

$^{164}\text{Tm}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (5.1 min) 1992Ch03,1987Ad06,1971De22

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen <sup>#</sup>		NDS 147, 1 (2018)	30-Nov-2017

Parent:  $^{164}\text{Tm}$ :  $E=0+x$ ;  $J^\pi=6^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=5.1$  min  $I$ ;  $Q(\varepsilon)=4039$  24;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay  $\approx 20.0$

$^{164}\text{Tm}$ -E:  $x=10$  keV 6 (2017Au03),  $<40$  keV (1987Dr07).

$^{164}\text{Tm}$ - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{164}\text{Tm}$  Adopted Levels. Configuration= $\pi[404]+\nu[523]$ .

$^{164}\text{Tm}$ - $Q(\varepsilon)$ : from 2017Wa10.

1992Ch03: measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ .

1987Ad06: measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ .

1971De22: measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , ce,  $X_\gamma(t)$ .

1973Ch28: measured  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ .

 $^{164}\text{Er}$  Levels

A 2370 level proposed by 1987Ad06 is omitted. The deexciting 386 $\gamma$  is placed from 1744 level as proposed by 1992Ch03 and 1971De22.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	$0^+$		
91.40 3	$2^+$		
299.50 5	$4^+$		
614.44 6	$6^+$		
946.44 6	$3^+$		
1024.71 10	$8^+$		
1058.72 11	$4^+$		
1197.49 7	$5^+$		
1358.76 12	$6^+$		
1545.01 18	$7^+$		
1610.36 17	$(4^-, 5^-)$		a 413 $\gamma$ (1987Ad06) from this level is not confirmed by 1992Ch03 or 1971De22.
1664.25 7	$(5^-)$	$<0.08$ ns	
1683.45@ 9	$(5^+)$		
1744.54 7	$(6^-)$	0.22 ns 3	
1764.0@ 4	$(7^-)$		
1798.5@ 4	$(5^-)$		
1845.58 8	$7^-$		
1985.04 7	$7^-$	23.0 ns 16	$T_{1/2}$ : average of 22.7 ns 17 (1971De22) and 23.3 ns 16 (1973Ch28). Configuration= $\pi 7/2[404] \otimes \pi 7/2[523]$ .
2163.63@ 21	$(8^-)$		
3303.1@ 4	$(6^-, 7^-)$		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E_\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma(t)$  (1973Ch28), unless otherwise stated.

@ Level proposed by 1992Ch03 only.

$^{164}\text{Tm}$   $\epsilon$  decay (5.1 min) **1992Ch03,1987Ad06,1971De22** (continued)

$\epsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

The decay scheme seems fairly complete from total energy absorbed=801 keV 26 (from RADLST code) as compared to  $Q(\epsilon) \times \% \epsilon$  branching ratio=807 keV 5.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ †	$I\epsilon$ †	Log ft	$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ †	Comments
(736 24)	3303.1		0.23 4	5.9 1	0.23 4	$\epsilon K=0.8186$ 10; $\epsilon L=0.1394$ 7; $\epsilon M+=0.04204$ 25
(2054 24)	1985.04	0.49 5	14.9 6	5.01 3	15.4 6	av $E\beta=483$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.805$ 3; $\epsilon L=0.1258$ 5; $\epsilon M+=0.03745$ 16
(2193 24)	1845.58	0.02 1	0.5 3	6.6 3	0.5 3	av $E\beta=544$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.792$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.1234$ 6; $\epsilon M+=0.03672$ 19
(2241 24)	1798.5	0.021 4	0.36 6	6.7 1	0.38 6	av $E\beta=565$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.786$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.1224$ 7; $\epsilon M+=0.03644$ 20
(2275 24)	1764.0	0.0090 14	0.14 2	7.1 1	0.15 2	av $E\beta=580$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.782$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.1217$ 7; $\epsilon M+=0.03622$ 21
(2294 24)	1744.54	0.08 4	1.2 7	6.2 3	1.3 7	av $E\beta=589$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.780$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.1213$ 7; $\epsilon M+=0.03610$ 21
(2356 24)	1683.45	0.062 8	0.80 8	6.40 5	0.86 9	av $E\beta=616$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.772$ 5; $\epsilon L=0.1200$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.03569$ 22
(2375‡ 24)	1664.25	<0.05	<0.6	>6.5	<0.7	av $E\beta=624$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.769$ 5; $\epsilon L=0.1195$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.03555$ 23
(2429‡ 24)	1610.36	<0.008	<0.08	>7.4	<0.09	av $E\beta=648$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.762$ 5; $\epsilon L=0.1182$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.03516$ 24
(2494 24)	1545.01	0.013 7	0.12 6	7.3 3	0.13 7	av $E\beta=677$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.752$ 5; $\epsilon L=0.1166$ 9; $\epsilon M+=0.03466$ 25
(2680‡ 24)	1358.76	<0.015	<0.095	>7.4	<0.11	av $E\beta=760$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.720$ 6; $\epsilon L=0.1113$ 10; $\epsilon M+=0.0331$ 3
(2842‡ 24)	1197.49	<0.09	<0.4	>6.9	<0.5	av $E\beta=832$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.688$ 7; $\epsilon L=0.1062$ 11; $\epsilon M+=0.0316$ 3
(2980‡ 24)	1058.72	<0.014	<0.17	>8.8 <sup>1u</sup>	<0.18	av $E\beta=899$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.765$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.1220$ 6; $\epsilon M+=0.03644$ 19
(3425‡ 24)	614.44	<0.2	<0.5	>7.0	<0.7	av $E\beta=1094$ 15; $\epsilon K=0.558$ 8; $\epsilon L=0.0856$ 12; $\epsilon M+=0.0254$ 4

$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ : from  $\Delta K=6$ , feeding is expected to be zero.

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(<sup>164</sup>Er)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: assuming no ε, β<sup>+</sup> feeding to levels of J≤4 and %IT≈80 (1971De22).

The following γ rays assigned tentatively by 1987Ad06 have been omitted since these are not reported by 1992Ch03 or 1971De22: 360.0, 362.2, 413.1, 536.2,

634.8, 672.4, 817.7, 1019.7, 1066.55 and 1488.17 keV. A 256.5γ reported by 1971De22 only is also omitted.

Experimental conversion coefficients are from 1971De22.

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡a</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ</u>	<u>α<sup>&amp;</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
73.0 <sup>@ 3</sup>	1.9 3	1683.45	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1610.36	(4 <sup>-</sup> ,5 <sup>-</sup> )	(E1)		0.743 14	α(K)=0.611 11; α(L)=0.1037 19; α(M)=0.0230 5 α(N)=0.00524 10; α(O)=0.000685 13; α(P)=2.64×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 Mult.: from α(exp)=0.9 3 (from intensity balance, 1992Ch03).
80.27 9	7.0 6	1744.54	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	1664.25	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	E2		6.88	α(K)=1.682 24; α(L)=3.99 6; α(M)=0.971 15 α(N)=0.219 4; α(O)=0.0255 4; α(P)=7.35×10 <sup>-5</sup> 11 α(K)exp=1.7 5; α(L)exp=6.6 22
91.40 3	41 6	91.40	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		4.14	α(K)=1.314 19; α(L)=2.17 3; α(M)=0.528 8 α(N)=0.1194 17; α(O)=0.01396 20; α(P)=5.51×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8 α(K)exp=1.34 30; α(L)exp=2.9 10; α(M)exp=0.6 2
101.0 <sup>b</sup>	<0.1	1845.58	7 <sup>-</sup>	1744.54	(6 <sup>-</sup> )				E <sub>γ</sub> , I <sub>γ</sub> : from 1992Ch03. I <sub>γ</sub> <0.4 (1987Ad06), I <sub>γ</sub> =1.7 9 (1971De22) for a 102.24γ.
139.44 8	26.3 10	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>	1845.58	7 <sup>-</sup>	E2+M1	15 5	0.872	α(K)=0.0457 7; α(L)=0.319 5; α(M)=0.0769 12; α(N)=0.0174 3; α(O)=0.00209 3 α(L)exp=0.27 6; α(M)exp=0.094 18 E <sub>γ</sub> , I <sub>γ</sub> : 139.80 6, I <sub>γ</sub> =21.2 16 (1987Ad06) not used in averaging.
178.61 <sup>@ 20</sup>	1.5 2	2163.63	(8 <sup>-</sup> )	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>				I <sub>γ</sub> : <0.4 (1987Ad06).
199.4 2	1.4 5	1744.54	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	1545.01	7 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.0524	
208.10 3	155 5	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	91.40	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.221	α(K)=0.1445 21; α(L)=0.0587 9; α(M)=0.01395 20 α(N)=0.00318 5; α(O)=0.000394 6; α(P)=6.87×10 <sup>-6</sup> 10 α(K)exp=0.161 16; α(L)exp=0.069 6; α(M)exp=0.016 2
240.49 3	81 4	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>	1744.54	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	M1		0.242	α(K)=0.204 3; α(L)=0.0301 5; α(M)=0.00668 10 α(N)=0.001557 22; α(O)=0.000225 4; α(P)=1.248×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18 α(K)exp=0.22 3; α(L)exp=0.042 12; α(M)exp<0.014
251.0 <sup>@ 2</sup>	2.2 2	1197.49	5 <sup>+</sup>	946.44	3 <sup>+</sup>				
299.8 <sup>@ 3</sup>	1.4 3	1358.76	6 <sup>+</sup>	1058.72	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.0691	α(K)=0.0504 7; α(L)=0.01423 20; α(M)=0.00333 5 α(N)=0.000762 11; α(O)=9.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> 14; α(P)=2.60×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4
314.97 4	100	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.0596	α(K)=0.0441 7; α(L)=0.01197 17; α(M)=0.00279 4 α(N)=0.000640 9; α(O)=8.27×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12; α(P)=2.29×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4 α(K)exp=0.044 4; α(L)exp=0.0104 12; α(M)exp=0.0030 7
347.2 <sup>@ 6</sup>	0.8 2	1545.01	7 <sup>+</sup>	1197.49	5 <sup>+</sup>				
385.59 14	2.9 2	1744.54	(6 <sup>-</sup> )	1358.76	6 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.0101	It is possible that part or all of this γ populated a known 8 <sup>+</sup> level at 1744 keV, which also decays through a 386-keV transition. I <sub>γ</sub> : 7.2 15 (1987Ad06) is discrepant.

<sup>164</sup>Tm ε decay (5.1 min) 1992Ch03,1987Ad06,1971De22 (continued)

γ(<sup>164</sup>Er) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ ‡a	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. #	$\delta$	$\alpha$ &	Comments
410.20 10	15.0 9	1024.71	8 <sup>+</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.0279	$\alpha(K)=0.0216$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00484$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.001114$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.000256$ 4; $\alpha(O)=3.40\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=1.171\times 10^{-6}$ 17 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.018$ 6; $\alpha(L)_{\text{exp}}=0.0076$ 44
486.00 @ 8	0.7 2	1683.45	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1197.49	5 <sup>+</sup>				
520.3 @ 10	0.5 3	1545.01	7 <sup>+</sup>	1024.71	8 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	2.1 +26-7	0.018 3	$\alpha(K)=0.0146$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.00259$ 24; $\alpha(M)=0.00059$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000136$ 12; $\alpha(O)=1.87\times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(P)=8.3\times 10^{-7}$ 15 $\alpha(K)=0.00389$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000542$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.0001191$ 17 $\alpha(N)=2.76\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=3.94\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=2.09\times 10^{-7}$ 3 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0033$ 10
547.08 7	50.6 25	1744.54	(6) <sup>-</sup>	1197.49	5 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.00459	
551.5 @ 5	0.5 1	1610.36	(4 <sup>-</sup> ,5 <sup>-</sup> )	1058.72	4 <sup>+</sup>				
583.21 10	8.1 5	1197.49	5 <sup>+</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	3.1 8	0.0124 9	$\alpha(K)=0.0101$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.00178$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.000401$ 18 $\alpha(N)=9.3\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=1.28\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=5.7\times 10^{-7}$ 5 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.016$ 8 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}$ gives M1,E2. $I_\gamma$ : 8.6 19 (1987Ad06) for a 624.0 3 γ is discrepant.
624.6 2	2.6 3	1683.45	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1058.72	4 <sup>+</sup>				
626.4 @ 8	0.5 3	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>	1358.76	6 <sup>+</sup>				
646.94 7	1.7 2	946.44	3 <sup>+</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	2.7 10	0.0099 13	$\alpha(K)=0.0081$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.00137$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.00031$ 3 $\alpha(N)=7.1\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=9.9\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=4.6\times 10^{-7}$ 8 $E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : from 1992Ch03. $I_\gamma=6.5$ 17 (1987Ad06).
663.90 20	3.1 2	1610.36	(4 <sup>-</sup> ,5 <sup>-</sup> )	946.44	3 <sup>+</sup>				
736.9 2	2.3 7	1683.45	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	946.44	3 <sup>+</sup>				
744.05 20	1.7 5	1358.76	6 <sup>+</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	3.7 +19-8	0.0068 3	$\alpha(K)=0.00559$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.00092$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000205$ 7 $\alpha(N)=4.75\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(O)=6.67\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(P)=3.19\times 10^{-7}$ 15 $\alpha(K)=0.00510$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.000841$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.000189$ 4 $\alpha(N)=4.37\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=6.11\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=2.90\times 10^{-7}$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.001697$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.000231$ 4; $\alpha(M)=5.07\times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(N)=1.177\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(O)=1.693\times 10^{-6}$ 24; $\alpha(P)=9.26\times 10^{-8}$ 13 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}<0.0022$
758.95 16	4.2 3	1058.72	4 <sup>+</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2(+M1)	>+7	0.00618 15	$\alpha(K)=0.0043$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00067$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000150$ 8 $\alpha(N)=3.47\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(O)=4.9\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.47\times 10^{-7}$ 18 $I_\gamma$ : 26 4 (1987Ad06) is discrepant.
820.78 11	13.2 6	1845.58	7 <sup>-</sup>	1024.71	8 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.00199	$\alpha(K)=0.0040$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00061$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000135$ 9 $\alpha(N)=3.14\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(O)=4.5\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=2.28\times 10^{-7}$ 21 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0028$ 9 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}$ gives E2(+M1), $\delta>4.0$ .
855.01 8	8.0 6	946.44	3 <sup>+</sup>	91.40	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	-2.8 7	0.0052 4	
897.97 7	43.0 20	1197.49	5 <sup>+</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	2.5 +17-7	0.0047 4	
930.2 4	1.5 2	1545.01	7 <sup>+</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	-2.4 3		
960.4 3	2.3 5	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>	1024.71	8 <sup>+</sup>				
967.5 2	1.5 5	1058.72	4 <sup>+</sup>	91.40	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.00360	$\alpha(K)=0.00300$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000463$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.0001031$ 15 $\alpha(N)=2.39\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=3.39\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=1.709\times 10^{-7}$ 24

<sup>164</sup>Tm ε decay (5.1 min) [1992Ch03](#),[1987Ad06](#),[1971De22](#) (continued)

γ(<sup>164</sup>Er) (continued)

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>†</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡α</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>#</sup></u>	<u>α<sup>&amp;</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
1049.86 9	16.7 8	1664.25	(5) <sup>-</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E1	1.25×10 <sup>-3</sup>	α(K)=0.001067 15; α(L)=0.0001436 21; α(M)=3.14×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 α(N)=7.31×10 <sup>-6</sup> 11; α(O)=1.055×10 <sup>-6</sup> 15; α(P)=5.85×10 <sup>-8</sup> 9
1059.4 <sup>@</sup> 10	0.6 2	1358.76	6 <sup>+</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0029 9	α(K)=0.00250 4; α(L)=0.000378 6; α(M)=8.40×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12 α(N)=1.95×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3; α(O)=2.78×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4; α(P)=1.426×10 <sup>-7</sup> 20
1130.06 10	3.5 3	1744.54	(6) <sup>-</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.0011	
1139.5 3	1.9 2	3303.1	(6 <sup>-</sup> ,7 <sup>-</sup> )	2163.63	(8) <sup>-</sup>			
1149.6 <sup>@</sup> 4	1.6 2	1764.0	(7) <sup>-</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.00107	α(K)=0.000905 13; α(L)=0.0001214 17; α(M)=2.66×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 α(N)=6.18×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9; α(O)=8.93×10 <sup>-7</sup> 13; α(P)=4.97×10 <sup>-8</sup> 7; α(IPF)=7.47×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14
1184.3 <sup>@</sup> 5	2.8 4	1798.5	(5) <sup>-</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.00102	
1231.17 7	40.8 20	1845.58	7 <sup>-</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>	E1		
1317.6 <sup>@</sup> 10	0.5 3	3303.1	(6 <sup>-</sup> ,7 <sup>-</sup> )	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>			
1364.68 9	40.0 25	1664.25	(5) <sup>-</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>			
1370.73 10	9.9 10	1985.04	7 <sup>-</sup>	614.44	6 <sup>+</sup>			
1498.6 6	1.2 4	1798.5	(5) <sup>-</sup>	299.50	4 <sup>+</sup>			

E<sub>γ</sub>: unweighted average of [1971De22](#) and [1992Ch03](#).

<sup>†</sup> Weighted averages of [1992Ch03](#), [1971De22](#) and [1987Ad06](#).

<sup>‡</sup> Unweighted averages of [1992Ch03](#), [1971De22](#) and [1987Ad06](#).

<sup>#</sup> From ce data ([1971De22](#)).

<sup>@</sup> From [1992Ch03](#) only.

<sup>&</sup> [Additional information 1](#).

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈0.096.

<sup>b</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>164</sup>Tm ε decay (5.1 min) 1992Ch03,1987Ad06,1971De22

Legend

- I<sub>γ</sub> < 2% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> < 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- I<sub>γ</sub> > 10% × I<sub>γ</sub><sup>max</sup>
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I<sub>(γ+ce)</sub> per 100 parent decays

6<sup>-</sup> 0+x 5.1 min *t*  
 Q<sub>ε</sub>=4039.24  
<sup>164</sup>Tm<sub>95</sub>  
 %ε + %β<sup>+</sup> ≈ 20.0

