

**$^{164}\text{Ho}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (28.8 min) [1973KaZW](#),[1972Dr04](#)**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen <sup>#</sup>		NDS 147, 1 (2018)	30-Nov-2017

Parent:  $^{164}\text{Ho}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=28.8$  min 5;  $Q(\varepsilon)=961.4$  14;  $\% \varepsilon$  decay=60 5

$^{164}\text{Ho}$ - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{164}\text{Ho}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{164}\text{Ho}$ - $Q(\varepsilon)$ : From [2017Wa10](#).

$^{164}\text{Ho}$ - $\% \varepsilon$  decay:  $\% \beta^- = 40$  5,  $\% \varepsilon = 60$  5 (see  $^{164}\text{Ho}$   $\beta^-$  decay and  $^{164}\text{Ho}$  Adopted Levels).

$\gamma$ , K-x ray: [1973KaZW](#), [1972Dr04](#), [1968Da23](#), [1966Jo07](#). Others: [1972Ka19](#), [1971Pa02](#), [1966Se07](#), [1957Mi67](#), [1954Br96](#).

$I(\beta^+)/I(\beta^-) < 5 \times 10^{-4}$  ([1954Br96](#)).

ce: [1966Jo07](#), [1957Mi67](#), [1954Br96](#).

Measurements of half-life of  $^{164}\text{Ho}$  decay: [1972Ka19](#), [1972Dr04](#), [1966Jo07](#), [1966Se07](#), [1961We02](#), [1954Ha19](#), [1954Br96](#), [1950Wi13](#), [1950Wa12](#), [1938Po05](#). See Also  $^{164}\text{Ho}$  Adopted Levels.

$I(\varepsilon)$ (to 73 level)/ $I(\beta^-)$ (to 91 level in  $^{164}\text{Er}$ )=1.49 11 (calculated from data of [1973KaZW](#)).

[Additional information 1](#).

X-ray and  $\gamma$ -ray intensities:

$I(K\alpha_1 \text{ x ray, Ho})=1000$  ([1973KaZW](#)), 655 ([1972Dr04](#)).

$I(K\alpha_1 \text{ x ray, Dy})=1280$  25 ([1973KaZW](#)), 1356 ([1972Dr04](#)).

$I(K\alpha_1 \text{ x ray, Er})=76$  2 ([1973KaZW](#)), 76 ([1972Dr04](#)).

$I(73\gamma \text{ in } ^{164}\text{Dy})=91$  6 ([1973KaZW](#)), 81 ([1972Dr04](#)).

$I(91\gamma \text{ in } ^{164}\text{Er})=127$  8, 103 ([1972Dr04](#)).

$^{164}\text{Dy}$  Levels

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u><math>J^\pi</math><sup>‡</sup></u>
0.0	$0^+$
73.39	$2^+$
762.5? 5	$2^+$

<sup>†</sup> From  $E_\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

$\varepsilon$  radiations

The decay scheme seems complete as RADLST code gives total energy absorbed=575 keV 70 as compared to  $Q(\varepsilon) \times \% \varepsilon$  branch=578 keV 48.

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u><math>I\varepsilon</math><sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>Comments</u>
(198.9 <sup>#</sup> 15)	762.5?	$\approx 0.001$	$\approx 7.6$	$\varepsilon K=0.7524$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.1883$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0592$ 4 $I\varepsilon$ : $\log ft \approx 7.7$ ( <a href="#">1973KaZW</a> ) implies $\% \varepsilon \approx 0.001$ .
(888.0 14)	73.39	$19^{\dagger} 2$	4.8 1	$\varepsilon K=0.8261$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.13407$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.03986$ 1
(961.4 14)	0.0	$41^{\dagger} 6$	4.6 1	$\varepsilon K=0.8273$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.13317$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.039539$ 9

<sup>†</sup> Branch to 73 level is 31.4% 24 of total  $\% \varepsilon = 60$  5 from [1973KaZW](#), as deduced by [1974Bu30](#) ( $\alpha=164$  Nuclear Data Sheets evaluation in 1974).

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{164}\text{Ho}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (28.8 min) 1973KaZW,1972Dr04 (continued)

$\gamma(^{164}\text{Dy})$

I $\gamma$  normalization: Branch to 73-keV level is 31.4% 24 of total  $\% \varepsilon = 60.5$  from 1973KaZW, from photon and K x ray intensities of 1973KaZW, as deduced in 1974Bu30 evaluation.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ @	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
73.392 5	100	73.39	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	8.8 9	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=2.7 5$ (1954Br96); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=3.15 25$ (1966Se07) $\alpha(\text{K})=2.15 3$ ; $\alpha(\text{L})=5.18 8$ ; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.245 18$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.279 4$ ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0331 5$ ; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.41 \times 10^{-5} 14$ E $\gamma$ : From Adopted Gammas. Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ and K:L:M=100:238:55 (1966Jo07). Others: 1954Br96, 1957Mi67.
689.3 <sup>†</sup> 5	$\approx 0.030^\ddagger$	762.5?	2 <sup>+</sup>	73.39	2 <sup>+</sup>			
762.4 <sup>†</sup> 5	$\approx 0.025^\ddagger$	762.5?	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From 1968Da23.

<sup>‡</sup> Very weak  $\gamma$  (1973KaZW).  $\log ft \approx 7.7$  (1973KaZW) implies  $\% \varepsilon \approx 0.001$  to 762 level, which in turn implies  $I_\gamma(762)+I_\gamma(689) \approx 0.055$ .  $I_\gamma(762)/I_\gamma(689) = 0.90 10$  (1968Da23).

<sup>#</sup> Additional information 2.

<sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0188 21.

$^{164}\text{Ho}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (28.8 min) 1973KaZW,1972Dr04

Decay Scheme

