106 Cd(58 Ni,p2n γ) 2006La16

History										
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date							
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 112,2497 (2011)	1-Jun-2011							

Additional information 1.

 $E(^{58}Ni)=270$ MeV. Self-supporting target foil, 96% enriched, thickness=0.9 mg/cm². Fusion-evaporation reaction products were separated using the gas-filled recoil separator RITU and implanted into double-sided Si-strip detectors In the GREAT spectrometer. γ rays studied using the JUROGAM detector array, consisting of 43 escape-suppressed coaxial Ge detectors. Assignment of γ rays to the respective reaction products was done using recoil-decay tagging involving the α and proton decay of the implanted recoil products. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ and isomer lifetimes.

¹⁶¹Re Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0#	1/2+	0.44 ms <i>I</i>	$\%$ p=100; $\%\alpha \le 1.4$ T _{1/2} : value reported As 440 μ s <i>1</i> In the text of 2006La16, but this uncertainty seems too small. The evaluator has adopted the listed uncertainty As more reasonable. IT is also the value shown on the skeleton scheme (fig. 1) In 2006La16.
123.8 [@] 13	11/2-	14.7 ms 3	$%$ p=7.0 3; $%\alpha$ =93.0 3 E(level): From the Adopted Levels.
681.8? [#] 2	$(5/2^+)$		
761.20 [@] 10	$15/2^{-}$		
1363.6? [#] 3	$(9/2^+)$		
1447.30 [@] 14 1478.40? 14 1865.60 ^{&} 15	19/2-		
1975.7? [#] 5	$(13/2^+)$		
2022.30 [@] 17	23/2-		
2177.0 [@] 3	27/2-		
2453.4? [#] 5	$(17/2^+)$		
2589.91? ^{&} 18			
2647.4 [@] 3	$(31/2^{-})$		
2903.11 ^{&} 20			
2942.9 ^{<i>a</i>} 3	$(31/2^{-})$		
3117.9 [@] 3			
3308.6 ^{<i>a</i>} 3	$(33/2^{-})$		
$3331.1 \overset{\circ}{=} 3$	$(25/2^{-})$		
$3668 \ 912^{\circ} 23$	(33/2)		
3798.2 ^{<i>a</i>} 4	$(37/2^{-})$		
3929.0 [@] 4			
4075.91? ^{&} 25			
4183.7 4			
4400.8? ^{<i>a</i>} 5			

[†] Computed from a least-squares fit to the listed $E\gamma$ values. The uncertainties In the energies of the levels whose deexcitation leads to the $11/2^-$ isomeric state do not include that of the isomer itself.

[‡] Values proposed by 2006La16 based on the usual considerations of band structure As populated In heavy-ion-induced reactions. The proposed level structure is interpreted In terms of the total Routhian surface formalism, including alignment of neutron orbitals and excitations based on the $\pi h_{11/2}$ subshell.

¹⁰⁶Cd(⁵⁸Ni,p2nγ) **2006La16** (continued)

¹⁶¹Re Levels (continued)

[#] Band(A): proposed g.s. band.

[@] Band(B): band based on $\pi h_{11/2}$.

& Band(C): possible $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes (\nu h_{9/2})^2$ band.

^{*a*} Band(D): level sequence based on $(31/2^{-})$.

$\gamma(^{161}\text{Re})$

The angular distribution ratio is defined by 2006La16 As $R=I\gamma(158^{\circ})/(I\gamma(94^{\circ})+I\gamma(86^{\circ}))$. For the known E1 transition In ¹⁶⁰W, R=0.44 6 and, for the strongest E2 transitions In this nuclide, the average R value is 0.62 2. Other than this, these authors do not specify which range of R values correspond to D and Q mults. IT is assumed that mult=Q corresponds to E2, rather than M2.

Eγ	Ι _γ ‡#	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^π	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult.&	Comments
154.7 2	13.3 4	2177.0	$27/2^{-}$	2022.30	$23/2^{-}$	E2	R=0.64 7.
168.2 <i>1</i>	1.98 15	3798.2	$(37/2^{-})$	3630.0	$(35/2^{-})$	(M1)	R=0.41 15.
213.2 <i>I</i>	4.8 2	3331.1		3117.9		(E2)	R=0.60 10.
254.5 2	1.20 16	4183.7	(24/2-)	3929.0	(24/2-)	(M1)	
295.6 1	4.1 2	2942.9	$(31/2^{-})$	2647.4	$(31/2^{-})$	(M1)	R=0.56 12.
313.2 1	9.3 4	2903.11		2589.91?		E2	R=0.70 9.
321.4 1	4.4 3	3630.0	$(35/2^{-})$	3308.6	$(33/2^{-})$	M1	R=0.43 10.
365.6 1	6.5 3	3308.6	$(33/2^{-})$	2942.9	$(31/2^{-})$	MI (E2)	R=0.47 8.
38/.41	1.14	1805.00		14/8.40?		(E2)	R=0.39 10.
406.8 1	6.4 3	40/5.91?		3668.91?	10/2-	(M1)	R=0.47 8.
418.3 I	0.53	1865.60	$(21/2^{-})$	1447.30	19/2		$K=0.34 \ I0.$
470.5° I	37.1^{a} 9	2047.4	(31/2)	2177.0	$\frac{21}{2}$ (31/2 ⁻)		R = 0.49 3. R = 0.49 3
477.7^{b} 2	$41^{@} 5$	2453.4?	$(17/2^+)$	1975.7?	$(31/2^{+})$ $(13/2^{+})$	(E2)	K-0.775.
^x 518 5 4	12 [@] 5						
x573.7 9	$17^{@} 6$						
575.0 <i>1</i>	56.0 10	2022.30	$23/2^{-}$	1447.30	19/2-	E2	R=0.59 4.
597.8 <i>1</i>	7.3 4	3929.0		3331.1		(M1)	R=0.38 8.
602.4 ^b 3	2.7 4	4400.8?		3798.2	$(37/2^{-})$		
612.1 ^b 3	43 [@] 5	1975.7?	$(13/2^+)$	1363.6?	$(9/2^+)$	(E2)	
^x 619.3 6	16 [@] 6						
637.4 <i>1</i>	100.0 14	761.20	$15/2^{-}$	123.8	$11/2^{-}$	E2	R=0.63 3.
662.1 5	2.4 6	3308.6	$(33/2^{-})$	2647.4	$(31/2^{-})$	(M1)	R=0.72 25.
681.8 ^{ab} 2	100 ^{a@} 8	681.8?	$(5/2^+)$	0.0	$1/2^{+}$	(E2)	
681.8 ^{ab} 2	100 <mark>a@</mark> 8	1363.6?	$(9/2^+)$	681.8?	$(5/2^+)$	(E2)	
686.1 <i>1</i>	82.0 13	1447.30	$19/2^{-}$	761.20	$15/2^{-}$	E2	R=0.67 4.
717.0 <i>I</i>	12.7 6	1478.40?		761.20	$15/2^{-}$	E2	R=0.80 13.
724.3 [†] 1	8.6 5	2589.91?		1865.60		E2	R=0.85 18.
765.8 ^a 1	19.8 <mark>a</mark> 8	2942.9	$(31/2^{-})$	2177.0	$27/2^{-}$	E2	R=0.80 11.
765.8 ^{a†} 1	19.8 ^{<i>a</i>} 8	3668.91?		2903.11		E2	R=0.80 11. The order of the four transitions In this cascade is not unambiguously determined.
852.9 2	5.1 5	4183.7		3331.1		(E2)	R=0.66 17.
985 2	1.6 9	3630.0	$(35/2^{-})$	2647.4	$(31/2^{-})$		

 † The order of the four transitions In this cascade is not unambiguously determined.

^{\ddagger} Intensities measured using detectors At angles of 158° and 86° (or 94°) with respect to the beam direction.

[#] Unless noted otherwise, values are for those γ 's involved In the population of the $11/2^-$ isomer and are normalized to I γ =100 for

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁰⁶Cd(⁵⁸Ni,p2nγ) **2006La16** (continued)

$\gamma(^{161}\text{Re})$ (continued)

the prominent 637.4γ . These transitions dominate the intensity flow In the level scheme (approximately 20 times that of the alternate path, which is presumed to proceed to the g.s.).

- [@] Values for those γ 's presumed to bypass the population of the $11/2^-$ isomer and normalized to $I\gamma=100$ for the 681.8 γ . This decay path is estimated to be $\approx 5\%$ of the decays In this reaction.
- & Values assigned by 2006La16 based on the measured R values (and, presumably, on considerations of the location of the levels within the proposed band structure).
- ^a Multiply placed with undivided intensity.
- ^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.
- $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.



¹⁶¹₇₅Re₈₆

¹⁰⁶Cd(⁵⁸Ni,p2nγ) 2006La16



 $^{161}_{75}$ Re $_{86}$