

$^{163}\text{Dy}(\text{n},\gamma) \text{ E=th} \quad 1964\text{Sc25,1966Ha34,1993Va17}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen [#]		NDS 147, 1 (2018)	30-Nov-2017

1964Sc25: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, secondary γ rays.**1966Ha34:** measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ of primary γ rays.**1980Hu06:** measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ of secondary γ rays.**1993Va17**(also [1999Su03](#),[1999Bo14](#),[1997Su29](#)): measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, two-quantum cascades, deduced branching ratios.**1998Le03:** measured lifetime for 2^+ member of β -vibrational band by γ -ray induced Doppler broadening.**1997Co18:** measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ and $T_{1/2}$ (by γ -ray induced Doppler broadening) for the decay of a 4^+ level at 2173 keV.**1982Is05:** measured $E\gamma$ of three strong primary γ rays and deduced neutron-separation energy.**1973He15:** measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, secondary γ rays.**1966Ne06:** measured $E\gamma$ of four strong primary γ rays.**1967Pr08:** atlas of γ rays from thermal-neutron capture.**Additional information 1.**

1993Va17 report a large number (≈ 235) of two-quantum cascades, which suggests that the level scheme from (n,γ) is much more complex than the one given here. New intermediate levels proposed by **1993Va17** are listed, but only those gammas are quoted here which are above ≈ 5100 (67% of $S(n)=7658$) and can be considered as primary transitions.

 ^{164}Dy Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺		
73.392 5	2 ⁺		
242.230 7	4 ⁺		
501.32 2	6 ⁺		
761.80 8	2 ⁺		
828.19 8	3 ⁺		
915.98 8	4 ⁺		
976.88 8	2 ⁻		J ^π : 3 ⁻ ruled out by $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1980Hu06).
1024.63 8	5 ⁺		
1039.30 8	3 ⁻		
1122.76 8	4 ⁻		
1155.5 10	(6) ⁺		
1225.15 8	(5) ⁻		
1587.80 8	(4) ⁻		
1715.9	(2,3) ⁺	1.2 [@] ps +15-4	
1790.6 ^{?a}			
1798			
1804.8 ^{?a}			
1842			
1853.8 ^{?a}			
1921.5			
1932			
1978			
2052.9 ^{?a}			
2114.2 ^{?a}			
2124.2 ^{?a}			
2151.7 ^{?a}			
2173.0	(4) ⁺	0.28 [#] ps +19-9	
2202.7 ^{?a}			
2242.3 ^{?a}			
2249.0 ^{?a}			
2252			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{163}\text{Dy}(n,\gamma)$ E=th 1964Sc25,1966Ha34,1993Va17 (continued) **^{164}Dy Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
2256.0? ^a		
2270.6? ^a		
2302.4? ^a		
2307		
2350.7? ^a		
2440.5? ^a		
2460		
2523.1? ^a		
2531.6? ^a		
2536.5? ^a		
2581.3? ^a		
2612.0? ^a		
2665.2? ^a		
2737.5? ^a		
2753.6? ^a		
2860.4? ^a		
2985.2? ^a		
3058.8? ^a		
3097.7? ^a		
3126.8? ^a		
3160.1? ^a		
3185.4? ^a		
3308.4? ^a		
3356.8? ^a		
3403.0? ^a		
3512.7? ^a		
3520.1? ^a		
3538.2? ^a		
3603.2? ^a		
3661.1? ^a		
3914.9? ^a		
4189.0? ^a		
4214.0? ^a		
4393.7? ^a		
4485.3? ^a		
4588.5? ^a		
4622.9? ^a		
4654.7? ^a		
4694.6? ^a		
4757.7? ^a		
4788.8? ^a		
4824.0? ^a		
4964.6? ^a		

(7658.11 12) 2⁻,3⁻& S(n)=7658.11 7 (2017Wa10).[†] From least-squares fit to Eγ data.[‡] From Adopted Levels. Arguments of $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ from this dataset are given under comments.

From 1997Co18, Doppler broadening of second order of reflection in the curved-crystal spectrometer. Statistical assumptions of

 $^{163}\text{Dy}(\text{n},\gamma)$ E=th 1964Sc25,1966Ha34,1993Va17 (continued) **^{164}Dy Levels (continued)**

initial recoil velocity was used in the analysis. $T_{1/2}=0.076\text{-}0.53$ ps if extreme conditions are used for the initial recoil velocity.

^a From 1998Le03, Doppler broadening of second order of reflection in the curved-crystal spectrometer. Statistical assumptions of initial recoil velocity was used in the analysis. $T_{1/2}=0.35\text{-}5.7$ ps if extreme conditions are used for the initial recoil velocity.

& s-wave capture in $5/2^-$.

^a Tentative intermediate level from the analysis of two-quantum cascades (1993Va17).

¹⁶³Dy(n, γ) E=th 1964Sc25,1966Ha34,1993Va17 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{164}\text{Dy})$</u>									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger c}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^b	a^d	Comments
^x 49.122 <i>10</i>	0.014 <i>6</i>								
73.392 <i>5</i>	8.5 <i>10</i>	73.392	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		8.89	$\alpha(K)=2.15$ 3; $\alpha(L)=5.18$ 8; $\alpha(M)=1.245$ <i>18</i> $\alpha(N)=0.279$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0331$ 5; $\alpha(P)=9.41 \times 10^{-5}$ <i>14</i> I_γ : weighted average of 9.3 <i>19</i> (1964Sc25) and 8.00 <i>96</i> (1966Ne06).
^x 74.56 <i>3</i>	0.02								
98.127 <i>6</i>	0.20 <i>3</i>	1122.76	4 ⁻	1024.63	5 ⁺				
^x 122.07 <i>8</i>	0.008								
^x 122.77 <i>5</i>	0.008								
123.32 <i>1</i>	0.93 <i>14</i>	1039.30	3 ⁻	915.98	4 ⁺				
^x 123.73 <i>9</i>	0.012								
^x 131.21 <i>6</i>	0.021 <i>4</i>								
145.88 <i>2</i>	0.078 <i>16</i>	1122.76	4 ⁻	976.88	2 ⁻				
148.696 <i>10</i>	1.9 <i>3</i>	976.88	2 ⁻	828.19	3 ⁺				I_γ : from 1966Ne06. Other: 2.0 <i>3</i> (1964Sc25).
154.18 <i>3</i>	0.060 <i>12</i>	915.98	4 ⁺	761.80	2 ⁺				
^x 159.32 <i>8</i>	0.05 <i>2</i>								
^x 163.36 <i>10</i>	0.02								
168.838 <i>5</i>	21.5 <i>23</i>	242.230	4 ⁺	73.392	2 ⁺	E2		0.419	$\alpha(K)=0.262$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.1210$ <i>17</i> ; $\alpha(M)=0.0286$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00644$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.000802$ <i>12</i> ; $\alpha(P)=1.200 \times 10^{-5}$ <i>17</i> I_γ : unweighted average of 23.0 <i>23</i> (1964Sc25) and 20.0 <i>24</i> (1966Ne06). Mult.: consistent with $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ results.
185.86 <i>5</i>	0.12 <i>4</i>	1225.15	(5) ⁻	1039.30	3 ⁻				
^x 199.0 <i>2</i>	0.03								
200.52 <i>3</i>	0.21 <i>6</i>	1225.15	(5) ⁻	1024.63	5 ⁺				
^x 205.8 <i>1</i>	0.04								
206.78 <i>2</i>	1.0 <i>2</i>	1122.76	4 ⁻	915.98	4 ⁺				
^x 208.07 <i>2</i>	0.08								
211.108 <i>15</i>	3.8 <i>6</i>	1039.30	3 ⁻	828.19	3 ⁺	E1		0.0422	$(211\gamma)(585\gamma)(\theta)$: $A_2=-0.08$ <i>13</i> , $A_4=-0.22$ <i>21</i> . $(211\gamma)(755\gamma)(\theta)$: $A_2=0.00$ <i>12</i> , $A_4=+0.27$ <i>17</i> .
^x 212.16 <i>3</i>	0.62 <i>19</i>								
215.08 <i>15</i>	13.3 <i>17</i>	976.88	2 ⁻	761.80	2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.03 <i>8</i>	0.041 <i>16</i>	$\alpha(K)=0.035$ <i>13</i> ; $\alpha(L)=0.005$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(M)=0.0011$ <i>6</i> $\alpha(N)=0.00026$ <i>14</i> ; $\alpha(O)=3.6 \times 10^{-5}$ <i>19</i> ; $\alpha(P)=1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ <i>10</i> I_γ : unweighted average of 15.0 <i>15</i> (1964Sc25) and 11.6 (1966Ne06). $(215\gamma)(762\gamma)(\theta)$: $A_2=+0.28$ <i>5</i> , $A_4=-0.01$ <i>8</i> . $(215\gamma)(688\gamma)(\theta)$: $A_2=-0.050$ <i>25</i> , $A_4=+0.02$ <i>4</i> .
^x 225.6 <i>2</i>	0.02								
^x 226.5 <i>2</i>	0.02								
^x 227.7 <i>2</i>	0.03								
^x 241.4 <i>2</i>	0.04								
^x 248.35 <i>10</i>	0.02								
259.090 <i>15</i>	2.0 <i>2</i>	501.32	6 ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺	E2		0.1018	$\alpha(K)=0.0739$ <i>11</i> ; $\alpha(L)=0.0216$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(M)=0.00502$ <i>7</i>

¹⁶³Dy(n, γ) E=th 1964Sc25,1966Ha34,1993Va17 (continued)

$\gamma(^{164}\text{Dy})$ (continued)									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger c}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^b	α^d	Comments
277.50 2	7.3 7	1039.30	3 ⁻	761.80	2 ⁺				$\alpha(\text{N})=0.001137$ 16; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001474$ 21; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.74 \times 10^{-6}$ 6 (259 γ)(169 γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.18$ 9, $A_4=-0.14$ 14.
294.58 2	5.2 10	1122.76	4 ⁻	828.19	3 ⁺	E1			(295 γ)(585 γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.01$ 14, $A_4=-0.02$ 21. (295 γ)(755 γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.050$ 26, $A_4=0.00$ 4. (309 γ)(674 γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.01$ 13, $A_4=-0.07$ 20.
309.12 3	1.6 3	1225.15	(5) ⁻	915.98	4 ⁺	E1			
^x 325.62 10	0.25								
415.00 50	6.2 30	915.98	4 ⁺	501.32	6 ⁺				I_γ : based on $I_\gamma(415\gamma)/I_\gamma(673.7\gamma)=0.62$ 14 and $I_\gamma(415\gamma)/I_\gamma(843.2\gamma)=0.91$ 17 (1980Hu06).
519.4 4	0.35	761.80	2 ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺				
548.54 20	1.0	1587.80	(4) ⁻	1039.30	3 ⁻				
585.90 10	6.0 12	828.19	3 ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺	E2+M1	+5.4 +32-15	0.0105 4	I_γ : from $I_\gamma(585.9\gamma)/I_\gamma(754.8\gamma)=0.26$ 4 (1980Hu06). (586 γ)(169 γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.14$ 6, $A_4=-0.17$ 9.
610.98 20	1.0	1587.80	(4) ⁻	976.88	2 ⁻				(611 γ)(215 γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.09$ 10, $A_4=-0.08$ 15 is consistent with mult(611 γ)=E2, if $J^\pi(1588)=4$.
653.8 10	0.2	1155.5	(6) ⁺	501.32	6 ⁺				I_γ : from 1980Hu06 . $I_\gamma(653.8\gamma)/I_\gamma(911.3\gamma)=0.076$ 19 (1980Hu06).
673.7 2	10 3	915.98	4 ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.87 +13-11	0.0111 5	(674 γ)(169 γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.088$ 23, $A_4=+0.06$ 4.
688.44 15	24 7	761.80	2 ⁺	73.392	2 ⁺				δ : 16.3 < δ < -31.5, chosen on the basis of model-dependent arguments.
754.80 15	23 7	828.19	3 ⁺	73.392	2 ⁺				I_γ : $I_\gamma(688.4\gamma)/I_\gamma(761.7\gamma)=0.99$ 8 (1980Hu06). δ : 6.7 < δ < -56.5, chosen on the basis of model-dependent arguments.
761.7 2	18 5	761.80	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺				
782.4 3	1.3 4	1024.63	5 ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺	E2+M1	-5.5 +21-61		(782 γ)(169 γ)(θ): $A_2=-0.25$ 6, $A_4=+0.03$ 10.
^x 819.0 10	0.5								
^x 832.0 10	0.9								
843.2 4	3.4 10	915.98	4 ⁺	73.392	2 ⁺				I_γ : $I_\gamma(843.2\gamma)/I_\gamma(673.7\gamma)=0.67$ 14 (1980Hu06).
^x 858.3 10	0.6								
911.3 10	2.7	1155.5	(6) ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺				I_γ : from 1980Hu06 .
966 ^e 2	0.7	1039.30	3 ⁻	73.392	2 ⁺				
^x 973.8 [@] 4									
^x 1004.0 [@] 9									
^x 1103.0 [@] 7									
1345.29 [#] 18	0.24 [#] 5	2173.0	(4) ⁺	828.19	3 ⁺	E2			$\alpha(K)\exp=0.0011$ 4 (1997Co18)
1411.30 [#] 11	0.82 [#] 21	2173.0	(4) ⁺	761.80	2 ⁺				E_γ : from 1998Le03 .
1642.54		1715.9	(2,3) ⁺	73.392	2 ⁺				
1930.88 [#] 11	0.263 [#] 20	2173.0	(4) ⁺	242.230	4 ⁺				
5121.0 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2536.5?					
5127.2 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2531.6?					
5137.6 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2523.1?					

¹⁶³Dy(n, γ) E=th 1964Sc25,1966Ha34,1993Va17 (continued) γ (¹⁶⁴Dy) (continued)

E _{γ} [†]	I _{γ} ^{†c}	E _i (level)	J _i ^{π}	E _f	E _{γ} [†]	I _{γ} ^{†c}	E _i (level)	J _i ^{π}	E _f	J _f ^{π}
5199.3	0.63	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2460	5724.5 ^{&}	1.14	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1932	
5217.4 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2440.5?	5736.2 ^{&}	0.78	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1921.5	
5308.7 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2350.7?	5802.6 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1853.8?	
5355.9 ^{&}	0.22	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2302.4?	5815.0 ^{&}	0.13	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1842	
5387.6 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2270.6?	5854.5 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1804.8?	
5403.2 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2256.0?	5861.8 ^{&}	1.26	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1798	
5408.6 ^{&}	0.28	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2249.0?	5867.7 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1790.6?	
5415.8 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2242.3?	5941.8 ^{&}	0.35	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1715.9	(2,3) ⁺
5453.8 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2202.7?	6620.4	0.10	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1039.30	3 ⁻
5504.8 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2151.7?	6681.21 [‡]	0.16	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	976.88	2 ⁻
5534.2 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2124.2?	6830.09 [‡]	0.71	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	828.19	3 ⁺
5542.8 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2114.2?	6895.2 ^{&}	0.26	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	761.80	2 ⁺
5606.0 ^{&}		(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	2052.9?	7415.80 [‡]	0.49	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	242.230	4 ⁺
5679.6 ^{&}	0.94	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	1978	7584.3	0.33	(7658.11)	2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻	73.392	2 ⁺

[†] From 1964Sc25 for secondary γ rays, from 1966Ha34 (but adjusted upwards by 3 keV) for primary γ rays, unless otherwise indicated.

[‡] From 1982Is05.

[#] From 1997Co18.

[@] From 1980Hu06.

[&] From 1993Va17, uncertainty is probably about 1-2 keV. Only selected γ rays from 1993Va17 are listed here. See 1993Va17 for about 200 additional γ -ray cascades, and for intensities of these cascades.

^a From Adopted Gammas.

^b From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1980Hu06).

^c Intensity per 100 neutron captures.

^d Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^e Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{163}\text{Dy}(n,\gamma) E=\text{th}$ 1964Sc25, 1966Ha34, 1993Va17

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Per 100 neutron-captures

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$



