

^{164}Lu ε decay (3.14 min) [1984Ad09,1977Hu02](#)

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]	NDS 147, 1 (2018)	30-Nov-2017

Parent: ^{164}Lu : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1(-)$; $T_{1/2}=3.14$ min 3; $Q(\varepsilon)=6380$ 30; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

^{164}Lu - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{164}Lu Adopted Levels.

^{164}Lu - $Q(\varepsilon)$: from [2017Wa10](#).

[1984Ad09](#): ^{164}Lu produced from proton spallation ($E(p)=660$ MeV) on tantalum target followed by isotopic separation. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ coin.

[1977Hu02](#): ^{164}Lu produced by $^{155}\text{Gd}(^{14}\text{N},5n)$ $E=79$ MeV. Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $T_{1/2}$. A total of 27 γ rays reported placed amongst 15 excited states.

Others:

[1983Ge08](#): Measured $T_{1/2}$ and $Q(\varepsilon)$. The authors mention $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and ce measurements, but no results are quoted.

[Additional information 1](#).

[1978Bu13](#): Measured E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $T_{1/2}$. A total of 11 γ rays reported, six of which were assigned to five excited states in ^{164}Yb . Five unplaced γ rays with $E_\gamma(I_\gamma)$ of 150.2 (9.3), 220.8 (4.0), 302.0 (4.2), 313.8 (4.1) and 396.1 (6.9) were not confirmed in [1984Ad09](#) and [1977Hu02](#).

[1995Ve05](#), [1994Po26](#), [1993Al03](#): Q value using total-gamma absorption method.

[1981By04](#), [1980Al26](#): β strength functions.

 ^{164}Yb Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	J^π^\ddagger	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	J^π^\ddagger	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	J^π^\ddagger	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	J^π^\ddagger
0.0	0^+	975.6 1	(0^+)	1335.9 1	$(1,2^+)$	1550.0 2	
123.27 3	2^+	1003.8 1	(3^+)	1365.0 1	$(4^+,5,6^+)$	1611.8 1	$(1^+,2,3,4^+)$
385.6 1	4^+	1073.5 1	2^+	1416.0 1	$(1^+,2,3,4^+)$	1784.9 3	≤ 4
759.7 1	6^+	1144.35 10	(4^+)	1500.3 1	$(2^+,3,4^+)$	1951.2 2	
863.91 5	(2^+)	1323.2 1	$(2^+,3,4^+)$	1513.03 10	$(1,2^+)$		

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{164}\text{Yb})$

Transitions were assigned to the ^{164}Lu decay based on the excitation function, half-life and coincidence measurements. Sufficient data are lacking to determine $I_\gamma/100$ decays and $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ feedings, thus no $\log ft$ values can be calculated.

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\ddagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\alpha\&$	Comments
123.27 3	100.0 15	123.27	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2	1.46 2	$\alpha(K)=0.620$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.644$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.1580$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.0361$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00419$ 6; $\alpha(P)=2.63 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 Additional information 2 .
^x 228.06 @ 11 262.22 4	0.75 6 31.9 15	385.6	4^+	123.27	2^+	E2	0.112	$\alpha(K)=0.0767$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0270$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00646$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.001487$ 21; $\alpha(O)=0.000184$ 3; $\alpha(P)=3.81 \times 10^{-6}$ 6 Additional information 3 .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{164}Lu ε decay (3.14 min) 1984Ad09,1977Hu02 (continued) $\gamma(^{164}\text{Yb})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	α &	Comments
342.48 @ 15 373.96 16	0.8 1 1.6 3	1416.0 759.7	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺) 6 ⁺	1073.5 385.6	2 ⁺ 4 ⁺	E2	0.0389	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0292$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00747$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001755$ 25 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000406$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.22\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.547\times 10^{-6}$ 22 Additional information 4.
412.10 6	1.7 2	1416.0	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺)	1003.8	(3 ⁺)			Additional information 20.
535.33 @ 12 552.01 3	1.3 1 11.6 8	1951.2 1416.0	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺)	1416.0 863.91	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺) (2 ⁺)			Additional information 21.
^x 565.31 @ 5 ^x 567.83 @ 15 605.25 10	0.3 1 0.9 1 0.5	1365.0	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)	759.7	6 ⁺			Additional information 18.
608.02 4	6.1 7	1611.8	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺)	1003.8	(3 ⁺)			Additional information 27.
618.23 5	2.8 4	1003.8	(3 ⁺)	385.6	4 ⁺			Additional information 8.
^x 630.3 @ 3 ^x 637.98 @ 16 687.83 5	0.6 1 0.8 1 5.7 7	1073.5	2 ⁺	385.6	4 ⁺			Additional information 10.
740.52 4	36 2	863.91	(2 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺			Additional information 5.
747.82 4	15.9 8	1611.8	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺)	863.91	(2 ⁺)			Additional information 28.
758.75 9	2.4 5	1144.35	(4 ⁺)	385.6	4 ⁺			Additional information 13.
^x 833.26 @ 15 ^x 848.26 @ 23 852.24 4	0.9 1 0.7 1 8.9 5	975.6	(0 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺			Additional information 7.
863.89 3	27 2	863.91	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺			Additional information 6.
880.51 4	20 1	1003.8	(3 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺			Additional information 9.
^x 917.4 @ 3 937.56 7	0.4 1 2.8 5	1323.2	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	385.6	4 ⁺			Additional information 14.
950.19 5	6.5 7	1073.5	2 ⁺	123.27	2 ⁺			Additional information 11.
979.46 9	3.3 9	1365.0	(4 ⁺ ,5,6 ⁺)	385.6	4 ⁺			Additional information 19.
^x 1065.72 @ 16 1073.56 5	1.2 1 10.2 8	1073.5	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺			Additional information 12.
1115.07 11	3.0 9	1500.3	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	385.6	4 ⁺			Additional information 23.
^x 1138.27 @ 17 ^x 1146.72 @ 11 1164.53 @ 17	1.0 1 1.9 2 2.4 2	1550.0		385.6	4 ⁺			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{164}Lu ε decay (3.14 min) **1984Ad09,1977Hu02** (continued) $\gamma(^{164}\text{Yb})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
1199.87 7	7.6 7	1323.2	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺	Additional information 15.
1212.60 7	13 1	1335.9	(1,2 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺	Additional information 16.
^x 1222.36 @ 23	0.9 2					
^x 1236.62 @ 18	1.3 1					
^x 1241.11 @ 12	2.2 2					
^x 1258.52 @ 17	1.5 2					
^x 1267.21 @ 19	1.1 1					
1292.54 17	6.1 9	1416.0	(1 ⁺ ,2,3,4 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺	Additional information 22.
^x 1307.49 @ 11	2.9 3					
1335.86 10	11 1	1335.9	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	Additional information 17.
^x 1341.16 @ 19	1.1 1					
1376.60 11	5.5 6	1500.3	(2 ⁺ ,3,4 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺	Additional information 24.
1389.56 11	6.2 6	1513.03	(1,2 ⁺)	123.27	2 ⁺	Additional information 25.
^x 1398.37 @ 22	1.0 1					
^x 1487.76 @ 18	1.6 2					
1513.32 19	6.1 11	1513.03	(1,2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	Additional information 26.
^x 1520.92 @ 23	2.3 2					
1661.6 @ 3	2.2 2	1784.9	≤4	123.27	2 ⁺	
^x 1801.6 @ 4	1.8 2					
^x 1809.5 @ 4	1.4 2					

† From [1984Ad09](#).‡ Unweighted average from [1984Ad09](#) and [1977Hu02](#) for γ rays reported in both the studies.

From Adopted Gammas.

@ γ reported by [1984Ad09](#) only.& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{164}Lu ϵ decay (3.14 min) 1984Ad09,1977Hu02

Legend

- \rightarrow $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- \rightarrow $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- \rightarrow $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- \bullet Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

$1^{(-)} \xrightarrow{0.0} 0.0$ 3.14 min β^-
 $Q_\epsilon = 6380.30$
 $^{164}_{71}\text{Lu}_{93}$
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$

