¹⁶⁴Er(d,t) **1969Tj01**

History						
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date			
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich, Balraj Singh	NDS 111, 1211 (2010)	12-Apr-2010			

Additional information 1.

E= 12.1 MeV. Measured differential cross sections at 60° , 90° and 125° , FWHM \approx 6 keV, DWBA calculations.

1984Pe03: coupled-channel analysis of l=5 neutron transfer to $v11/2^{-}[505]$ bandheads; deduce occupation numbers from comparison of experimental data of 1969Tj01 with theory.

1969Ka24: Coriolis-coupling analysis of (d,t) cross sections.

¹⁶³Er Levels

Band assignments by 1969Tj01 are based on "finger-print" method of comparison of experimental differential cross sections with those calculated from DWBA for members within a band. Note that experimental differential cross sections at 90° listed by 1969Tj01 in tables for bands differ somewhat from those in their master table for E(level) and cross section data at 60° , 90° and 125° .

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	$d\sigma/d\Omega \ \mu b/sr \ (90^\circ)^{\#}$	Comments		
0 ^{<i>c</i>}	5/2-	20			
≈69 ^e	5/2+	≈4 [@]	J ^{π} : from Adopted Levels. 1969Tj01 tentatively propose this as 7/2 ⁺ member, but 7/2 ⁺ is inconsistent with γ -ray data.		
84 [°] 3	7/2-	8 [@]	, , , ,		
104 ^d 3	$3/2^{-}$	101			
121 ^e 3	(9/2+)	53	J^{π} : $\sigma(\theta)$ consistent with 9/2 ⁺ , v5/2[642], although the experimental cross section is somewhat larger than predicted.		
164 ^{bd} 3	$(5/2^{-})$	2 [@]			
190 [°] 3	9/2-	18			
250 ^d 3	7/2-	198	Experimental cross section is much higher than predicted, probably due to Coriolis coupling of low-lying negative parity bands. The band assignment, however, seems firm.		
320 ^{ac} 3	$(11/2^{-})$	≈ 1			
344 <i>^f 3</i>	1/2-	47	J^{π} : this level may be mixed with the K-2 γ -vibrations built on the levels with the following configurations: $v3/2[521]$ and $v5/2[523]$ (1971Bu16,1969Tj01).		
359 ^d 3	9/2-	5			
405 5 3	3/2-	11			
444 ^{<i>a</i>} 3	(11/2 ⁻)	39	 J^π: comparison of coupled-channel predictions (1984Pe03) agrees with σ(θ) data (of 1969Tj01 at three angles) consistent with the v11/2[505] assignment to this level. Predicted/experimental dσ/dΩ (90°)=82/95. The latter includes contribution from the 5/2 member of the v1/2[521] band. Occupation number(V²)= 0.68 <i>14</i>, emptiness number(U²)<0.14 (1984Pe03, CCBA). 		
463 3	3/2+&	172	I^{π} : weaker population in (d n) than in (d t) implies a hole state thus		
105 5	5/2	172	supporting $v_3/2[402]$. Predicted/experimental $d\sigma/d\Omega$ (90°)=612/450.		
495 <mark>d</mark> 3	$11/2^{-}$	7	ouff8		
525 3	11/2	3			
541 3	1/2+ &	207	J ^{π} : weaker population in (d,p) than in (d,t) implies a hole state, thus supporting v1/2[400]. Predicted/experimental d $\sigma/d\Omega$ (90°)=780/602. Expected to be mixed with v1/2[660] by a ΔN =2 interaction		
553 <i>3</i>		13	I		
573 f 3	$7/2^{-}$	34			
610 ^{ag} 3	(5/2 ⁻)	15			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁶⁴Er(d,t) **1969Tj01** (continued)

¹⁶³Er Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	$d\sigma/d\Omega \ \mu b/sr \ (90^\circ)^{\#}$	Comments
619 3		5	
664 <i>3</i>		26	
683 <i>3</i>		11	
698 <mark>8</mark>	$(7/2^{-})$	5	
735 <i>3</i>		17	
759 <i>3</i>		18	
781 <i>3</i>		5	
842 <i>3</i>		4	
856 ^{ah} 3	(3/2 ⁻)	63	J ^{π} : $\sigma(\theta)$ indicates low L-transfer and cross section is consistent with that predicted for $3/2^-$, $\nu 1/2[530]$.
877 ^{bh} 3	$(5/2^{-})$	4	
973 ^{bh} 3	$(7/2^{-})$	16	
987 <i>3</i>		10	
1075 ^a 5	$(1/2^{-})$	4	Probable assignment: $v1/2[510]$.

[†] $\Delta E=3$ keV for E(level)< 1 MeV and 5 keV for others as in 1967Tj01.

- [‡] From 1969Tj01, based on comparison of measured differential cross sections with those calculated from DWBA. See Adopted Levels levels for final assignments.
- [#] 1969Tj01 also give values for θ =60° and 125°.

[@] At 60°.

& From systematics of odd-A Gd nuclides. Additional measurements of $\sigma(5^{\circ})$ for ¹⁶⁵Er and ¹⁶⁷Er show that the L=0 level, corresponding to $1/2^+$, v1/2[400], lies above the $3/2^+$, v3/2[402] level.

- ^a Orbital assignment is tentative due to lack of resolution or intensity.
- ^b Orbital assignment is tentative because experimental cross section is considerably different from that predicted by DWBA.
- ^{*c*} Band(A): v5/2[523] band. Predicted/experimental $d\sigma/d\Omega$ (90°) for 5/2, 7/2, 9/2, and 11/2 members, respectively are: 39/31, 41/ \approx 20, 65/34, and 5/ \approx 2. Relative predicted/experimental ($C_{j,l}^2$) coefficients respectively are: 0.07/0.11, 0.08/0.07, 0.79/0.78, 0.06/0.05. A=11.9.
- ^d Band(B): $v_3/2[521]$ band. Predicted/experimental $d\sigma/d\Omega$ (90°) for 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, 9/2, and 11/2 members, respectively are: 157/180, 0/ \approx 2, 281/395, 21/12 and 9/16. Relative predicted/experimental ($C_{j,l}^2$) coefficients respectively are: 0.10/0.10, 0/0.003,

0.53/0.61, 0.25/0.12, 0.11/0.16. A=12.1.

- ^e Band(C): v5/2[642] band.
- ^{*f*} Band(D): $\nu 1/2[521]$ band. A=13.2, a=0.41.
- ^g Band(E): v5/2[512] band. A=12.6.
- ^h Band(F): v1/2[530] band. A=8.9, a=0.53.

¹⁶⁴Er(d,t) 1969Tj01

								Band(F): v1/2[530] band	
								(7/2 ⁻)	973
								(5/2 ⁻)	877
						Band(E): v5/2[51	2] hand	(512)	
						(7/2 ⁻)	698		
				Band(D): v1/2[52	1] band	(5/2-)	610		
				7/2-	573				
	Band(B): v3/2[52	1] band							
	<u>11/2</u> -	495							
				3/2-	405				
Band(A): v5/2[523] band	9/2-	359		1/2-	344				
(11/2 ⁻) 320									
	7/2-	250							
<u>9/2⁻ 190</u>	(5/2 ⁻)	164							
			Band(C): v5/2[642] band						
	3/2-	104	(9/2*) 121						
7/2- 84			<u>5/2</u> ⁺ ≈69						
<u>5/2-</u> 0									

¹⁶³₆₈Er₉₅