## $^{160}$ Gd( $^{7}$ Li,t3n $\gamma$ ) 2003Ju02

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 112,2497 (2011)	1-Jun-2011					

<sup>161</sup>Dy Levels

## Additional information 1.

Incomplete fusion reaction,  $E(^{7}Li)=56$  MeV. <sup>160</sup>Gd target, thickness 3.9 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, enrichment not given.  $\gamma$  radiation detected using the GASP array, consisting of 40 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors and an 80-element BGO inner ball. In addition, charged particles were detected using the Si ball ISIS, consisting of 40 Si  $\Delta$ E-E telescopes. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ . In addition to <sup>161</sup>Dy, level schemes are given for <sup>159</sup>Dy and <sup>163</sup>Dy.

In an earlier study (2001Ju08) by many of the same authors, some information on the <sup>161</sup>Dy levels is presented.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> @	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi}$	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> @
0.0&	5/2+	586.7 <sup>d</sup> 1	13/2-	1470.3? <sup><i>f</i></sup> 1	$(21/2^{-})$	2703.4 <sup>b</sup> 1	33/2-
25.6 <sup>‡b</sup>	5/2-	616.9 <sup>c</sup> 1	$15/2^{-}$	1599.7 <sup>a</sup> 1	$27/2^+$	2787.1 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 1	35/2+
44 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	7/2+	641.6? <sup>f</sup> 1	$(13/2^{-})$	1626.0 <sup>e</sup> 1	$23/2^{-}$	2838.8 <mark>&amp;</mark> 2	$37/2^{+}$
74.4 <sup>e</sup>	3/2-	717.7 <mark>a</mark> 4	19/2+	1645.8 <mark>b</mark> 1	25/2-	2849.2 <sup>d</sup> 2	33/2-
100.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	9/2+	761.3 <sup>e</sup> 1	$15/2^{-}$	1691.0 <mark>&amp;</mark> 1	$29/2^+$	2954.6 <sup>C</sup> 1	35/2-
102.8 <sup>c</sup> 1	$7/2^{-}$	787.6 <mark>b</mark> 2	$17/2^{-}$	1724.1? <mark>8</mark> 1	$(23/2^{-})$	3271.3 <sup>b</sup> 2	37/2-
131.7 <sup>d</sup> 1	5/2-	819.1? <mark>8</mark>	$(15/2^{-})$	1838.1 <sup>d</sup> 1	$25/2^{-}$	3478.5 <sup>a</sup> 2	39/2+
184.0 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$11/2^{+}$	825.3 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	$21/2^+$	1897.1 <sup>c</sup> 1	27/2-	3503.9 <mark>&amp;</mark> 2	$41/2^{+}$
201.1 <sup>b</sup> 1	9/2-	941.0 <sup>d</sup> 1	$17/2^{-}$	1994.1? <sup>f</sup> 1	$(25/2^{-})$	3528.4 <sup>C</sup> 2	39/2-
212.9 <sup>e</sup> 1	$7/2^{-}$	985.4 <sup>°</sup> 1	19/2-	2138.1 <sup>e</sup> 2	$27/2^{-}$	3866.2 <sup>b</sup> 2	$41/2^{-}$
267.2 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	$13/2^{+}$	1017.0? <sup>f</sup>	$(17/2^{-})$	2156.3 <sup>b</sup> 1	29/2-	4222.3 <mark>&amp;</mark> 2	$45/2^{+}$
314.8 <sup><i>d</i></sup> 1	9/2-	1117.1 <sup>a</sup> 1	$23/2^+$	2158.3 <sup>a</sup> 1	31/2+	4225.4 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	43/2+
320.3 <sup>c</sup> 1	$11/2^{-}$	1159.7 <sup>e</sup> 1	$19/2^{-}$	2232.6 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	33/2+	4504.6 <sup>b</sup> 2	$45/2^{-}$
406.3 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 1	$15/2^{+}$	1186.4 <sup>b</sup> 1	$21/2^{-}$	2280.2? <sup>g</sup> 2	$(27/2^{-})$	5025.0 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2	$47/2^{+}$
443.1 <sup>e</sup> 1	$11/2^{-}$	1220.6 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	$25/2^+$	2332.7 <sup>d</sup> 1	29/2-	5189.9 <sup>b</sup> 2	49/2-
456.9 <sup>b</sup> 1	$13/2^{-}$	1234.4? <mark>8</mark> 1	$(19/2^{-})$	2413.1 <sup>c</sup> 1	31/2-		
485.56? <sup>#g</sup> 16	$(11/2^{-})$	1365.0 <sup>d</sup> 1	$21/2^{-}$	2576.1 <sup><i>f</i></sup> 2	(29/2-)		
507.7 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1	$17/2^{+}$	1416.3 <sup>c</sup> 1	$23/2^{-}$	2665.5 <sup>e</sup> 2	$31/2^{-}$		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit using the listed E $\gamma$  values.  $\gamma$  rays whose energies differ by more than $\approx 1$  keV from the respective level-energy differences were not included In this process. These  $\gamma$ 's are As follows: 58.9; 106.7; 166.9; 196.7; 239.8; 247.8 and 250.5. Because of the large reduced- $\chi^2$  value of the least-squares fit, the evaluator has chosen to quote the uncertainties In the level energies to only the nearest 0.1 keV, unless noted otherwise.

<sup>‡</sup> Nominal value from the Adopted Values.

<sup>#</sup> Value from (d,t).

<sup>@</sup> From the Adopted Values. Values for the lower-spin band members are generally taken from other sources. Those for the higher-spin states seen here are based on these values together with the usual considerations of band structure populated In In-beam  $\gamma$  spectroscopic studies.

- & Band(A): 5/2[642] band,  $\alpha = +1/2$  branch.
- $^a$  Band(a): 5/2[642] band,  $\alpha{=}{-}1/2$  branch.
- <sup>b</sup> Band(B): 5/2[523] band,  $\alpha = +1/2$  branch.
- <sup>c</sup> Band(b): 5/2[523] band,  $\alpha = -1/2$  branch.
- <sup>d</sup> Band(C): 3/2[521] band,  $\alpha = +1/2$  branch.
- <sup>e</sup> Band(c): 3/2[521] band,  $\alpha = -1/2$  branch.
- <sup>f</sup> Band(D): 11/2[505] band,  $\alpha = +1/2$  branch.
- <sup>g</sup> Band(d): 11/2[505] band,  $\alpha = -1/2$  branch.

## <sup>160</sup>Gd(<sup>7</sup>Li,t3nγ) **2003Ju02** (continued)

## $\gamma(^{161}\text{Dy})$

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathrm{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
(25.6 <i>1</i> ) 44.0 <i>1</i>		25.6 44	5/2 <sup>-</sup> 7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0 0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup> 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_{\gamma}$ : nominal value. $\gamma$ not reported by 2003Ju02.
58.9 <sup>‡</sup> 1 74.4 1 77.1 1 81.3 1 83.0 1 83.8 1 91.2 1 100.5 1 101.8 1 102.1 1 103 6 1	46 <i>3</i> 4 2 <i>7</i>	131.7 74.4 102.8 212.9 267.2 184.0 1691.0 100.3 507.7 314.8 1220.6	5/2- 3/2- 7/2- 7/2- 13/2+ 11/2+ 29/2+ 9/2+ 17/2+ 9/2- 25/2+	74.4 0.0 25.6 131.7 184.0 100.3 1599.7 0.0 406.3 212.9	3/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>+</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> 11/2 <sup>+</sup> 9/2 <sup>+</sup> 27/2 <sup>+</sup> 5/2 <sup>+</sup> 15/2 <sup>+</sup> 7/2 <sup>-</sup> 23/2 <sup>+</sup>	$E_{\gamma}$ : the nominal value of 57.19 <i>I</i> was used In the least-squares fit.
<sup>x</sup> 106.7 <sup>‡</sup> 1	7.2 /	1220.0	23/2	1117.1	23/2	$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by 2003Ju02 between the 201.1 and 102.8 levels, but the Eγ value differs by≈7 keV from the level-energy difference with this placement.
107.4 <i>1</i> 119.3 <i>1</i> 128.3 <i>1</i> 136.6 <i>1</i> 138.5 <i>1</i>	13.7 <i>14</i> 9.5 <i>11</i>	825.3 320.3 443.1 456.9 212.9	21/2 <sup>+</sup> 11/2 <sup>-</sup> 11/2 <sup>-</sup> 13/2 <sup>-</sup> 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	717.7 201.1 314.8 320.3 74.4	19/2 <sup>+</sup> 9/2 <sup>-</sup> 9/2 <sup>-</sup> 11/2 <sup>-</sup> 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
138.6 <sup>‡</sup> 1 139.8 1 143.5 1 156.0 1 157.1 1	59 <i>4</i> 6.6 9 13.1 <i>13</i>	406.3 184.0 586.7 641.6? 201.1 616.0	15/2 <sup>+</sup> 11/2 <sup>+</sup> 13/2 <sup>-</sup> (13/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 9/2 <sup>-</sup> 15/2 <sup>-</sup>	267.2 44 443.1 485.56? 44	13/2 <sup>+</sup> 7/2 <sup>+</sup> 11/2 <sup>-</sup> (11/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 7/2 <sup>+</sup> 12/2 <sup>-</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 166.9 <sup>‡</sup> 1		010.9	13/2	430.9	13/2	$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by 2003Ju02 between the 787.6 and 616.9 levels, but the Eγ value differs by≈4 keV from the level-energy difference with this placement. $E_{\gamma}$ : level-energy difference is 170.7. This placement is not included In the Adopted Values.
166.9 <i>I</i> 174.5 <i>I</i> 175.3 <i>I</i> 177.3 <i>I</i> 179.6 <i>I</i> 182.8 <i>I</i>	37 3 4.2 7 9.5 11 3.6 6	267.2 761.3 201.1 819.1? 941.0 314.8	13/2 <sup>+</sup> 15/2 <sup>-</sup> 9/2 <sup>-</sup> (15/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 17/2 <sup>-</sup> 9/2 <sup>-</sup>	100.3 586.7 25.6 641.6? 761.3 131.7	9/2 <sup>+</sup> 13/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup> (13/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 15/2 <sup>-</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 196.7 <sup>‡</sup> 1						$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by 2003Ju02 between the 1186.4 and 985.4 levels, but the $E_{\gamma}$ value differs by $\approx 5$ keV from the level-energy difference with this placement.
197.8 <i>I</i> 205.2 <i>I</i> 210.2 <i>I</i> 211.9 <i>I</i> 217.4 <i>I</i> 217.5 <i>I</i> 218.7 <i>I</i> 222.6 <i>I</i> 230.0 <i>I</i> 230.3 <i>I</i> 235.9 <i>I</i>	7.3 9 2.3 5 26.5 21 5.3 8 2.9 6 61 4 10.8 12 3.6 5	1017.0? 1365.0 717.7 1838.1 1234.4? 320.3 1159.7 406.3 1416.3 443.1 1470.3?	(17/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 21/2 <sup>-</sup> 19/2 <sup>+</sup> 25/2 <sup>-</sup> (19/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 11/2 <sup>-</sup> 19/2 <sup>-</sup> 15/2 <sup>+</sup> 23/2 <sup>-</sup> 11/2 <sup>-</sup> (21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	819.1? 1159.7 507.7 1626.0 1017.0? 102.8 941.0 184.0 1186.4 212.9 1234.4?	$\begin{array}{c} (15/2^{-}) \\ 19/2^{-} \\ 17/2^{+} \\ 23/2^{-} \\ (17/2^{-}) \\ 7/2^{-} \\ 17/2^{-} \\ 11/2^{+} \\ 21/2^{-} \\ 7/2^{-} \\ (19/2^{-}) \end{array}$	
<sup>x</sup> 239.8 <sup>‡</sup> 1						$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by 2003Ju02 between the 1897.1 and 1645.8 levels, but the $E_{\gamma}$ value differs by 10 keV from the level-energy

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

\_

				<sup>160</sup> Gd( <sup>7</sup> ]	L <b>i,t3n</b> y)	2003Ju02 (continued)			
$\gamma$ <sup>(161</sup> Dy) (continued)									
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Comments			
						difference with this placement. The listed $E\gamma$ value May contain a misprint			
240.6 1	75 5	507.7	17/2+	267.2	$13/2^{+}$	contain a misprint.			
<sup>x</sup> 247.8 <sup>‡#</sup> 1						$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by 2003Ju02 between the 1645.8 and 1416.3 levels, but the $E_{\gamma}$ value differs by $\approx 4 \text{ keV}$ from the level-energy difference with this placement.			
<sup>x</sup> 250.5 <sup>‡#</sup> 1						$E_{\gamma}$ : placed by 2003Ju02 between the 985.4 and 787.6 levels, but the $E_{\gamma}$ value differs by $\approx$ 53 keV from the level-energy difference with this placement.			
253.7 1	2.4 5	1724.1?	$(23/2^{-})$	1470.3?	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	-			
256.1 <i>I</i>	11.4 12	456.9	$\frac{13}{2^{-}}$	201.1	$9/2^{-}$				
200.7 I 270 4 1	205	1020.0	$(25/2^{-})$	1724 12	$(23/2^{-})$				
272.0 1	11.5 12	586.7	$(23/2^{-})$ $13/2^{-}$	314.8	$9/2^{-}$				
272.9 1	5.8 8	456.9	13/2-	184.0	$11/2^{+}$				
285.5 <sup>‡</sup> 1 291.7 1	1.3 <i>4</i> 12.7 <i>13</i>	2280.2? 1117.1	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 23/2 <sup>+</sup>	1994.1? 825.3	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 21/2 <sup>+</sup>				
294.9 <sup>‡</sup> 1	1.0 3	2576.1	$(29/2^{-})$	2280.2?	$(27/2^{-})$				
296.5 1	8.3 10	616.9	$15/2^{-10/2+}$	320.3	$11/2^{-1}$				
311.3 1	60 4 100 6	225.2	19/2+ 21/2+	406.3	15/2+				
318.2.1	15675	823.5 761 3	$\frac{21}{2}$ 15/2 <sup>-</sup>	307.7 443.1	$\frac{1}{12}$				
330.8 1	13.8 14	787.6	$17/2^{-17/2}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	456.9	$13/2^{-}$				
333.5 1	2.6 5	819.1?	$(15/2^{-})$	485.56?	$(11/2^{-})$				
349.8 1	4.8 7	616.9	$15/2^{-}$	267.2	$13/2^{+}$				
354.2 1	13.0 13	941.0	$17/2^{-10/2^{-10}}$	586.7	$\frac{13}{2^{-}}$				
308.5 I 375 5 I	11.4 <i>12</i> 2 0 6	985.4 1017.02	$(17/2^{-})$	641.62	$\frac{15}{2}$ (13/2 <sup>-</sup> )				
379.0 1	5.98	1599.7	(17/2) 27/2 <sup>+</sup>	1220.6	(13/2) $25/2^+$				
381.0 1	5.3 8	787.6	$17/2^{-}$	406.3	$\frac{15}{2^+}$				
395.4 1	74 5	1220.6	$25/2^+$	825.3	$21/2^+$				
398.4 1	15.2 13	1159.7	19/2-	761.3	15/2-				
398.7 1	21.3 18	1186.4	$21/2^{-}$	787.6	$17/2^{-10/2^{+}}$				
399.3 I 415.3 I	354 366	1117.1	$\frac{23}{2^{-1}}$	/1/./ 810.12	$(15/2^{-})$				
424.1 1	14.5 14	1365.0	(19/2) $21/2^{-}$	941.0	(15/2) $17/2^{-}$				
430.9 1	7.9 10	1416.3	$23/2^{-}$	985.4	$19/2^{-}$				
453.4 1	3.1 6	1470.3?	$(21/2^{-})$	1017.0?	$(17/2^{-})$				
459.4 1	15.7 15	1645.8	25/2-	1186.4	$21/2^{-1}$				
466.2 1	10.8 12	1626.0	$\frac{23}{2}$	1159.7	19/2 20/2+				
468.8 1	699	1186.4	$\frac{31/2}{21/2^{-}}$	717 7	$\frac{29}{2}$ 19/2 <sup>+</sup>				
470.6 1	53 4	1691.0	$\frac{21}{2}^{+}$	1220.6	$\frac{15}{2}$				
473.3 1		1838.1	25/2-	1365.0	$21/2^{-}$				
477.7 1	6.6 9	985.4	19/2-	507.7	$17/2^{+}$				
480.8 1	9.1 11	1897.1	$\frac{27}{2^{-}}$	1416.3	$\frac{23}{2^{-}}$				
482.0 I 489 7 I	393 336	1399.7 1724 19	$\frac{21}{2}$	111/.1 1 <b>23</b> 4 49	$\frac{23}{2^{-1}}$				
494.5 1	5.50	2332.7	(23/2) 29/2 <sup>-</sup>	1838.1	(1)/2) 25/2 <sup>-</sup>				
510.5 1		2156.3	29/2-	1645.8	$\frac{25}{2^{-1}}$				
512.1 <i>I</i>		2138.1	27/2-	1626.0	23/2-				
516.0 <i>1</i>	5.0 8	2413.1	31/2-	1897.1	27/2-				
516.5 <i>1</i>	045	2849.2	$33/2^{-}$	2332.7	$29/2^{-}$				
525.8 I 527.4 I	2.4 3	1994.1? 2665.5	(25/2) $31/2^{-}$	2138.1	(21/2) 27/2 <sup>-</sup>				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

	$^{160}$ Gd( <sup>7</sup> Li,t3n $\gamma$ ) 2003Ju02 (continued)												
		$\gamma(^{161}\text{Dy})$ (continued)											
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$		
528.7 1	6.3 9	1645.8	25/2-	1117.1	$23/2^{+}$	628.8 <i>1</i>	6.9 9	2787.1	35/2+	2158.3	31/2+		
541.0 <sup>‡</sup> 1	25.2 20	2232.6	33/2+	1691.0	$29/2^+$	638.4 <i>1</i>		4504.6	$45/2^{-}$	3866.2	$41/2^{-}$		
542.1 <sup>‡</sup> 1 544.9 1 547.3 1		2954.6 2703.4 2703.4	35/2 <sup>-</sup> 33/2 <sup>-</sup> 33/2 <sup>-</sup>	2413.1 2158.3 2156.3	31/2 <sup>-</sup> 31/2 <sup>+</sup> 29/2 <sup>-</sup>	665.1 <i>1</i> 676.5 <i>1</i> 685.3 <i>1</i>	3.0 <i>6</i> 6.3 <i>9</i>	3503.9 1897.1 5189.9	41/2 <sup>+</sup> 27/2 <sup>-</sup> 49/2 <sup>-</sup>	2838.8 1220.6 4504.6	37/2 <sup>+</sup> 25/2 <sup>+</sup> 45/2 <sup>-</sup>		
555.8 <sup>‡</sup> 1 556.8 1 558.2 1 567.9 1	2.0 5 6.7 9 17.0 <i>16</i>	2280.2? 2156.3 2158.3 3271.3	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 29/2 <sup>-</sup> 31/2 <sup>+</sup> 37/2 <sup>-</sup>	1724.1? 1599.7 1599.7 2703.4	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 27/2 <sup>+</sup> 27/2 <sup>+</sup> 33/2 <sup>-</sup>	689.6 <i>1</i> 691.4 <i>1</i> 718.4 <i>1</i> 721.1 <i>1</i>	2.3 5 0.6 3	3528.4 3478.5 4222.3 1838.1	39/2 <sup>-</sup> 39/2 <sup>+</sup> 45/2 <sup>+</sup> 25/2 <sup>-</sup>	2838.8 2787.1 3503.9 1117.1	37/2 <sup>+</sup> 35/2 <sup>+</sup> 41/2 <sup>+</sup> 23/2 <sup>+</sup>		
573.9 <i>I</i> 583.0 <sup>‡</sup> <i>I</i> 590.9 <i>I</i> 594.9 <i>I</i> 606.1 <i>I</i>	1.4 <i>4</i> 4.9 7 12.6 <i>13</i>	3528.4 2576.1 1416.3 3866.2 2838.8	39/2 <sup>-</sup> (29/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 23/2 <sup>-</sup> 41/2 <sup>-</sup> 37/2 <sup>+</sup>	2954.6 1994.1? 825.3 3271.3 2232.6	35/2 <sup>-</sup> (25/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 21/2 <sup>+</sup> 37/2 <sup>-</sup> 33/2 <sup>+</sup>	721.5 <sup>‡</sup> <i>1</i> 722.8 <sup>‡</sup> <i>1</i> 733.0 <i>1</i> 746.9 <i>1</i> 799.6 <i>1</i>	0.6 <i>3</i>	2954.6 2413.1 2332.7 4225.4 5025.0	35/2 <sup>-</sup> 31/2 <sup>-</sup> 29/2 <sup>-</sup> 43/2 <sup>+</sup> 47/2 <sup>+</sup>	2232.6 1691.0 1599.7 3478.5 4225.4	33/2 <sup>+</sup> 29/2 <sup>+</sup> 27/2 <sup>+</sup> 39/2 <sup>+</sup> 43/2 <sup>+</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> Uncertainties In the E $\gamma$  values are nominally 0.1 keV (2003Ju02). However, the reduced  $\chi^2$  value of the least-squares fit to deduce the level energies is much larger than expected, suggesting that At least some of these uncertainties are underestimated. <sup>‡</sup> Poor energy fit.

<sup>#</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain. <sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



 $^{161}_{\ 66} Dy_{95}$ 



 $^{161}_{66} Dy_{95}$ 



 $^{161}_{66} Dy_{95}$ 

7

 $\frac{5/2^{-}}{7/2^{-}}$  $\frac{9/2^{+}}{9/2^{+}}$ 

3/2

 $\frac{7/2^+}{5/2^-}$ 

5/2+



<sup>161</sup><sub>66</sub>Dy<sub>95</sub>

20

0.54

ŝ

74.4

44 25.6

0.0





<sup>161</sup><sub>66</sub>Dy<sub>95</sub>





