

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich, Balraj Singh		NDS 111,1211 (2010)	12-Apr-2010

$Q(\beta^-)=3281$  10;  $S(n)=5106$  10;  $S(p)=9.91 \times 10^3$  6;  $Q(\alpha)=-1531$  11    [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record \$ 3170 70 5270 syst 10130 syst -1700 syst  
[2009AuZZ](#),[2007Ha57](#).

$Q(\beta^-)$ : From [2007Ha57](#), total-absorption  $\gamma$  spectroscopy, using a BGO detector, followed by on-line isotope separation. From the evaluations of [2009AuZZ](#) and [2003Au03](#),  $Q(\beta^-)=3110$  300, from systematics.

$S(n), S(p), Q(\alpha)$ : from [2009AuZZ](#) and [2003Au03](#). The uncertainties, also from systematics, for these quantities are: for  $S(n)$ , 300; for  $S(p)$ , 420; and, for  $Q(\alpha)$ , 310 ([2009AuZZ](#),[2003Au03](#)).

**Additional information 1.**

Theory (moments and g.s. energy): [1984Al30](#).

$^{163}\text{Gd}$  produced as a fission fragment ([1982Ge07](#)) from  $^{252}\text{Cf}$  SF decay, followed by chemical separation. It was identified by observation ([1982Ge07](#)) of ten  $\gamma$  rays with a common  $T_{1/2}$  in the Gd fraction and growth and decay of the  $^{163}\text{Tb}$  daughter.

[2006SaZS](#) report five  $\gamma$  rays in  $^{163}\text{Gd}$  from the decay of  $^{163}\text{Eu}$ , but do not place them in the level scheme. This information is not further mentioned here. See the  $^{163}\text{Eu}$   $\beta^-$  Decay data set for it.

 $^{163}\text{Gd}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	( $5/2^-$ , $7/2^+$ )	68 s 3	$\% \beta^- = 100$ $J^\pi$ : systematics of N=99 nuclei suggests $7/2^+$ or $5/2^-$ . $J^\pi=5/2^-$ for the $^{161}\text{Gd}$ g.s. From systematics, <a href="#">2003Au02</a> propose $7/2^+$ . $T_{1/2}$ : weighted average of decay times of ten $\gamma$ rays ( <a href="#">1982Ge07</a> ); the uncertainty includes count-rate effects.