

¹⁶¹Dy(t,α) 2007Bu29

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 176, 1 (2021)	1-May-2021

Compiled by S. Geraedts and B. Singh (McMaster).

2007Bu29: E=17 MeV beam provided by McMaster FN Tandem accelerator. Enriched target. The α particles were analyzed by a Enge split-pole magnetic spectrograph and spectra were recorded on photographic emulsion plates and with position-sensitive semiconductor detector. Resolution (FWHM)=15-19 keV. Measured cross sections and angular distributions from 10° to 45°. DWBA analysis of angular distribution data.

$J^\pi(^{161}\text{Dy g.s.})=5/2^+$.

¹⁶⁰Tb Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π @	L [#]	dσ/dΩ (μb/sr) [‡]	Comments
64.1 ^c	4 ⁺	2	71 4	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=4, K ^π =4 ⁺ .
129 ^{&d} 1	1 ⁺	2	80 4	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=5, K ^π =4 ⁺ and J=1, K ^π =1 ⁺ ; K ^π =1 ⁺ adopted here for band assignment.
129 ^{&c} 1	5 ⁺	2	80 4	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=5, K ^π =4 ⁺ and J=1, K ^π =1 ⁺ K ^π =4 ⁺ adopted here for band assignment.
167 ^d 1	2 ⁺	2	50 3	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=2, K ^π =1 ⁺ .
201 ^d 1	3 ⁺	2	52 3	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=3, K ^π =1 ⁺ .
232 ^{&f} 2	0 ⁺ &1 ⁺	4	8 1	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=1, K ^π =0 ⁺ .
265 ^{&d} 1	4 ⁺	2+4	37 2	Predicted cross section for J=0, K ^π =0 ⁺ is 1/9 that of J=1 state. dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=4, K ^π =1 ⁺ and J=2, K ^π =0 ⁺ ; K ^π =1 ⁺ adopted here for band assignment.
265 ^{&f} 1	2 ⁺	2+4	37 2	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=4, K ^π =1 ⁺ and J=2, K ^π =0 ⁺ ; K ^π =0 ⁺ adopted here for band assignment.
318 ^f 1	3 ⁺	4	26 2	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=3, K ^π =0 ⁺ .
378 ^f 1	4 ⁺	4	10 1	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=4, K ^π =0 ⁺ .
426 ^e 1	5 ⁺	4	25 2	dσ/dΩ distribution consistent with J=5, K ^π =5 ⁺ .
474 2		5	12 1	
508 ^{be} 3	(6 ⁺) ^b	(4+5) ^a	49 10	
523 ^{bg} 2	(6 ⁻) ^b	(4+5) ^a	58 10	
552 2			17 4	
572 ^g 1	(7 ⁻)	5	52 4	
590 3			22 3	
612 2			18 3	
637 3			21 4	
656 ^g 1	(8 ⁻)	5	79 4	
688 2		5	21 3	
714 2			10 1	
744 2			10 1	
766 1		5	30 2	
782 2			10 1	
822 2			11 2	
865 3			11 3	
888 3			6 1	
908 3			8 1	
947 2			11 1	
974 3			8 1	
1004 2			15 2	
1028 1			21 3	
1055 1			19 3	
1081 1			15 2	

E(level): the peak at 40° is mainly contributed by a level from ¹⁶¹Tb.

$^{161}\text{Dy}(t,\alpha)$ **2007Bu29 (continued)** ^{160}Tb Levels (continued)

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) [‡]	$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$) [‡]
1160 3	8 1	1280 2	18 3
1192 1	30 2	1294 2	10 2
1252 2	19 2	1346 3	19 2
		1397 2	37 6

[†] Energies measured with reference to 64.1-keV level. Average of values from two independent spectra recorded on photographic plates. There may be an additional uncertainty of ≤ 1 keV at low energies and up to 2 keV near 1.5 MeV excitation energy from calibration procedures.

[‡] At 40° . In addition to the statistical uncertainties given here, there is additional 15% normalization uncertainty in absolute cross sections.

[#] From comparison of measured angular distributions with DWBA calculations. Transfer of $3/2[411]$ proton from $d_{5/2}$ orbital is responsible for $L=2$, $5/2[413]$ proton transfer from $g_{7/2}$ orbital for $L=4$ and $5/2[532]$ proton transfer from $h_{11/2}$ orbital for $L=5$. In this mass region $2d_{5/2}$, $1g_{7/2}$ and $1h_{11/2}$ are the only active proton hole states.

[@] From angular distributions, DWBA and Nilsson-model calculations which give predicted cross sections for members in a band (so called "fingerprint" method).

[&] Doublet, combined cross section is listed.

^a Combined angular distribution for 508+523 group.

^b The shape of the angular distribution for 508+523 group is consistent with $J=6$, $K^\pi=5^+$, but the total measured cross section is about four times larger than the predicted value. Probably there are additional levels in this energy region.

^c Band(A): $K^\pi=4^+$. Configuration= $(\nu 5/2[642] + \pi 3/2[411])$. Configuration= $(\nu 3/2[521] + \pi 5/2[532])$ proposed in rotor+particle model calculations of **1987Be51** is not supported by experimental findings of **2007Bu29**, such a configuration is not expected to be populated in (t,α) reaction.

^d Band(a): $K^\pi=1^+$. Configuration= $(\nu 5/2[642] - \pi 3/2[411])$. See comment for $K^\pi=4^+$ band.

^e Band(B): $K^\pi=5^+$. Configuration= $(\nu 5/2[642] + \pi 5/2[413])$.

^f Band(b): $K^\pi=0^+$. Configuration= $(\nu 5/2[642] - \pi 5/2[413])$.

^g Band(C): $K^\pi=(5^-)$. Coriolis-mixed band of configurations= $(\nu 5/2[642]+\pi 5/2[532])$ and $(\nu 5/2[642]+\pi 7/2[523])$.

$^{161}\text{Dy}(t,\alpha)$ 2007Bu29

			Band(C): $K^\pi=(5^-)$
			<u>(8⁻) 656</u>
			<u>(7⁻) 572</u>
		Band(B): $K^\pi=5^+$	Band(C): $K^\pi=(5^-)$
		<u>(6⁺) 508</u>	<u>(6⁻) 523</u>
		<u>5⁺ 426</u>	
			Band(b): $K^\pi=0^+$
			<u>4⁺ 378</u>
			<u>3⁺ 318</u>
		Band(a): $K^\pi=1^+$	
		<u>4⁺ 265</u>	<u>2⁺ 265</u>
			<u>0⁺ & 1⁺ 232</u>
		<u>3⁺ 201</u>	
		<u>2⁺ 167</u>	
		Band(A): $K^\pi=4^+$	
	<u>5⁺ 129</u>	<u>1⁺ 129</u>	
	<u>4⁺ 64.1</u>		