## $^{106}$ Cd( $^{60}$ Ni,2p2n $\gamma$ ) 2016Jo01

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 195,1 (2024)	19-Sep-2023

2016Jo01 compiled for XUNDL batabase by B. Singh (McMaster).

2016Jo01: E=270 MeV. Target=1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> thick, 96.5% enriched <sup>106</sup>Cd self-supporting foil. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO), recoil implants, (implants) $\gamma$ -coin. Recoil-decay tagging technique using RITU gas-filled separator and GREAT spectrometer and JUROGAM array at University of Jyvaskyla accelerator laboratory. Deduced high-spin levels,  $J^{\pi}$ , bands, configurations, alignments. Comparison with predictions of cranked shell-model calculations.

## <sup>162</sup>W Levels

Quasiparticle orbital labeling scheme:

A:  $v_{13/2}, \alpha = +1/2$ ; first orbital.

B:  $vi_{13/2}, \alpha = -1/2$ ; first orbital.

E:  $v(h_{9/2}, f_{7/2}), \alpha = +1/2$ ; first orbital.

F:  $\nu(h_{9/2}, f_{7/2}), \alpha = -1/2$ ; first orbital.

G:  $v(h_{9/2}, f_{7/2}), \alpha = +1/2$ ; second orbital.

H:  $v(h_{9/2}, f_{7/2}), \alpha = -1/2$ ; second orbital.

e:  $\pi h_{11/2}, \alpha = +1/2$ ; first orbital.

f:  $\pi h_{11/2}, \alpha = -1/2$ ; first orbital.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡
0.0#	$0^{+}$	2268.2 <sup>#</sup> 10	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	2892.6 11		4123.2 <sup>#</sup> 18	$(14^{+})$
449.6 <sup>#</sup> 5	(2+)	2394.0 13		3048.7 15		4253.7 <sup>@</sup> 19	(15 <sup>-</sup> )
1012.4 <sup>#</sup> 7	$(4^{+})$	2426.4 14		3120.3 <sup>@</sup> 13	(11 <sup>-</sup> )	4833.3 <sup>@</sup> 22	(17 <sup>-</sup> )
1639.0 <sup>#</sup> 9	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	2508.8 14		3442.8 <sup>#</sup> 15	$(12^{+})$	4851.8 <sup>#</sup> 27	(16 <sup>+</sup> )
1972.8 22		2824.4 <sup>#</sup> 11	$(10^{+})$	3655.3 <sup>@</sup> 16	(13 <sup>-</sup> )	5563.7 30	

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  values.

<sup>‡</sup> As proposed by 2016Jo01, based on  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (DCO) data.

<sup>#</sup> Band(A): Band based on g.s. Configuration= $vi_{13/2}^2$  before the band crossing at  $\hbar\omega\approx 0.3$  MeV,  $vi_{13/2}^2 \otimes vh_{9/2}^2$  after the crossing.

<sup>@</sup> Band(B): Band based on (11<sup>-</sup>). Configuration= $vi_{13/2} \otimes v(h_{9/2}, f_{7/2})$ .

 $\gamma(^{162}W)$ 

The DCO ratios are for 90° and 158° geometry, with gates on  $\Delta J=2$ , quadrupole transitions. For a guide, DCO values for known transitions in <sup>164</sup>W were 0.94 9 for 490 $\gamma$ , 4<sup>+</sup> -> 2<sup>+</sup> transition, and 0.67 14 for 752 $\gamma$ , 7<sup>-</sup> -> 6<sup>+</sup> transition.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	Iγ	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f  \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
156.1 10	4.2 7	3048.7		2892.6		
295.9 5	15.0 <i>13</i>	3120.3	$(11^{-})$	2824.4 (10 <sup>+</sup> )		
384.0 10	2.8 7	2892.6		2508.8		
449.6 5	100.0 15	449.6	$(2^{+})$	$0.0 \ 0^+$	(E2)	DCO=1.2 2
499.8 20	1.5 6	2892.6		2394.0		
535.0 10	9.0 9	3655.3	$(13^{-})$	3120.3 (11 <sup>-</sup> )		
556.2 5	26.1 18	2824.4	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	2268.2 (8+)		Initial level $J^{\pi}$ =(10 <sup>-</sup> ) listed in Table III of 2016Jo01 is a misprint.
562.8 5	90.0 6	1012.4	(4+)	449.6 (2+)	(E2)	DCO=1.3 2
Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)						

$^{106}$ Cd( $^{60}$ Ni,2p2n $\gamma$ ) <b>2016Jo01</b> (continued)							
						$\gamma$ <sup>(162</sup> W) (continued)	
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments	
579.6 10	2.3 5	4833.3	$(17^{-})$	4253.7	$(15^{-})$		
598.4 10	5.3 7	4253.7	(15-)	3655.3	(13-)		
618.4 10	7.6 10	3442.8	$(12^{+})$	2824.4	$(10^{+})$		
624.3 5	11.2 12	2892.6		2268.2	$(8^+)$		
626.6 5	67 4	1639.0	$(6^{+})$	1012.4	$(4^{+})$		
629.1 5	33.5 24	2268.2	(8+)	1639.0	(6+)	$(13^{-}) \rightarrow (11^{-})$ listed in Table III of 2016Jo01 seems a misprint in view of placement and $I^{\pi}$ values shown in authors' Figure 5	
680.4 <i>10</i>	2.0 5	4123.2	(14 <sup>+</sup> )	3442.8	(12 <sup>+</sup> )	$(8^+) \rightarrow (6^+)$ listed in Table III of 2016Jo01 seems a misprint in view of placement and $J^{\pi}$ values shown in authors' Figure 5.	
728.6 20	1.1 4	4851.8	$(16^{+})$	4123.2	$(14^{+})$		
730.4 20	1.1 4	5563.7		4833.3	$(17^{-})$		
755.3 10	6.2 11	2394.0		1639.0	$(6^{+})$		
787.4 10	2.0 7	2426.4		1639.0	$(6^{+})$		
870.5 20	0.7 6	2508.8		1639.0	$(6^{+})$		
960.4 20	1.6 8	1972.8		1012.4	$(4^{+})$		

<sup>†</sup> 2016Jo01 assign uncertainty of 0.5 keV for  $\gamma$  rays with I $\gamma$ >10, up to 2 keV for weaker  $\gamma$  rays. Evaluator assigns 1.0 keV for  $\gamma$  rays with I $\gamma$ =2-10, and 2.0 keV for I $\gamma$ <2. <sup>‡</sup> From DCO value and RUL, assuming level T<sub>1/2</sub><10 ns.

2



3

## <sup>106</sup>Cd(<sup>60</sup>Ni,2p2nγ) 2016Jo01



 $^{162}_{\ 74}W_{88}$