

^{164}Yb ε decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]	NDS 147, 1 (2018)		30-Nov-2017

Parent: ^{164}Yb : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=75.8$ min 17; $Q(\varepsilon)\approx 1100$; $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$ decay=100.0

$^{164}\text{Yb-T}_{1/2}$: From ^{164}Yb Adopted Levels.

$^{164}\text{Yb-Q}(\varepsilon)$: 887 29 in 2017Wa10, but the decay scheme proposed in 1982AdZZ gives 1100 keV.

1982AdZZ: Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, ce, I(x rays). Previous work from the same laboratory: 1972GrYR (also 1975Gr44), 1965Ab05, 1960Da16, 1960Ab05.

1971De22: Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin.

1997ZaZW: Measured ce for nine γ rays.

2001AIU: studied ^{164}Yb ε decay using a constant-field magnetic β -ray spectrograph, and report ce lines for previously unreported γ rays with energies of 29.03-, 37.44-, 65.22-, 69.95-, 120.60- and 122.29-keV, but give no other information.

The proposed level scheme is considered as tentative.

Problem about the $Q(\varepsilon)$ value needs to be resolved.

 ^{164}Tm Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]						
0.0	1^+	390.54 17	$(0^+, 1^+, 2^+)$	581.69 13	$(1)^+$	928.40 24	(1^+)
37.575 13	$(2)^+$	427.99 19	$(0^+, 1, 2, 3)$	626.40 19	$(0, 1)$	951.98 24	$(0, 1)^-$
40.928 4	$(0^-, 1^-)$	550.0 3	$(1, 2)^+$	675.55 16	$(1)^+$	1060.14 15	(1^+)
134.93 3	$(1, 2)^+$	554.99 18	$(1)^+$	732.72 16	$(1)^+$		
362.79 18	$(0, 1, 2)^+$	571.6 4	$(1)^+$	735.88 13	$(1)^+$		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

RADLST code gives a total absorbed energy of 1097 keV 3.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ [‡]	Comments
(39.86 15)	1060.14	0.06	4.2	0.06	$\varepsilon L=0.68$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.32$ 5
(148.02 24)	951.98	0.16	5.6	0.16	$\varepsilon K=0.673$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.245$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.082$ 6
					Ie: expected $\%\varepsilon < 0.07$ from $\log ft > 5.9$ for first-forbidden transition. Thus the feeding to this level may be too large by a factor of ≈ 2 .
(171.60 24)	928.40	0.10	6.0	0.10	$\varepsilon K=0.707$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.221$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.072$ 4
(364.12 13)	735.88	0.09	5.8	0.09	$\varepsilon K=0.68$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.24$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.080$ 19
(367.28 16)	732.72	0.07	6.0	0.07	$\varepsilon K=0.69$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.24$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.078$ 18
(424.45 16)	675.55	0.50	5.5	0.50	$\varepsilon K=0.742$ 23; $\varepsilon L=0.195$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.063$ 6
(473.60 19)	626.40	0.04	6.8	0.04	$\varepsilon K=0.765$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.178$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.057$ 4
(518.31 13)	581.69	0.32	6.1	0.32	$\varepsilon K=0.778$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.169$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0530$ 22
(528.4 4)	571.6	0.03	7.2	0.03	$\varepsilon K=0.781$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.167$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0524$ 20
(545.01 18)	554.99	0.20	6.4	0.20	$\varepsilon K=0.784$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.164$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0515$ 17
(550.0 [#] 3)	550.0	<0.1	>6.7	<0.1	$\varepsilon K=0.785$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.164$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0512$ 17
(1059.072 4)	40.928	1.5	6.4	1.5	$\varepsilon K=0.8185$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.1392$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.04229$ 19
(1062.425 [#] 13)	37.575	<0.5	>6.9	<0.5	$\varepsilon K=0.8186$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.1391$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.04227$ 19
(1100)	0.0	≈ 96.5	≈ 4.6	≈ 96.5	$\varepsilon K=0.8194$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.1385$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.04206$ 17 Ie: feeding to the ground state estimated by 1982AdZZ, based on the observed x-ray intensity and $I(\gamma+ce)$ feeding the ground state.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{164}\text{Yb } \varepsilon$ decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ (continued) **ε, β^+ radiations (continued)**

[†] From intensity balance at each level.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁶⁴Yb ε decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ (continued) $\gamma(^{164}\text{Tm})$

Iy normalization: $\Sigma (\gamma+ce)$ to g.s. ≈ 3.5 , assuming total ε feeding of $\approx 96.5\%$ (1982AdZZ) to ¹⁶⁴Tm g.s., the latter estimated from comparison of observed x-ray intensity and I($\gamma+ce$) feeding the ground state.

Ice measured by β -spectrograph unless otherwise noted. Quoted Ice data are relative to Ice(K)(390.6 γ)=6.3.
ce data normalized using $\alpha(K)(362.84 \text{ M1})=0.076$.

Tm x rays: E(x-ray) I(relative to Iy(390.62 γ)=100) designation									
E $_{\gamma}^{†}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger d}$	E _i (level)	J $_{i}^{\pi}$	E _f	J $_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. b	δ^b	α^c	Comments
49.880 4	9725 60					K α_2 x ray			
50.836 3	17010 70					K α_1 x ray			
57.485 5	5720 80					K β_1 x ray			
59.150 9	1500 50					K β_2 x ray			
$x^{27.77}^{\#}$									
$x^{29.03}^{\@}$									
$x^{37.44}^{\@}$									
37.573 13	39 4	37.575	(2) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1+E2	0.060 12	9.6 4	$\alpha(L)=7.5 3$; $\alpha(M)=1.68 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.392 16$; $\alpha(O)=0.0552 18$; $\alpha(P)=0.00277 4$ Mult., δ : deduced by evaluators from L1/L2/L3=247 30/34 4/17 4. 1982AdZZ give M1+0.35% I0 E2 (implying $\delta=0.059 8$). $\alpha(L)=0.538 8$; $\alpha(M)=0.1210 17$ $\alpha(N)=0.0273 4$; $\alpha(O)=0.00332 5$; $\alpha(P)=0.0001039 15$ Mult.: from L1/L2/L3=90 10/47 5/64 7. $\delta(M2/E1)<0.018$ from L-subshell ratios. Note that these ratios also give M1+E2 with $\delta=0.23 2$, but the fit is somewhat poor with reduced $\chi^2=2.9$. E $_{\gamma}$: from 1997ZaZW and 2001AIZU.
40.928 4	370 5	40.928	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)	0.0	1 ⁺	(E1)		0.690	
$x^{65.22}$									
$x^{69.95}^{\@}$									
94.05 10	<18 ^a	134.93	(1,2) ⁺	40.928	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)	[E1]		0.392	$\alpha(K)=0.324 5$; $\alpha(L)=0.0531 8$; $\alpha(M)=0.01183 17$ $\alpha(N)=0.00271 4$; $\alpha(O)=0.000358 6$; $\alpha(P)=1.432\times 10^{-5} 21$ Ice: ce(K)=6 2.
97.34 ^{&} 3	13 3	134.93	(1,2) ⁺	37.575	(2) ⁺	M1+E2	0.055	3.35	$\alpha(K)\exp=3.3 7$ $\alpha(K)=2.80 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.429 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.0958 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.0224 4$; $\alpha(O)=0.00321 5$; $\alpha(P)=0.0001720 25$ δ : from 1997ZaZW. Other: <0.19 from $\alpha(K)\exp$. Additional information 6 . L1/L2=6 2/ ≈ 0.5 . E $_{\gamma}$: from 1997ZaZW. Other: 120.60 in 2001AIZU.
$x^{120.50}$									
$x^{122.29}^{\@}$									
135.0 1	<7 ^a	134.93	(1,2) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	(M1+E2)		1.16 16	$\alpha(K)=0.80 31$; $\alpha(L)=0.28 12$; $\alpha(M)=0.067 30$

¹⁶⁴Yb ε decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{164}\text{Tm})$ (continued)</u>									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ^b	α^c	Comments
154.18 ^{&} 4	19 7	735.88	(1) ⁺	581.69 (1) ⁺		M1+E2	0.055	0.904	$\alpha(N)=0.0153$ 67; $\alpha(O)=0.00192$ 68; $\alpha(P)=4.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 24 Mult.: from 1997ZaZW. Ice: ce(K)=8 2. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.7$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.757$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.1145$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0255$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00597$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000858$ 12; $\alpha(P)=4.63 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 Additional information 22.
164.45 3	40 5	554.99	(1) ⁺	390.54 (0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	M1+E2	1.1 +7-4	0.62 6		Mult., δ : from 1997ZaZW. $\alpha(K)\exp$ and L1/L2 give $\delta(E2/M1)=0.26 +18-12$. L1/L2=2.0 5/0.3 1. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.45$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.44$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.135$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.032$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0073$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.00093$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 6 Additional information 11.
187.8 4	29 15	550.0	(1,2) ⁺	362.79 (0,1,2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<2.8	0.43 9		$\alpha(K)\exp=0.3$ 2 $\alpha(K)=0.33$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.079$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.018$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0042$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.00056$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.89 \times 10^{-5}$ 79 Additional information 9. L1/L2=1.0 3/≈0.1.
190.8 4	32 15	581.69	(1) ⁺	390.54 (0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	E2(+M1)	>4	0.31 1		$\alpha(K)\exp=0.13$ 7 $\alpha(K)=0.19$ 1; $\alpha(L)=0.0891$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.0214$ 2 $\alpha(N)=0.00489$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000595$ 5; $\alpha(P)=9.2 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 Additional information 14.
^x 192.14 [#]									
199.1 [‡] 4	13 5	626.40	(0,1)	427.99 (0 ⁺ ,1,2,3)					Mult.: E1 or E2 from $\alpha(K)\exp < 0.15$. Additional information 18.
^x 293.9 [#]									
324.26 [‡] 15	<9 ^a	1060.14	(1) ⁺	735.88 (1) ⁺					Ice: ce(K)=1.0 2.
327.43 [‡] 15	<9 ^a	1060.14	(1) ⁺	732.72 (1) ⁺					Ice: ce(K)=0.9 2.
^x 333.5 [#]									
^x 358.0 4	12 3								$\alpha(K)\exp < 0.2$ Mult.: M1, E2 or E1 from $\alpha(K)\exp$. Additional information 1.
362.84 ^{&} 19	68 7	362.79	(0,1,2) ⁺	0.0 1 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1.1	0.075 13		$\alpha(K)\exp=0.076$ 25 $\alpha(K)=0.062$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.0100$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.00225$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.00052$ 4; $\alpha(O)=7.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.7 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 Additional information 7. L1/L2=0.7 1/≈0.05.
390.60 ^{e&} 21	100 ^e 9	390.54	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	0.0 1 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	<0.6	0.067 6		$\alpha(K)\exp=0.063$ 10

¹⁶⁴Yb ε decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ (continued)

 $\gamma(^{164}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ^b	α^c	Comments
390.60 ^e 21	$\approx 14^e$	427.99	(0 ⁺ ,1,2,3)	37.575	(2) ⁺	[D,E2]		0.04 3	$\alpha(K)=0.056$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0085$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00190$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.000445$ 20; $\alpha(O)=6.4\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=3.3\times 10^{-6}$ 3 Additional information 8. L1/L2=0.9 I/ ≈ 0.06 .
402.1 ^{&} 3	30 5	951.98	(0,1) ⁻	550.0	(1,2) ⁺	E1		0.00953	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.007$ 2 $\alpha(K)=0.00806$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.001153$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.000255$ 4 $\alpha(N)=5.93\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=8.34\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=4.22\times 10^{-7}$ 6 $\delta(M2/E1)<0.07$ from $\alpha(K)\exp$. Additional information 25.
^x 415.79 ^{&} 25	35 4				E1			0.00882	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.006$ 2 $\alpha(K)=0.00746$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.001065$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.000236$ 4 $\alpha(N)=5.48\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=7.72\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=3.91\times 10^{-7}$ 6 $\delta(M2/E1)<0.06$ from $\alpha(K)\exp$. Additional information 2.
419.5 [‡] 4	13 3	554.99	(1) ⁺	134.93	(1,2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.9	0.052 8	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.054$ 16 $\alpha(K)=0.044$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0068$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00152$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00035$ 3; $\alpha(O)=5.0\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.6\times 10^{-6}$ 5 Additional information 12.
^x 444.6 3	62 8				E1			0.00757	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.0064$ 20 $\alpha(K)=0.00640$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.000911$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.000201$ 3 $\alpha(N)=4.68\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=6.61\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=3.37\times 10^{-7}$ 5 $\delta(M2/E1)<0.13$ from $\alpha(K)\exp$. Additional information 3.
446.7 ^{‡&} 3	90 9	581.69	(1) ⁺	134.93	(1,2) ⁺	E2+M1	34 4	0.0230	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.018$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.0179$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00392$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000906$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.000209$ 3; $\alpha(O)=2.77\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=9.77\times 10^{-7}$ 14 Additional information 15. L1/L2/L3=0.20 3/0.10 3/ ≈ 0.05 .
^x 475.9 4	$\leq 7.4^a$								$\alpha(K)\exp>0.14$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp$ suggests multipolarity higher than E2. Additional information 4.
491.3 ^{‡&} 2	$\approx 2^a$	626.40	(0,1)	134.93	(1,2) ⁺				Ice: ce(K) ≈ 0.07 .
534.0 [‡] 4	$<5^a$	571.6	(1) ⁺	37.575	(2) ⁺				Ice: ce(K)=0.13 4.
543.6 ^{‡&} 3	28 5	581.69	(1) ⁺	37.575	(2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.9	0.027 4	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.025$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.022$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0034$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00076$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.000177$ 18; $\alpha(O)=2.5\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.34\times 10^{-6}$ 21 Additional information 16.
^x 546.9 3	27 4				M1+E2	1.0 9	0.0219 81		K/L1=0.7 I/0.09 2. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.018$ 6

¹⁶⁴Yb ε decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ (continued)

 $\gamma(^{164}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	δ^b	α^c	Comments
549.8 4	14 4	550.0	(1,2) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1+E2	1.1 8	0.0208 76	$\alpha(K)=0.0181\ 71; \alpha(L)=0.00291\ 77; \alpha(M)=0.00065\ 17$ $\alpha(N)=1.52\times10^{-4}\ 39; \alpha(O)=2.14\times10^{-5}\ 61; \alpha(P)=1.06\times10^{-6}\ 45$ Additional information 5.
571.5 6	10 3	571.6	(1) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	E2+M1	9.2 22	0.01244 22	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.017\ 5$ $\alpha(K)=0.0172\ 66; \alpha(L)=0.00279\ 72; \alpha(M)=0.00063\ 16$ $\alpha(N)=0.00015\ 4; \alpha(O)=2.05\times10^{-5}\ 57; \alpha(P)=1.01\times10^{-6}\ 42$ Additional information 10.
581.6 3	<5 ^a	581.69	(1) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺				Additional information 13.
589.12 ^{&} 20	33 4	951.98	(0,1) ⁻	362.79	(0,1,2) ⁺	E1		0.00408	Additional information 17.
601.8 ^{&f} 3	$\approx 3^a$	735.88	(1) ⁺	134.93	(1,2) ⁺				$\alpha(K)\exp=0.004\ 1$
638.12 ^{&} 23	75 8	675.55	(1) ⁺	37.575	(2) ⁺	M1+E2	0.9 +9-6	0.0154 40	$\alpha(K)=0.00346\ 5; \alpha(L)=0.000484\ 7; \alpha(M)=0.0001069\ 15$ $\alpha(N)=2.49\times10^{-5}\ 4; \alpha(O)=3.54\times10^{-6}\ 5; \alpha(P)=1.85\times10^{-7}\ 3$ $\delta(M2/E1)<0.17$ from $\alpha(K)\exp$. Additional information 26.
675.41 ^{&} 22	123 11	675.55	(1) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.8	0.0158 19	Ice: ce(K)=0.1. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.013\ 3$
695.2 ^{&} 3	26 5	732.72	(1) ⁺	37.575	(2) ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.9	0.0144 20	$\alpha(K)=0.0129\ 35; \alpha(L)=0.0020\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.00044\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.000104\ 21; \alpha(O)=1.5\times10^{-5}\ 4; \alpha(P)=7.6\times10^{-7}\ 22$ Additional information 19.
732.7 3	$\approx 6^a$	732.72	(1) ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺				K/L=1.0 2/0.15 5.
887.3 ^{&} 3	17 4	928.40	(1 ⁺)	40.928	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)	(E1)		0.00179	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.015\ 3$ $\alpha(K)=0.0133\ 16; \alpha(L)=0.00196\ 19; \alpha(M)=0.00044\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.000102\ 10; \alpha(O)=1.46\times10^{-5}\ 15; \alpha(P)=7.9\times10^{-7}\ 10$ Additional information 20.
									K/L=1.9 3/0.3 1.
									$\alpha(K)\exp=0.016\ 5$ $\alpha(K)=0.0121\ 17; \alpha(L)=0.00179\ 21; \alpha(M)=0.00040\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=9.3\times10^{-5}\ 11; \alpha(O)=1.34\times10^{-5}\ 16; \alpha(P)=7.2\times10^{-7}\ 11$ Additional information 21.
									Ice: ce(K)=0.08 3. $\alpha(K)\exp<0.005$
									$\alpha(K)=0.001526\ 22; \alpha(L)=0.000209\ 3; \alpha(M)=4.59\times10^{-5}\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=1.071\times10^{-5}\ 15; \alpha(O)=1.533\times10^{-6}\ 22;$ $\alpha(P)=8.27\times10^{-8}\ 12$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp$ consistent with E1 or E2, but E1 is consistent with ΔJ^π . Additional information 23.

¹⁶⁴Yb ε decay (75.8 min) 1982AdZZ (continued)

 $\gamma(^{164}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	α^c	Comments
x926.8 [#]								
928.7 4	24 10	928.40	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁺	(M1)	0.00797	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.04$ 2 $\alpha(K)=0.00674$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.000963$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.000213$ 3 $\alpha(N)=4.99\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=7.21\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=4.00\times 10^{-7}$ 6 Mult.: from 1997ZaZW. Note that $\alpha(K)\exp=0.04$ 2 in 1982AdZZ is too high for M1, E2 or M2, thus the authors proposed mult=E0+E2. Additional information 24.
1019.2 4	13 3	1060.14	(1 ⁺)	40.928	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻)			
1059.8		1060.14	(1 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁺	(M1+E2)	0.0045 14	$\alpha(K)=0.0038$ 12; $\alpha(L)=5.5\times 10^{-4}$ 15; $\alpha(M)=1.22\times 10^{-4}$ 32 $\alpha(N)=2.84\times 10^{-5}$ 76; $\alpha(O)=4.1\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=2.19\times 10^{-7}$ 71 E_γ , Mult.: from 1997ZaZW.

[†] From 1982AdZZ. Values for 16 γ rays (including 8 γ rays with uncertain isotopic assignment) are also listed by 1971De22. While E_γ values from 1971De22 are in general agreement with those from 1982AdZZ, I_γ values differ significantly.

[‡] γ coincidence with ce(L1)(37.57 γ).

[#] From ce data of 1997ZaZW only.

[@] From ce data of 2001AIZU.

[&] γ reported by 1971De22 also.

^a I_γ values deduced by evaluators from the limit on total transition intensities and $\alpha(K)\exp$ values given by 1982AdZZ.

^b From ce data of 1982AdZZ, and also 1997ZaZW for a few transitions. Mixing ratios have been deduced by evaluators from ce data of 1982AdZZ, unless otherwise stated. The same assignments are given in the Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

^c Additional information 27.

^d For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈ 0.0025 .

^e Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{164}\text{Yb } \epsilon \text{ decay (75.8 min)} \quad 1982\text{AdZZ}$

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

