

$^{164}\text{Hf } \varepsilon \text{ decay (111 s) }$ **1989Hi04**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]		NDS 147, 1 (2018)	30-Nov-2017

Parent: ^{164}Hf : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=111$ s; $Q(\varepsilon)=2820$ 30; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

$^{164}\text{Hf-T}_{1/2}$: From ^{164}Hf Adopted Levels.

$^{164}\text{Hf-Q}(\varepsilon)$: From [2017Wa10](#).

1989Hi04: Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $T_{1/2}$.

Others:

[1981Br30](#), [1989Br19](#): $T_{1/2}$.

[1992Ha10](#): Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ of three γ rays.

Additional information 1.

Available data are insufficient to deduce ε branchings and log ft values.

 ^{164}Lu Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π	Comments
0.0	$1^{(-)}$	
31.4 4		
126.3? 4		E(level): tentative level based on observation of 126.3 and 157.3 γ rays in coin with 314 γ . Relative ordering of the 126-157 cascade is not known.
153.3 3		
199.4 4		
283.4 3		
597.2 4		
807.6 5		
827.4 5		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

 $\gamma(^{164}\text{Lu})$

Coincidences with Lu K x ray and 511 keV annihilation quanta occur in all cases.

E_γ	I_γ	$E_t(\text{level})$	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
31.4 4	25 6	31.4	0.0	$1^{(-)}$	
46.2 4	3.4 9	199.4	153.3		
^x 48.5 [†] 5	≈ 1				
84.3 4	<1.0	283.4	199.4		
^x 107 [†] 5	≈ 1				
122.1 3	211 20	153.3	31.4		Additional information 2 .
					I_γ : corrected for contribution from ^{164}Lu decay.
126.3 4	5.5 5	126.3?	0.0	$1^{(-)}$	
130.0 3	10.4 9	283.4	153.3		
153.3 3	100 8	153.3	0.0	$1^{(-)}$	Additional information 3 .
157.3 [‡] 3	2.1 10	283.4	126.3?		
252.0 3	5.7 7	283.4	31.4		
313.7 4	53 9	597.2	283.4		I_γ : average of 46 9 (1989Hi04) and 61 9 (1992Ha10).
					Additional information 4 .
397.9 4	3.6 6	597.2	199.4		
444.0 4	1.8 3	597.2	153.3		
608.2 5	2.9 6	807.6	199.4		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{164}\text{Hf } \varepsilon$ decay (111 s) 1989Hi04 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{164}\text{Lu})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_f
627.9 5	1.4 4	827.4	199.4
654.3 5	2.6 6	807.6	153.3
674.2 5	1.8 5	827.4	153.3

[†] Observed in coincidence with 126.3γ . Assignment to ^{164}Hf decay is uncertain.

[‡] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

Legend

- → $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- → $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- → $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - - - → γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence
- Coincidence (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_{γ}

$^{164}_{72}\text{Hf}_{92}$ 111 s 8
 $Q_{\epsilon}=2820\ 30$
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100.0$

