

¹⁶²Ho ε+β⁺ decay (67.0 min) 1999Za15,1971Wo09

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 195,1 (2024)	19-Sep-2023

Parent: ¹⁶²Ho: E=105.87 6; J^π=6⁻; T_{1/2}=67.0 min 7; Q(ε)=2141 3; %ε+%β⁺ decay≈37

¹⁶²Ho-E: [Additional information 1.](#)

¹⁶²Ho-J^π: [Additional information 2.](#)

¹⁶²Ho-T_{1/2}: [Additional information 3.](#)

¹⁶²Ho-Q(ε): From [2021Wa16.](#)

¹⁶²Ho-%ε+%β⁺ decay: from evaluator's analysis based on Ice(L)(38.34)/Ice(K)(184.99) ratio measured by [1961Jo10](#), with 38.34γ in ¹⁶²Ho IT decay (67 min) scheme and 184.99γ in ¹⁶²Ho ε decay (67.0 min) scheme, giving %IT=63 and %ε=37. See ¹⁶²Ho IT decay (67.0 min) dataset for details.

[1999Za15](#): ¹⁶²Ho produced using the ¹⁵⁹Tb(α,n) reaction. Both 15-min and 67-min activities were present in the sources. γ's detected using a Clover detector and a 70% Ge detector. Measured Eγ, Iγ for mixed sources, γγ. Emphasis was on this 67-min activity, but new data were also obtained for the 15-min activity. See, also, the ¹⁶²Ho ε decay (15.0 min) Data Set. Other reports from this same group are given in [2000Za03](#) and [1998LiZR](#).

[1973Ch28](#): ¹⁶²Ho (67 min) from ¹⁵⁹Tb(α,n). Measured T_{1/2} for the 80 and 1485 levels, using NaI(Tl) and/or plastic scintillators.

[1971Wo09](#): ¹⁶²Ho produced in the ¹⁵⁹Tb(α,n) reaction, with chemical extraction of the rare-earth activities. Samples contained both ¹⁶²Ho activities. γ's measured using Ge detectors. Measured Eγ, Iγ for both activities. 42 γ's reported. See, also, the ¹⁶²Ho ε decay (15.0 min) Data Set.

[1969Ho17](#): ¹⁶²Ho (67 min) produced in ¹⁶²Dy(d,2n). Measured T_{1/2}(1485, 5⁻, level) using NaI(Tl) and/or plastic scintillators.

[1963Li04](#): ¹⁶²Ho (67 min) from ¹⁵⁹Tb(α,n). Measured T_{1/2} for the 80 and 265 levels, using plastic scintillators.

[1961Jo10](#): ¹⁶²Ho produced in ¹⁵⁹Tb(α,n). Some sources were isotope separated. γ singles and γγ coincidences measured using NaI(Tl) detectors. ce, β⁺, and ceγ coincidences measured using magnetic spectrometer. 7 γ's reported.

Others: [1957Mi67](#); [1961Ha23](#); [1969Ak01](#); [1976Ko34](#).

These data are primarily from [1999Za15](#), with some input from those of [1971Wo09](#) and the ¹⁶²Dy Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

Level scheme is incomplete: the sum of energies is 550 7, while Q×(%ε+%β⁺ decay)=831.3 11. For this reason no ε,β⁺ radiations table is given for this dataset.

[Additional information 4.](#)

¹⁶²Dy Levels

[Additional information 5.](#)

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0 [@]	0 ⁺	stable	
80.670 [@] 20	2 ⁺	2.19 ns 2	T _{1/2} : adopted value. 2.25 ns 7 from 1963Li04 from electron-γ coincidences with two plastic scintillators. Other: 3.05 ns 20 (1973Ch28).
265.661 [@] 27	4 ⁺	0.132 ns 5	T _{1/2} : adopted value. 0.132 ns 8 from 1963Li04 from electron-γ coincidences with two plastic scintillators.
548.544 [@] 35	6 ⁺		
888.36 ^{&} 5	2 ⁺		
962.99 ^{&} 4	3 ⁺		
1061.02 ^{&} 4	4 ⁺		
1148.36 ^a 21	2 ⁻		
1182.79 ^{&} 4	5 ⁺		
1210.136 ^a 35	3 ⁻		
1296.95 ^a 4	4 ⁻		
1324.51 ^{&} 5	6 ⁺		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{162}Ho $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (67.0 min) [1999Za15,1971Wo09](#) (continued) ^{162}Dy Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	Comments
1390.54 ^a 5	5 ⁻		
1485.705 ^b 34	5 ⁻	1.92 ns 11	$T_{1/2}$: Weighted average of 1.91 ns 19 (1969Ho17) and 1.93 ns 13 (1973Ch28 from x- γ coincidences with plastic scintillators). Additional information 6 .
1530.26 ^a 11	6 ⁻		
1575.64 ^b 5	6 ⁻		J^π : from expected band structure. 1999Za15 suggest $J^\pi=5^-,6^-$.

[†] From least-squares fit to γ energies.

[‡] J^π and band assignments are from ^{162}Dy Adopted Levels. Arguments for each assignment are given there.

[#] From measurements following decay of ^{162}Ho (67 min) only. See ^{162}Dy Adopted Levels for a summary of all half-life results.

@ Band(A): $K^\pi=0^+$ ground-state band.

& Band(B): $K^\pi=2^+$ γ -vibrational band.

^a Band(C): $K^\pi=2^-$ octupole-vibrational band. Dominant configuration= $(\pi 7/2[523])-(\pi 3/2[411])$.

^b Band(D): $K^\pi=5^-$ band, Configuration= $(\nu 5/2[523])+(\nu 5/2[642])$.

$\gamma(^{162}\text{Dy})$

I γ normalization: computed by requiring that the total γ +ce intensity in the $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay that passes through 100 keV be 100%. In computing this, the evaluator has used the total intensity of the γ 's feeding the 265 level rather than the I(γ +ce) value of the deexciting 185 γ , since this latter value is significantly smaller than the former.

Coincidence data on the drawing are from [1961Jo10](#).

[1971Wo09](#) report γ 's having E γ =205.5 3, 467.9 2, 1276.0 10, and 1806.0 10. These have not been placed in the proposed level scheme. Unplaced transitions from the ce data of [1961Ha23](#) are not listed. These latter γ 's could be from ¹⁶²Ho (15 min) or some other nuclide.

E γ	I γ ^{†@}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.#	δ#	α&	Comments
80.67 2	187 6	80.670	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		6.13	α(K)=1.82 3; α(L)=3.32 5; α(M)=0.796 12; α(N+..)=0.200 3 α(N)=0.178 3; α(O)=0.0212 3; α(P)=7.66×10 ⁻⁵ 11 %I γ ≈4.5 I γ : computed from the intensity balance at the 80.7 level, assuming no $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ feeding.
89.98 10	9.6 [‡] 3	1575.64	6 ⁻	1485.705	5 ⁻	M1+E2	0.53 3	3.42 6	α(K)=2.45 5; α(L)=0.75 4; α(M)=0.174 8; α(N+..)=0.0447 20 α(N)=0.0394 18; α(O)=0.00514 21; α(P)=0.000146 3 %I γ ≈0.23 I γ : computed from I γ (90 γ)/I γ (329.8 γ) in (α ,2n γ) and I γ (392.8 γ). 1999Za15 report I γ =37 8 for the composite peak.
95.25 10	20 [‡] 2	1485.705	5 ⁻	1390.54	5 ⁻	[M1,E2]		3.0 3	α(K)=1.8 6; α(L)=0.9 6; α(M)=0.22 15; α(N+..)=0.06 4 α(N)=0.05 4; α(O)=0.006 4; α(P)=0.00010 5 %I γ ≈0.48 I γ : listed value is close to that expected from (n, γ). Thus, most of the intensity of this doublet is associated with this placement.
149.07 10	10.4 [‡] 4	1210.136	3 ⁻	1061.02	4 ⁺				%I γ ≈0.25 E γ : assumed by the evaluator to be the same as the 149.100 γ in (n, γ).
161.16 5	2.9 2	1485.705	5 ⁻	1324.51	6 ⁺	[E1]		0.0861	α(K)=0.0724 11; α(L)=0.01068 15; α(M)=0.00234 4; α(N+..)=0.000611 9 α(N)=0.000533 8; α(O)=7.45×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(P)=3.57×10 ⁻⁶ 5 %I γ ≈0.069
184.99 2	1000 3	265.661	4 ⁺	80.670	2 ⁺	E2		0.307	α(K)=0.200 3; α(L)=0.0826 12; α(M)=0.0194 3; α(N+..)=0.00494 7 α(N)=0.00438 7; α(O)=0.000551 8; α(P)=9.37×10 ⁻⁶ 14 %I γ ≈24
188.78 3	3.8 5	1485.705	5 ⁻	1296.95	4 ⁻	M1+E2	0.89 19	0.349 14	α(K)=0.270 17; α(L)=0.061 4; α(M)=0.0139 9; α(N+..)=0.00361 20 α(N)=0.00317 18; α(O)=0.000427 18; α(P)=1.55×10 ⁻⁵ 14 α(K)=0.336 5; α(L)=0.0489 7; α(M)=0.01073 15; α(N+..)=0.00287 4 α(N)=0.00248 4; α(O)=0.000364 5; α(P)=2.08×10 ⁻⁵ 3 %I γ ≈0.091
219.6 10	1.2 6	1182.79	5 ⁺	962.99	3 ⁺	E2		0.173	α(K)=0.1203 24; α(L)=0.0412 10; α(M)=0.00962 23; α(N+..)=0.00246

¹⁶²Ho $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay (67.0 min) [1999Za15,1971Wo09](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{162}\text{Dy})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\alpha\&$	Comments
								6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00217\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000277\ 7$; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.87\times 10^{-6}\ 11$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.029$ I_γ : computed by the evaluator from $I_\gamma(219.6\gamma)/I_\gamma(917.2\gamma)$ from 1971Wo09 and $I_\gamma(917.2\gamma)$. γ not reported by 1999Za15 .
236.04 10	2.2 \ddagger 4	1296.95	4 ⁻	1061.02	4 ⁺	E1	0.0316	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0267\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00383\ 6$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000837\ 12$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000220\ 3$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000192\ 3$; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.71\times 10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.376\times 10^{-6}\ 20$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.052$ I_γ : from $I_\gamma(236.0\gamma)/I_\gamma(333.9\gamma)$ in (n, γ) and $I_\gamma(333.9\gamma)$, one computes $I_\gamma(236.0\gamma)=2.2\ 4$. However, the 333.9 γ is itself complex (1999Za15) and thus this deduced value is an upper limit. See the comment on the 333.95 γ . 1999Za15 report $I_\gamma=15.8\ 2$ for this γ .
247.12 8	1.5 3	1210.136	3 ⁻	962.99	3 ⁺	E1	0.0281	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0238\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00340\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000742\ 11$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000195\ 3$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001700\ 24$; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.41\times 10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.229\times 10^{-6}\ 18$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.036$
251.10 8	1.0 3	1575.64	6 ⁻	1324.51	6 ⁺	[E1]	0.0270	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0228\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00326\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000711\ 10$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000187\ 3$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001630\ 23$; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.31\times 10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.182\times 10^{-6}\ 17$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.024$
260.00 20	0.3 1	1148.36	2 ⁻	888.36	2 ⁺	E1	0.0246	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0209\ 3$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00297\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000650\ 10$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001712\ 25$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001489\ 21$; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.12\times 10^{-5}\ 3$; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.086\times 10^{-6}\ 16$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.0072$
275.55 3	30.2 8	1485.705	5 ⁻	1210.136	3 ⁻	E2	0.0839	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0617\ 9$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01716\ 24$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00397\ 6$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001021\ 15$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000900\ 13$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001174\ 17$; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.16\times 10^{-6}\ 5$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.72$
278.49 12	$\leq 5\ddagger$	1575.64	6 ⁻	1296.95	4 ⁻			% $I_\gamma<0.12$ 1999Za15 report $I_\gamma=3.0\ 20$ for the composite peak.
282.86 3	429 8	548.544	6 ⁺	265.661	4 ⁺	E2	0.0773	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0572\ 8$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01557\ 22$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00360\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000925\ 13$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000816\ 12$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001067\ 15$; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.95\times 10^{-6}\ 5$ % $I_\gamma\approx 10.2$
302.91 3	12.5 3	1485.705	5 ⁻	1182.79	5 ⁺	E1	0.01679	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01423\ 20$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00201\ 3$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000439\ 7$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001158\ 17$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001007\ 14$; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.437\times 10^{-5}\ 21$; $\alpha(\text{P})=7.51\times 10^{-7}\ 11$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.30$
321.76 5	3.7 4	1210.136	3 ⁻	888.36	2 ⁺	E1	0.01446	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01226\ 18$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001726\ 25$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000377\ 6$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=9.95\times 10^{-5}\ 14$ $\alpha(\text{N})=8.65\times 10^{-5}\ 13$; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.236\times 10^{-5}\ 18$; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.50\times 10^{-7}\ 10$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.088$
329.47 10	2.0 \ddagger 5	1390.54	5 ⁻	1061.02	4 ⁺	[E1]	0.01365	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01157\ 17$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001627\ 23$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000355\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=9.38\times 10^{-5}\ 14$ $\alpha(\text{N})=8.15\times 10^{-5}\ 12$; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.166\times 10^{-5}\ 17$; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.15\times 10^{-7}\ 9$ % $I_\gamma\approx 0.048$ I_γ : computed from $I_\gamma(329.4\gamma)/I_\gamma(842.0\gamma)$ (from 1971Wo09) and $I_\gamma(842.0\gamma)$. 1999Za15 report $I_\gamma=3.5\ 2$ for the composite peak.

¹⁶²Ho ε+β⁺ decay (67.0 min) **1999Za15,1971Wo09** (continued)

$\gamma(^{162}\text{Dy})$ (continued)									
E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger@}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha\&$	Comments
333.95 10	15.9 [‡] 2	1296.95	4 ⁻	962.99	3 ⁺	E1		0.01320	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01120$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001573$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000343$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=9.07\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.88\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.128\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.95\times 10^{-7}$ 9 %I $\gamma\approx 0.38$ I γ : 1999Za15 indicate that this γ is a doublet. Evaluator has assumed that all the intensity is associated with this placement. Thus, this listed value is an upper limit.
347.47 10	2.6 2	1530.26	6 ⁻	1182.79	5 ⁺	[E1]		0.01199	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01017$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001426$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000311$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.22\times 10^{-5}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{N})=7.14\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.023\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.42\times 10^{-7}$ 8 %I $\gamma\approx 0.062$
392.86 4	9.4 3	1575.64	6 ⁻	1182.79	5 ⁺	[E1]		0.00894	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00759$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001057$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000230$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=6.10\times 10^{-5}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.29\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.61\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.08\times 10^{-7}$ 6 %I $\gamma\approx 0.22$
424.69 4	15.0 8	1485.705	5 ⁻	1061.02	4 ⁺	[E1]		0.00745	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00633$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000877$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000191$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.06\times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.40\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.33\times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.42\times 10^{-7}$ 5 %I $\gamma\approx 0.36$
634.21 5	3.9 3	1182.79	5 ⁺	548.544	6 ⁺	E2+M1	-7 +2-20	0.00853 19	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00701$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001184$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000264$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=6.95\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.05\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.52\times 10^{-6}$ 17; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.00\times 10^{-7}$ 10 %I $\gamma\approx 0.093$
697.28 10	2.3 4	962.99	3 ⁺	265.661	4 ⁺	E2(+M1)	>45	0.00670	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00553$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000909$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000202$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.33\times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.64\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.56\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.17\times 10^{-7}$ 5 %I $\gamma\approx 0.055$
775.81 10	3.2 4	1324.51	6 ⁺	548.544	6 ⁺	E2(+M1)	>2.3	0.0056 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0047$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00073$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000162$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.28\times 10^{-5}$ 23 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.72\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.3\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.71\times 10^{-7}$ 21 %I $\gamma\approx 0.076$
795.36 5	13.3 4	1061.02	4 ⁺	265.661	4 ⁺	E2+M1	+12 +18-4	0.00500 8	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00416$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000655$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001449$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=3.83\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.33\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.75\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.39\times 10^{-7}$ 4 %I $\gamma\approx 0.32$ I γ : Contribution from 15-min activity subtracted, even though it is not shown explicitly in ¹⁶² Dy ε decay (15 min) data.
807.65 7	1.9 4	888.36	2 ⁺	80.670	2 ⁺	E2+M1	+57 +∞-33	0.00481	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00400$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000628$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001390$ 20; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=3.68\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.20\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.56\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.30\times 10^{-7}$ 4

¹⁶²Ho ε+β⁺ decay (67.0 min) **1999Za15,1971Wo09** (continued)

<u>γ(¹⁶²Dy) (continued)</u>									
<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{†@}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[#]</u>	<u>α&</u>	<u>Comments</u>
842.00 5	16.7 4	1390.54	5 ⁻	548.544	6 ⁺	E1		1.73×10 ⁻³	%I _γ ≈0.045 I _γ : computed by the evaluator by subtracting the contribution (1.9 2) from the 15-min activity from the observed I _γ value (3.8 3). α(K)=0.001479 21; α(L)=0.000198 3; α(M)=4.30×10 ⁻⁵ 6; α(N+..)=1.143×10 ⁻⁵ 16 α(N)=9.90×10 ⁻⁶ 14; α(O)=1.444×10 ⁻⁶ 21; α(P)=8.22×10 ⁻⁸ 12
882.32 5	13.2 3	962.99	3 ⁺	80.670	2 ⁺	E2+M1	+41 +34-13	0.00397	%I _γ ≈0.40 α(K)=0.00332 5; α(L)=0.000508 8; α(M)=0.0001121 16; α(N+..)=2.97×10 ⁻⁵ 5 α(N)=2.58×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=3.69×10 ⁻⁶ 6; α(P)=1.91×10 ⁻⁷ 3
888.2 10	3.1 4	888.36	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00391	%I _γ ≈0.32 α(K)=0.00327 5; α(L)=0.000500 8; α(M)=0.0001103 16; α(N+..)=2.92×10 ⁻⁵ 5 α(N)=2.54×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=3.64×10 ⁻⁶ 6; α(P)=1.88×10 ⁻⁷ 3
917.17 5	21.3 4	1182.79	5 ⁺	265.661	4 ⁺	E2+M1	+50 +50-20	0.00365	%I _γ ≈0.074 I _γ : computed by the evaluator by subtracting the contribution (1.6 3) from the 15-min activity from the observed I _γ value (4.7 2). α(K)=0.00306 5; α(L)=0.000464 7; α(M)=0.0001022 15; α(N+..)=2.71×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=2.35×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=3.38×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(P)=1.761×10 ⁻⁷ 25
937.17 5	436 9	1485.705	5 ⁻	548.544	6 ⁺	E1		1.41×10 ⁻³	%I _γ ≈0.51 α(K)=0.001205 17; α(L)=0.0001604 23; α(M)=3.48×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(N+..)=9.27×10 ⁻⁶ 13 α(N)=8.03×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(O)=1.172×10 ⁻⁶ 17; α(P)=6.72×10 ⁻⁸ 10
944.45 6	8.8 4	1210.136	3 ⁻	265.661	4 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.10 +3-5	0.00153 18	%I _γ ≈10 α(K)=0.00130 15; α(L)=0.000176 22; α(M)=3.8×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(N+..)=1.02×10 ⁻⁵ 13 α(N)=8.8×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(O)=1.29×10 ⁻⁶ 17; α(P)=7.4×10 ⁻⁸ 10
980.43 9	11.2 9	1061.02	4 ⁺	80.670	2 ⁺	[E2]		0.00317	%I _γ ≈0.21 α(K)=0.00266 4; α(L)=0.000398 6; α(M)=8.75×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(N+..)=2.32×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=2.02×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(O)=2.90×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(P)=1.535×10 ⁻⁷ 22 %I _γ ≈0.27 I _γ : Contribution from 15-min activity subtracted, even though it is not shown explicitly in ¹⁶² Dy ε decay (15 min) data.

¹⁶²Ho ε+β⁺ decay (67.0 min) [1999Za15,1971Wo09](#) (continued)

<u>γ(¹⁶²Dy) (continued)</u>									
<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{†@}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.#</u>	<u>δ[#]</u>	<u>α&</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1026.93 20	2.5 3	1575.64	6 ⁻	548.544	6 ⁺				%I _γ ≈0.060
1058.85 16	1.6 3	1324.51	6 ⁺	265.661	4 ⁺	E2		0.00271	α(K)=0.00228 4; α(L)=0.000335 5; α(M)=7.35×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(N+..)=1.95×10 ⁻⁵ 3 α(N)=1.694×10 ⁻⁵ 24; α(O)=2.45×10 ⁻⁶ 4; α(P)=1.314×10 ⁻⁷ 19
1124.90 6	46.4 14	1390.54	5 ⁻	265.661	4 ⁺	E1		1.01×10 ⁻³	%I _γ ≈0.038 α(K)=0.000860 12; α(L)=0.0001136 16; α(M)=2.46×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(N+..)=1.050×10 ⁻⁵ 15 α(N)=5.68×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(O)=8.31×10 ⁻⁷ 12; α(P)=4.81×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α(IPF)=3.94×10 ⁻⁶ 6
1129.46 6	14.9 5	1210.136	3 ⁻	80.670	2 ⁺	E1+M2	+0.05 +5-3	0.00102 7	%I _γ ≈1.11 α(K)=0.00087 6; α(L)=0.000115 9; α(M)=2.50×10 ⁻⁵ 18; α(N+..)=1.12×10 ⁻⁵ 5 α(N)=5.8×10 ⁻⁶ 5; α(O)=8.5×10 ⁻⁷ 7; α(P)=4.9×10 ⁻⁸ 4; α(IPF)=4.52×10 ⁻⁶ 8 α(K)=0.000854 12; α(L)=0.0001128 16; α(M)=2.44×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(N+..)=1.104×10 ⁻⁵ 16 α(N)=5.64×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(O)=8.25×10 ⁻⁷ 12; α(P)=4.77×10 ⁻⁸ 7; α(IPF)=4.53×10 ⁻⁶ 7
1220.04 6	991 22	1485.705	5 ⁻	265.661	4 ⁺	E1		9.00×10 ⁻⁴	%I _γ ≈0.36 α(K)=0.000744 11; α(L)=9.79×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(M)=2.12×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(N+..)=3.76×10 ⁻⁵ 6 α(N)=4.90×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(O)=7.17×10 ⁻⁷ 10; α(P)=4.16×10 ⁻⁸ 6; α(IPF)=3.19×10 ⁻⁵ 5
1310.05 10	4.3 3	1575.64	6 ⁻	265.661	4 ⁺				%I _γ ≈23.6 %I _γ ≈0.1

[†] Values are from [1999Za15](#), unless noted otherwise. Where appropriate, these values have been corrected for the contributions from the 15-min ¹⁶²Ho activity, inferred on the basis of the data given in the ¹⁶²Ho ε decay (15 min) Data Set.

[‡] γ reported as a doublet by [1999Za15](#), with the combined intensity reported. Where it was possible to infer the intensity associated with the listed placement, this has been done. NOTE: the “other” γ is not listed here as unplaced.

[#] Assignments and values are from the ¹⁶²Dy Adopted Gammas dataset.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈0.0238.

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{162}Ho ε decay (67.0 min) 1999Za15,1971Wo09