### <sup>160</sup>Er ε decay **1990Go02**

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 176, 1 (2021)	1-May-2021

Parent: <sup>160</sup>Er: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=28.58$  h 9;  $Q(\varepsilon)=318$  29; % $\varepsilon$  decay=100.0 <sup>160</sup>Er- $Q(\varepsilon)$ : From 2021Wa16.

Additional information 1.

<sup>160</sup>Er: from proton-induced spallation of tantalum at E(p)=640 MeV. Chemical separation. Electrostatic electron spectrometers, one set to  $\Delta E/E=0.011$  and one operated at  $\Delta E=9$  eV; HPGe detector of dimensions 0.30 cm<sup>2</sup> by 0.6 cm and resolution=200 eV at 6.4 keV. Measured E(ce), Ice, E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , I(L x ray).

Others: 2010VaZZ, 2006KaZX, 1982Vy06, 1973A115, 1965Av03.

### <sup>160</sup>Ho Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub> ‡	Comments
0.0	5+	25.6 min 3	
59.98 <i>3</i>	$2^{-}$	5.02 h 5	$\%\varepsilon + \%\beta^{+} = 23.8 \ 20; \ \% IT = 76.2 \ 20$
			$\& \varepsilon + \Re \beta^+, $ MT: weighted average of %IT values (measured by almost the same group of authors)
			by varied methods): 73.6 52 (2002Ad34), 73.3 30 (2003KaZR), 77.9 20 (2006KaZX) (the
			smallest measured unc was adopted); other: 65 3 (1974Al28).
			Since this level has $\mathscr{K} \in +\mathscr{K} = 23.8 \ 20$ , there is an imbalance in the listed intensities into and out
			of it. Further, this level has $T_{1/2}$ =5.02 h, with the result that the intensity of the radiations
			deexciting it will exhibit a time dependence relative to that of the feeding transition.
67.11 4	$1^{+}$	28 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$ : from 2006KaZX by measuring the retarded KX(Ho)- $\gamma$ coincidences with respect to the time
			decrease in the 7.1 $\gamma$ intensity. Other: 30 ns 8 (2005KaZY, obtained by the same group).
175.6? 10	$(1^{-})$		E(level), $J^{\pi}$ : level introduced by 2010VaZZ based on $\gamma$ assumed to decay to 67, 1 <sup>+</sup> level with $J^{\pi}$
			value postulated by authors: because of the lack of evidence this level is questionable

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels unless noted otherwise.

#### $\varepsilon$ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\varepsilon^{\dagger}$	Log ft	Comments
$(1.4 \times 10^{2} \ddagger 3)$	175.6?	≈10	≈5.0	$\varepsilon$ K=0.68 8; $\varepsilon$ L=0.24 6; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.078 21
				Because of the lack of evidence on the level and its decaying $\gamma$ the $\varepsilon$ population is also questionable.
				I <sub><math>\varepsilon</math></sub> : difference of 100% and the estimated feeding of 67, 1 <sup>-</sup> level.
$(2.5 \times 10^2 \ 3)$	67.11	≈90	≈4.7	εK=0.771 13; εL=0.174 9; εM+=0.054 4
				I: from $\alpha(E1)$ and measured L x ray/ $\gamma$ intensity ratio, 1990Go02 estimate
				$I(\gamma+ce)(7.133 \gamma)$ , relative to number of <sup>160</sup> Er decays, to be 0.9 2, which can be
				consistent with the expectation that all of the $\varepsilon$ transitions feed the 67 level but also
				is compatible with $\approx 10\%$ of the feeding to 176 level.

 $^\dagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

## <sup>160</sup>Er $\varepsilon$ decay **1990Go02** (continued)

# $\gamma(^{160}\text{Ho})$

 $I(\gamma+ce)$  normalization: Based on deduced absolute intensity of 7.133 $\gamma$  (from measured  $I_{\gamma}$  and theoretical ICC value) equal to the measured number of <sup>160</sup>Er decays reported by 1990Go02 (the experimental ratio of intensities quoted therein is 0.9 2).

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\#}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f  J_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^{\dagger}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
7.133 10	5.43 9	67.11	1+	59.98 2-	E1(+M2)	<0.0006	17.4 3	100	ce(M)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.774 7 ce(N)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.159 3; ce(O)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.0125 3; ce(P)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.000205 5 $\alpha$ (M)=14.27 22 $\alpha$ (N)=2.93 5; $\alpha$ (O)=0.230 4; $\alpha$ (P)=0.00379 8 I $_{\gamma}$ : calculated from I( $\gamma$ +ce)=100 using $\alpha$ (E1)=17.3 3. $\delta$ : from 1990Go02 (at the 99% confidence level). $\alpha$ : 1990Go02 report the following measured values of ratios of conversion coefficients for the 7.133 $\gamma$ : $\alpha$ (M1)exp/ $\alpha$ (M2)exp=0.81 $\delta$ ; $\alpha$ (M1)exp/ $\alpha$ (M3)exp= 0.39 4; $\alpha$ (M4)exp/ $\alpha$ (M3)exp= 0.66 $\delta$ ; $\alpha$ (M2)exp/ $\alpha$ (M3)exp= 0.71 7; $\alpha$ (N1)exp/ $\alpha$ (N3)exp= 0.71 7; $\alpha$ (N1)exp=0.20 5; $\alpha$ (N6,7)exp/ $\alpha$ (O2,3)exp= 0.29 7.
59.98 3	0.082 11	59.98	2-	0.0 5+	E3(+M4)	<0.017	930 16	76.2 20	ce(K)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.00211 8; ce(L)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.749 9; ce(M)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.198 5 ce(N)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.0450 11; ce(O)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.00510 12; ce(P)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=3.8×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 $\alpha$ (K)=1.97 7; $\alpha$ (L)=698 12; $\alpha$ (M)=184 4 $\alpha$ (N)=41.9 8; $\alpha$ (O)=4.75 9; $\alpha$ (P)=0.0035 7 E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from 1966Av03. I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : calculated from I( $\gamma$ +ce)=76.2 20 using $\alpha$ (E3)=930 16. (If $\delta$ =0, one gets 0.082 10 with $\alpha$ (E3)=923 14) Note that this value of I( $\gamma$ +ce) is smaller than that of the feeding $\gamma$ , because of the $\epsilon$ + $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> branch from this level. Mult.: from measured subshell ratios (1966Av03). $\delta$ : %M4<0.03 (2010VaZZ, from $\alpha$ (K)exp). $\alpha$ (K)exp: 1.83 17 7.

				<sup>160</sup> Er	$\varepsilon$ decay	1990Go02	(continued)
					$\gamma$ ( <sup>160</sup> He	o) (continu	ed)
Eγ	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f  \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	$\alpha^{\dagger}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
							$\alpha$ (K)exp: from 2010VaZZ, from comparison of Ho K x rays and 59.98 $\gamma$ intensities in the $\gamma$ spectrum of <sup>160</sup> Ho IT decay (5.02 h) (uncertainties are stat and syst in this order).
108.5 <sup>@</sup> 10	175.6?	(1 <sup>-</sup> )	67.11 1+	[E1,M2]	0.43 18	≈10	ce(K)/(γ+ce)=0.241 74; ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.048 25; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.0109 60 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.0025 14; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=3.5×10 <sup>-4</sup> 20; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=1.62×10 <sup>-5</sup> 96 α(K)=0.35 14; α(L)=0.069 36; α(M)=0.0157 84 α(N)=0.0036 20; α(O)=5.0×10 <sup>-4</sup> 28; α(P)=2.3×10 <sup>-5</sup> 14 E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : γ ray postulated by 2010VaZZ as observed in a "fresh" spectrum of <sup>160</sup> Er source with no evidence, reason for which its existence is questionable (ΔEγ is adopted by evaluator and Iγ follows from the ε feeding of the parent level).

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 2.
<sup>‡</sup> Additional information 3.
<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
<sup>@</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

# <sup>160</sup>Er ε decay 1990Go02

## Decay Scheme



<sup>160</sup><sub>67</sub>Ho<sub>93</sub>