0	7/2 ⁻	stable	449.20 14	3/2 ⁺	790.57 17	3/2 ⁻
361.472 3	3/2 ⁺		515.278 7	3/2 ⁻	1037.5 10	(1/2 ⁺)
419.31 5	5/2 ⁺		538.84 17	5/2 ⁺	1066.5 4	(3/2 ⁺)
429.0 3	1/2 ⁺		680.4 4	(1/2) ⁻		

† From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data, assuming 0.5 keV uncertainty for $E\gamma$, when not stated.

‡ From the Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

av $E\beta$: [Additional information 1](#).

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^- \dagger$	$\text{Log } ft$	Comments
(356.4 13)	1037.5	0.00046 25	7.50 +30-20	av $E\beta=101.8$ 4
(603.3 8)	790.57	≈ 0.02	≈ 6.6	av $E\beta=185.89$ 29
(878.6 8)	515.278	1.91 10	5.236 +34-32	av $E\beta=288.60$ 31 Measured $E\beta=890$ 50 (1960To06).
(944.7 8)	449.20	0.101 25	6.63 +13-10	av $E\beta=314.34$ 31
(964.9 9)	429.0	0.11 6	6.60 +30-20	av $E\beta=322.3$ 4
(974.6 \ddagger 8)	419.31	<0.04	>7.6 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=329.54$ 30 $I\beta=0.01$ 3.
(1032.4 8)	361.472	0.14 7	6.62 +30-18	av $E\beta=349.02$ 32 Measured $E\beta=1020$ 80 (1960To06).

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁶⁵Dy β^- decay (1.257 min) 1972Ma06,1974StZQ (continued)

 $\gamma(^{165}\text{Ho})$

I γ normalization: From I(γ +ce)(361.47 γ +515.47 γ)=100.

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}$ @ a	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. ‡	δ^{\ddagger}	α^b	Comments
30	<2	449.20	3/2 ⁺	419.31	5/2 ⁺	M1		14.21 20	%I γ <0.006 $\alpha(L)=11.10$ 16; $\alpha(M)=2.452$ 34 $\alpha(N)=0.569$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.0826$ 12; $\alpha(P)=0.00460$ 6
57.8 2	2.7 4	419.31	5/2 ⁺	361.472	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.130 +46-30	12.81 28	%I γ =0.0083 13 $\alpha(K)=10.40$ 21; $\alpha(L)=1.87$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.42$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.097$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.0136$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.000659$ 14
67.9 5	4.0 20	429.0	1/2 ⁺	361.472	3/2 ⁺	M1		7.97 21	%I γ =0.012 6 $\alpha(K)=6.69$ 17; $\alpha(L)=1.002$ 26; $\alpha(M)=0.221$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0514$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.00747$ 19; $\alpha(P)=0.000417$ 11
87.70 15	3.7 4	449.20	3/2 ⁺	361.472	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.32	3.85 7	%I γ =0.0113 13 $\alpha(K)=3.12$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.57$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.128$ 22 $\alpha(N)=0.029$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.0041$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.000192$ 7
89.7 ^{&}	0.36 11	538.84	5/2 ⁺	449.20	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.5	3.63 9	%I γ =0.00110 34 I γ : deduced from the branching ratios in the Adopted Gammas.
95.96 5	13.0 10	515.278	3/2 ⁻	419.31	5/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.354 5	%I γ =0.040 4 $\alpha(K)=0.294$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0466$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.01028$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.002340$ 33; $\alpha(O)=0.000315$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.333\times10^{-5}$ 19
109.6 ^{&} 119.5 2	0.07 2 0.9 2	538.84	5/2 ⁺	429.0	1/2 ⁺	[E2]		2.01 5 1.555 27	%I γ = 2.1×10^{-4} 6 %I γ =0.0028 6 $\alpha(K)=1.24$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.25$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.056$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.0129$ 29; $\alpha(O)=0.00177$ 31; $\alpha(P)=7.5\times10^{-5}$ 7
153.803 [#] 6	80.7 24	515.278	3/2 ⁻	361.472	3/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.1006 14	%I γ =0.247 13 $\alpha(K)=0.0844$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.01264$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.00278$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000637$ 9; $\alpha(O)=8.80\times10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=4.09\times10^{-6}$ 6
230.7 ^{&} 251.73 5	6.0 3	680.4 790.57	(1/2) ⁻ 3/2 ⁻	449.20 538.84	3/2 ⁺ 5/2 ⁺				%I γ =0.0184 12
251.8 ^{&} 341.5 ^{&}		680.4 790.57	(1/2) ⁻ 3/2 ⁻	429.0 449.20	1/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁺				
361.471 [#] 3	178 5	361.472	3/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻	M2+E3	0.33 4	0.265 5	%I γ =0.545 29 $\alpha(K)=0.213$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0407$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00927$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.00215$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.000306$ 5; $\alpha(P)=1.53\times10^{-5}$ 4
361.7 ^{&} 371.3 ^{&}		790.57 790.57	3/2 ⁻ 3/2 ⁻	429.0 419.31	1/2 ⁺ 5/2 ⁺				

¹⁶⁵Dy β^- decay (1.257 min) 1972Ma06,1974StZQ (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{165}\text{Ho})$ (continued)</u>								
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger @a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	a^b	Comments
515.467 [#] 25	509 15	515.278	3/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	(E2)	0.0146 2	%I γ =1.56 8 $\alpha(K)=0.01172$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.002234$ 31; $\alpha(M)=0.000506$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.0001162$ 16; $\alpha(O)=1.592\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(P)=6.54\times 10^{-7}$ 9 E γ : poor fit in the decay scheme, $\Delta(E\gamma)$ increased to 0.05 keV in the fitting procedure.
647.3 ^{&}		1066.5	(3/2 ⁺)	419.31	5/2 ⁺			
676.0 10	0.15 8	1037.5	(1/2 ⁺)	361.472	3/2 ⁺			%I γ =0.00046 25
704.9 ^{&}		1066.5	(3/2 ⁺)	361.472	3/2 ⁺			

[†] From 1972Ma06, unless otherwise stated.[‡] From the Adopted Gammas.[#] γ rays from 1965Sc09 used for normalization of E γ in 1972Ma06.@ Normalized to I(108.16 γ from ¹⁶⁵Dy IT decay)=1000.

& From 1974StZQ.

^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.00306 14.^b Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{165}\text{Dy } \beta^- \text{ decay (1.257 min)} \quad 1972\text{Ma06,1974StZQ}$

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

