

$^{160}\text{Yb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (4.8 min) 1978Ad03

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 176, 1 (2021)	1-May-2021

Parent:  $^{160}\text{Yb}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=4.8$  min 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=2140$  30;  $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{160}\text{Yb}$ -Q( $\varepsilon$ ): From 2021Wa16.

[Additional information 1.](#)

Source produced in 660-MeV proton spallation of Ta and Hf targets followed by mass separation. Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $E(\text{ce})$ ,  $I(\text{ce})$ , prompt and delayed  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\text{ce}$ . Plastic, Ge(Li) detectors, magnetic lens  $\beta$  spectrometer.

 $^{160}\text{Tm}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$1^-$	9.4 min 3	$T_{1/2}$ : adopted value; 9.2 min 4 from 1970De13 and 1970DeZF (this dataset).
42.10 5	$2^-$	1.6 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$ : delayed $\gamma\text{ce}$ (1978Ad03).
99.43 4	$1^{(-)}$		
140.33 4	$0^+, 1^+, 2^+$		
174.38 5	$1^+$	17 ns 1	$T_{1/2}$ : delayed $\gamma\text{ce}$ (1978Ad03).
215.84 4	$1^+$	0.65 ns 15	$T_{1/2}$ : deduced from centroid shift in delayed $\gamma\gamma$ (1978Ad03).
494.49 14	$1^+$		
543.36 13	$(1,2,3)^+$		
547.38 11	$1^+$		
605.37 13	$1^+$		
797.96 21	$1^+$		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E_\gamma$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
$(1.34 \times 10^3)$ 3)	797.96		1.29 21	5.69 8	1.29 21	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8253$ 2; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.13401$ 21; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.04044$ 8
$(1.53 \times 10^3)$ 3)	605.37	0.012 5	5.7 16	5.17 13	5.7 16	av $E\beta=246$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8252$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.13271$ 21; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03999$ 8
$(1.59 \times 10^3)$ 3)	547.38	0.014 3	4.3 5	5.32 6	4.3 5	av $E\beta=272$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8247$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.13230$ 23; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03985$ 8
$(1.65 \times 10^3)$ 3)	494.49	0.0087 20	1.93 24	5.70 6	1.94 24	av $E\beta=295$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8239$ 6; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.13190$ 24; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03972$ 8
$(1.92 \times 10^3)$ 3)	215.84	1.4 2	79 8	4.23 5	80 8	av $E\beta=418$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8144$ 17; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1292$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03886$ 12
$(1.97 \times 10^3)$ 3)	174.38	0.1 1	7 3	5.31 19	7 3	av $E\beta=436$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8121$ 19; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1287$ 4; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03870$ 13
$(2.04 \times 10^3)$ 3)	99.43	0.072 13	2.6 4	5.76 7	2.7 4	av $E\beta=469$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8072$ 22; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1277$ 5; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03838$ 14
$(2.14 \times 10^3)$ 3)	0.0	0.05 5	1.4 14	6.1 5	1.5 15	av $E\beta=513$ 14; $\varepsilon\text{K}=0.799$ 3; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1262$ 5; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03791$ 16

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>160</sup>Yb ε decay (4.8 min) 1978Ad03 (continued)

γ(<sup>160</sup>Tm)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: Listed value was calculated by the evaluator assuming a g.s. ε+β<sup>+</sup> branch of 1.5% 15. This value was deduced from the requirement that log ft for the first-forbidden ε+β<sup>+</sup> transition to the g.s. be ≥5.9, which implies that this intensity be ≤3%. 1978Ad03 report an upper limit of ≈25% for this direct g.s. feeding, inferred from their measured K x ray intensity and the intensity balance in <sup>160</sup>Tm.

E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub> #α	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult. †	δ&	α <sup>@</sup>	I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> <sup>a</sup>	Comments
34.18 10	3.1 5	174.38	1 <sup>+</sup>	140.33	0 <sup>+</sup> ,1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup>	M1		11.69 20		%I <sub>γ</sub> =1.33 21 α(L)=9.11 15; α(M)=2.03 4 α(N)=0.475 8; α(O)=0.0682 12; α(P)=0.00368 6
(41.46 7)	≈0.4	215.84	1 <sup>+</sup>	174.38	1 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	≥0.65	92 46	≈40	%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.17 8 ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.76 26; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.18 12 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.042 29; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.0048 34; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=1.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> 84 α(L)=70 36; α(M)=17.1 87 α(N)=3.9 20; α(O)=0.44 22; α(P)=9.4×10 <sup>-4</sup> 62 E <sub>γ</sub> : from level-energy difference. Mult.,δ,I <sub>γ</sub> : 1978Ad03 have deduced I(γ+ce)≈40 based upon analysis of their coincidence results. From this and their measured I(ce(L1)) they deduced an E2 component of ≥30%.
42.02 10	7.3 6	42.10	2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.31 3	17.1 20		I <sub>ce(L1)</sub> <3 (1978Ad03). %I <sub>γ</sub> =3.12 24 α(L)=13.2 16; α(M)=3.1 4 α(N)=0.71 9; α(O)=0.088 10; α(P)=0.00185 4 Mult.,δ: based on α deduced from intensity balance at 42 level and relative ce intensity ratios L1:L2:L3=33:31:30 (1978Ad03).
62.05 10	0.46 15	605.37	1 <sup>+</sup>	543.36	(1,2,3) <sup>+</sup>	(M1,E2)		16.6 45		%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.20 7 α(K)=6.0 42; α(L)=8.2 66; α(M)=2.0 17 α(N)=0.45 37; α(O)=0.053 41; α(P)=3.8×10 <sup>-4</sup> 26 Mult.: if E1 intensity at 543 level cannot be balanced.
<sup>x</sup> 94.29 7	0.92 8									%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.39 5
98.24 5	2.8 2	140.33	0 <sup>+</sup> ,1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup>	42.10	2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]		0.350		%I <sub>γ</sub> =1.20 13 α(K)=0.289 4; α(L)=0.0470 7; α(M)=0.01048 15 α(N)=0.00240 4; α(O)=0.000318 5; α(P)=1.287×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18
99.46 5	2.1 1	99.43	1 <sup>(-)</sup>	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	[M1]		3.15		%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.90 9 α(K)=2.64 4; α(L)=0.400 6; α(M)=0.0891 13 α(N)=0.0208 3; α(O)=0.00300 5; α(P)=0.0001620 23
116.44 5	1.96 16	215.84	1 <sup>+</sup>	99.43	1 <sup>(-)</sup>	(E1)		0.223		%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.84 10

<sup>160</sup>Yb ε decay (4.8 min) 1978Ad03 (continued)

γ(<sup>160</sup>Tm) (continued)

<u>E<sub>γ</sub></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>#α</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>α<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
132.23 5	14.0 7	174.38	1 <sup>+</sup>	42.10 2 <sup>-</sup>		E1	0.1593	α(K)=0.185 3; α(L)=0.0294 5; α(M)=0.00654 10 α(N)=0.001504 22; α(O)=0.000201 3; α(P)=8.45×10 <sup>-6</sup> 12 %I <sub>γ</sub> =6.0 6
140.35 5	22.2 10	140.33	0 <sup>+</sup> ,1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0 1 <sup>-</sup>		E1	0.1360	α(K)=0.1328 19; α(L)=0.0207 3; α(M)=0.00461 7 α(N)=0.001061 15; α(O)=0.0001430 20; α(P)=6.16×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9 I <sub>ce(K)</sub> <1.8 (1978Ad03). %I <sub>γ</sub> =9.5 9
<sup>x</sup> 155.76 7	1.7 2							α(K)=0.1135 16; α(L)=0.01759 25; α(M)=0.00391 6 α(N)=0.000901 13; α(O)=0.0001219 18; α(P)=5.31×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8 I <sub>ce(K)</sub> <2.5 (1978Ad03). %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.73 11
173.74 6	100 4	215.84	1 <sup>+</sup>	42.10 2 <sup>-</sup>		E1	0.0775	%I <sub>γ</sub> =43 4
174.40 10	13.2 15	174.38	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0 1 <sup>-</sup>		E1	0.0767	α(K)=0.0649 10; α(L)=0.00985 14; α(M)=0.00219 3 α(N)=0.000505 7; α(O)=6.90×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10; α(P)=3.12×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5 %I <sub>γ</sub> =5.6 8
215.78 6	48 2	215.84	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0 1 <sup>-</sup>		E1	0.0441	α(K)=0.0643 9; α(L)=0.00975 14; α(M)=0.00217 3 α(N)=0.000500 7; α(O)=6.84×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10; α(P)=3.09×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5 %I <sub>γ</sub> =20.5 18
<sup>x</sup> 278.0 <sup>‡</sup> 3	1.0 2							α(K)=0.0370 6; α(L)=0.00553 8; α(M)=0.001226 18 α(N)=0.000284 4; α(O)=3.91×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6; α(P)=1.83×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3 I <sub>ce(K)</sub> <1.5 (1978Ad03). %I <sub>γ</sub> =0.43 10
320.00 15	3.4 3	494.49	1 <sup>+</sup>	174.38 1 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =1.45 18
327.60 15	5.6 4	543.36	(1,2,3) <sup>+</sup>	215.84 1 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =2.4 3
354.6 3	1.1 2	494.49	1 <sup>+</sup>	140.33 0 <sup>+</sup> ,1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.47 10
<sup>x</sup> 356.9 <sup>‡</sup> 5	0.74 20							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.32 9
<sup>x</sup> 366.2 <sup>‡</sup> 3	1.05 25							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.45 12
373.00 10	10.0 5	547.38	1 <sup>+</sup>	174.38 1 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =4.3 4
<sup>x</sup> 386.30 20	3.0 3							%I <sub>γ</sub> =1.28 17
389.45 15	5.2 3	605.37	1 <sup>+</sup>	215.84 1 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =2.22 4
<sup>x</sup> 395.16 25	1.61 23							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.69 12
<sup>x</sup> 429.0 <sup>‡</sup> 4	1.2 3							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.51 14
<sup>x</sup> 465.2 <sup>‡</sup> 4	1.4 3							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.60 14
<sup>x</sup> 563.1 3	1.8 4							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.77 18
582.12 20	3.0 4	797.96	1 <sup>+</sup>	215.84 1 <sup>+</sup>				%I <sub>γ</sub> =1.28 22
<sup>x</sup> 588.7 <sup>‡</sup> 3	1.50 35							%I <sub>γ</sub> =0.64 16

<sup>†</sup> From relative I<sub>γ</sub> and I<sub>ce</sub> values, normalized so that α(L1)+α(L2)=8.8 for the 42 γ.

<sup>‡</sup> Assignment to <sup>160</sup>Yb decay uncertain.

$^{160}\text{Yb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (4.8 min) 1978Ad03 (continued)

$\gamma(^{160}\text{Tm})$  (continued)

#  $I(\text{K}\alpha_1 \text{ x ray})=129.9$ , relative to  $I\gamma(173.7\gamma)=100$ .

@ [Additional information 2.](#)

& [Additional information 3.](#)

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.434.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{160}\text{Yb}$   $\epsilon$  decay (4.8 min) 1978Ad03

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

