

¹⁶⁴Er(pol t,α) 1981Bu03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich, Balraj Singh		NDS 111, 1211 (2010)	12-Apr-2010

Additional information 1.

E= 17 MeV. 1981Bu03: 67.2% enriched target. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$ and $A_y(\theta)$; beam polarization ≈ 0.71 to 0.81 . $\theta=5^\circ$ to 45° , in 5° steps. FWHM=20. DWBA predictions, Nilsson model with pairing and Coriolis-mixing effects included.

Bands: see the Adopted Levels for parameter values. See 1981Bu03 for results from a more detailed calculation including quadrupole deformation, Coriolis, and pairing effects.

Cross section values at 30°	
Level	dσ/dΩ (μb/sr)
≈ 0	13
≈ 100	13
222	220
306	57
360	66
390	225
440	115
≈ 498	17
≈ 529	35
≈ 587	
≈ 612	16
712	46
878	21
972	125
1089	19
1115	14
1190	60
1231	16
1294	12
1400	163
1442	23
1632	35
1733	78

¹⁶³Ho Levels

E(level)	J ^π †	L‡	S#	Comments
0 ⁱ	7/2 ⁻	3	0.04	Predicted S=0.02.
≈100 ⁱ	9/2 ⁻	5	0.12	Predicted S=0.01.
222 ⁱ 4	11/2 ⁻	5	1.5	Predicted S=0.96.
306 ^{@&} 4	3/2+(&1/2 ⁺)	2	0.24	S: assuming $\sigma(\theta)$ primarily due to 3/2 ⁺ member. Predicted S=0.13 for 3/2 ⁺ .
360 ^a 4	3/2 ⁺	2	0.55	Predicted S=0.05. Large discrepancy between experimental and predicted value is unexplained (1981Bu03).
390 ^{&} 4	5/2 ⁺	2	0.85	Predicted S=0.55.
440 ^{@b} 4	7/2 ⁺ &5/2 ⁺	4+2	0.44+0.21	7/2 ⁺ , 1/2[411] may be obscured by this doublet. S: from simultaneous analysis of $\sigma(\theta)$ and $A(\theta)$ at each angle. $\Delta S(7/2^+)=0.05$, $\Delta S(5/2^+)=0.10$ estimated from the scatter in values at each angle. Predicted S=0.29 for 7/2 ⁺ , 0.38 for 5/2 ⁺ . Predicted S=0.05.
≈498 ^c	(5/2 ⁻)		≤0.05	
≈529 ^{@i}	7/2+(&15/2 ⁻)	4(+7)	0.28	J ^π : $A_y(\theta)$ small when compared to DWBA; consistent with results for $A_y(\theta)$ of 7/2 ⁺ , 3/2[411] for (pol t,α) in neighboring nuclides.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{164}\text{Er}(\text{pol } t, \alpha)$ **1981Bu03 (continued)** ^{163}Ho Levels (continued)

E(level)	J^π^\dagger	L^\ddagger	S#	Comments
$\approx 587^c$	(3/2 ⁻)		$\approx(0.04)$	Predicted S=0.22 for 7/2 ⁺ . Predicted S=0.01.
$\approx 612^c$	(9/2 ⁻)		0.16	Predicted S=0.15.
712 ^d 4	5/2 ⁺	2	0.17	Predicted S=0.05.
878 ^e 4	5/2 ⁺	2	0.07	Predicted S=0.05.
972 ^e 4	7/2 ⁺	4	1.07	Predicted S=0.91.
1089 ^e 4	9/2 ⁺	4	0.13	Predicted S=0.04.
1115 ^f 4	5/2 ⁻	3	0.04	Predicted S<0.01.
1190 ^f 4	7/2 ⁻	3	0.15	Predicted S=0.09.
1231 4				
1294 ^f 4	9/2 ⁻	5	≤ 0.13	Predicted S=0.01.
1400 ^f 4	11/2 ⁻	5	1.4	Predicted S=1.04.
1442 ^g 4	11/2 ⁻	5	0.19	Predicted S=0.31.
1632 ^h 4	(1/2 ⁺)	(0)	(0.18)	J^π, L : $\sigma(\theta)$ not consistent with DWBA but similar behavior has been observed in other cases for the $\pi 1/2[420]$ band. $A_y(\theta)$ is small, as predicted and observed in other cases. Predicted S=0.16.
1733 ^{@h} 4	5/2+($\&3/2^+$)	2	(0.24)	Predicted S=0.38 for 5/2 ⁺ .

[†] From DWBA comparison to $A_y(\theta)$ and L-transfer.

[‡] From DWBA comparison to $\sigma(\theta)$.

Nuclear structure factor ($C_{j,l}^2 V^2 a^2$), where C=expansion coefficient, V=fullness parameter, a=mixing amplitude. In DWBA calculations, N=23 in the formula $d\sigma/d\omega(\text{exp})=2\text{NS}d\sigma/d\omega(\text{DWBA})$. Uncertainties in absolute cross sections are $\approx 20\%$, but choice of optical model parameters may produce variations of $\approx 30\%$.

@ Multiplet.

& Band(A): $\pi 1/2[411]$ band.

^a Band(B): $\pi 3/2[411]$ band.

^b Band(C): $\pi 7/2[404]$ band.

^c Band(D): $\pi 1/2[541]$ band.

^d Band(E): $\pi 5/2[402]$ band.

^e Band(F): $\pi 5/2[413]$ band. Previous work confirmed and extended by identification of strongly populated 7/2⁺ member with distinctive negative analyzing power.

^f Band(G): $\pi 5/2[532]$ band. Characterized by the distinctive strongly populated 11/2⁻ member. 7/2⁻ member is also populated significantly and the forward peaking of $\sigma(\theta)$ compared to DWBA calculations is similar to that observed for the corresponding state in other nuclei (e.g. ^{165}Ho and ^{153}Pm). 5/2⁻ and 9/2⁻ members are weakly populated.

^g Band(H): $\pi 9/2[514]$ band (?).

^h Band(I): $\pi 1/2[420]$ band (?) Assigned on the basis of (pol t, α) cross section patterns for $^{151,153}\text{Pm}$ and $^{155,157,159}\text{Eu}$. The proposed 5/2⁺, 3/2⁺ doublet has $\sigma(\theta)$ indicative of L=2 and $A_y(\theta)$ is consistent with this interpretation.

ⁱ Band(J): $\pi 7/2[523]$ band.

${}^{164}\text{Er}(\text{pol t}, \alpha)$ 1981Bu03Band(E): $\pi 5/2[402]$ band $5/2^+$ 712Band(D): $\pi 1/2[541]$ band $(9/2^-)$ ≈ 612 $(3/2^-)$ ≈ 587 Band(B): $\pi 3/2[411]$ band $7/2^+(\& 15/2^-)$ ≈ 529 $(5/2^-)$ ≈ 498 Band(C): $\pi 7/2[404]$ band $7/2^+ \& 5/2^+$ 440 $7/2^+ \& 5/2^+$ 440Band(A): $\pi 1/2[411]$ band $5/2^+$ 390 $3/2^+$ 360 $3/2^+(\& 1/2^+)$ 306

$^{164}\text{Er}(\text{pol } t, \alpha)$ 1981Bu03 (continued)

Band(I): $\pi 1/2[420]$ band (?)
Assigned on the basis of (pol t,
 α) cross section patterns for
 $^{151,153}\text{Pm}$ and $^{155,157,159}\text{Eu}$

$5/2+(\&3/2^+)$ 1733

$(1/2^+)$ 1632

Band(H): $\pi 9/2[514]$ band
(?)

Band(G): $\pi 5/2[532]$ band

$11/2^-$ 1442

$11/2^-$ 1400

$9/2^-$ 1294

$7/2^-$ 1190

Band(F): $\pi 5/2[413]$ band

$5/2^-$ 1115

$9/2^+$ 1089

$7/2^+$ 972

$5/2^+$ 878

Band(J): $\pi 7/2[523]$ band

$7/2+(\&15/2^-)$ ≈ 529

$11/2^-$ 222

$9/2^-$ ≈ 100

$7/2^-$ 0