## <sup>168</sup>Pt α decay (2.02 ms) **1996Bi07,1981Ho10**

History

Type Author Citation Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation Balraj Singh and Jun Chen# NDS 147, 1 (2018) 30-Nov-2017

Parent:  $^{168}$ Pt: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}$ =0+;  $T_{1/2}$ =2.02 ms 10;  $Q(\alpha)$ =6990 3; % $\alpha$  decay=99.3 7

<sup>168</sup>Pt-T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <sup>168</sup>Pt Adopted Levels (2010Ba27).

<sup>168</sup>Pt-%α decay: The decay branching has not been experimentally determined. The gross β calculations of 1973Ta30 give approximately 1 s for partial  $\varepsilon$ +β<sup>+</sup> decay half-life which corresponds to ≈0.2%  $\varepsilon$ +β<sup>+</sup> decay branch. The calculations by 1997Mo25, on the other hand, give  $T_{1/2}(\varepsilon$  decay)=148.8 ms from which one obtains % $\varepsilon$ +%β<sup>+</sup>=1.3. %α=99.3 7 is recommended here, and it is used in calculations of the  $r_0$  parameter. In 2010Ba27 evaluation, %α≈100 is given in <sup>168</sup>Pt Adopted Levels.

164Os Levels

 $\frac{\text{E(level)}}{0.0} \quad \frac{\text{J}^{\pi}}{0^{+}}$ 

 $\alpha$  radiations

 $\underline{\frac{\text{E}\alpha}{6832 \ 10}} \quad \underline{\frac{\text{E(level)}}{0.0}} \quad \underline{\frac{\text{I}\alpha^{\dagger \#}}{100}} \quad \underline{\frac{\text{HF}^{2}}{1.0}}$ 

Comments

E $\alpha$ : measured E $\alpha$ =6824 20 (1981Ho10), 6832 10 (1996Bi07). I $\alpha$ : only one  $\alpha$  group was observed. Any  $\alpha$  to excited levels in <sup>164</sup>Os is assumed negligible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup>Pt-Q( $\alpha$ ): From 2017Wa10.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  Per 100  $\alpha$  decays.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$  r<sub>0</sub>( $^{164}$ Os)=1.554 *17*, deduced from HF(6832 $\alpha$ )=1.0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.993 7.