

$^{106}\text{Cd}(^{58}\text{Ni},2n\gamma)$  2004Jo12

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 195,1 (2024)	19-Sep-2023

**Additional information 1.**

$^{106}\text{Cd}(^{58}\text{Ni},2n\gamma)$ ,  $E(^{58}\text{Ni})=270$  MeV. Target was a self-supporting  $^{106}\text{Cd}$  foil (enrichment=96%) of nominal thickness 0.9 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.  $\gamma$  radiation studied using the JUROGAM spectrometer, consisting of 43 escape-suppressed Ge detectors. The recoiling fusion-evaporation residues separated from the products of fission and the beam projectiles using the gas-filled recoil spectrometer RITU and deposited in the focal plane of the GREAT spectrometer, consisting of a multiwire proportional counter, Si and Ge detectors, and an implantation detector involving two double-sided silicon-strip detectors. Parent-daughter relations among the reaction products were established using the recoil-tagging technique. Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $E_\alpha$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\alpha\gamma\gamma$ .

 $^{162}\text{Os}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
706.7 <sup>‡</sup> 3	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	
1406.5 <sup>‡</sup> 8	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	
1990.6 <sup>‡</sup> 8	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	
2189.5 <sup>‡</sup> 8	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	E(level): regardless of the ordering of the $\gamma$ 's deexciting this level and those lying below it, this energy may be independent of that ordering.

<sup>†</sup> Except for the g.s., the values are those suggested by 2004Jo12 based on considerations from systematics and expected nuclear structure.

<sup>‡</sup> Value based on the listed placement of the deexciting  $\gamma$ , as reported by 2004Jo12. If the deexciting  $\gamma$  belongs elsewhere in the level scheme, then this energy will have to be appropriately modified.

 $\gamma(^{162}\text{Os})$ 

The placement of the  $\gamma$ 's in the level scheme is that of the authors and is based on considerations of intensity and expectations from systematics in neighboring nuclides.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
198.9 <sup>†</sup> 1	34 6	2189.5	(8 <sup>+</sup> )	1990.6	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	
<sup>x</sup> 270.3 2	14 4					
<sup>x</sup> 342.9 2	19 5					
<sup>x</sup> 375.5 2	23 6					
584.1 <sup>†</sup> 2	73 14	1990.6	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1406.5	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : from $\alpha\gamma\gamma$ coin, 2004Jo12 report that this transition is a doublet.
<sup>x</sup> 685.2 2	76 15					
699.8 <sup>†</sup> 7	64 16	1406.5	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	706.7	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	
706.7 <sup>†</sup> 3	100 19	706.7	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 715.0 4	28 8					
<sup>x</sup> 788.7 5	61 13					

<sup>†</sup>  $\gamma$  is in coin with the other placed  $\gamma$ 's, but its ordering in the level scheme is not established. Its placement is that proposed by 2004Jo12.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{106}\text{Cd}(^{58}\text{Ni},2n\gamma)$  2004Jo12

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

## Legend

- $\blackrightarrow$   $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $\color{blue}\blackrightarrow$   $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $\color{red}\blackrightarrow$   $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

