

$^{160}\text{Tm } \varepsilon \text{ decay (74.5 s)}$ 1983Si20

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 176, 1 (2021)	1-May-2021

Parent: ^{160}Tm : E=70 20; $J^\pi=5$; $T_{1/2}=74.5$ s 15; $Q(\varepsilon)=5760$ 40; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=15 5

$^{160}\text{Tm-Q}(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

$^{160}\text{Tm-}\% \varepsilon+\% \beta^+$ decay: 1983Si20 estimate $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)=15$ 5 based on the intensities of the 74-s and 9.4-min components of the 264-keV γ ray measured at the beginning of the counting period, assuming that the production cross sections for the ^{160}Tm g.s. and the 74.5-s isomer in the (p,5n) reaction are comparable.

Additional information 1.

1983Si20: source produced in the $^{164}\text{Er}(\text{p},\text{5n})$ reaction, E(p)=57 MeV. γ radiation studied using three high-resolution Ge detectors, two to measure γ singles, $\gamma\gamma$, and time-sequential data and one to measure γ singles and time-sequential data for x rays and low-energy γ rays. The internal-conversion electron spectrum measured using a mini-orange spectrometer and a cooled Si(Li) detector. Authors report $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$.

2014Bi12: ^{160}Tm source produced in $^{150}\text{Sm}(\text{N}^{14},\text{n})$, E=72 MeV reaction at INFN-LNS tandem accelerator facility in Catania.

Measured γ -ray and conversion electron spectra, latter using a mini-orange spectrometer of fixed magnets. Deduced E0 transitions, conversion coefficients, X(E0/E2) ratios for E0 transitions.

 ^{160}Er Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	0^+	28.58 h 9	$T_{1/2}$: adopted value.
125.82 10	2^+		
389.86 14	4^+		
765.66 17	6^+		
987.25 14	(3) $^+$		
1008.01 22	2^+		
1128.56 17	4^+		
1230.29 24	4^+		
1316.56 25	5^+		
1375.23 23	(4) $^+$		
1505.7 4			
1576.0 4			

[†] Calculated from a least-squares fit of the γ -ray energies. Where no uncertainties are available for the $E\gamma$ values, a value of 1 keV was assigned for this calculation.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

The decay scheme is clearly incomplete, with many γ transitions from higher-lying levels not observed in the experiment reason for which the intensity and log ft are not adopted but listed in comments for illustrative purposes. It is expected that the $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ transition intensities, particularly those involving the lower-lying levels, are too large, and the log ft values too small. Consequently while the listed log ft values (and their uncertainties) are based on the known data they should rather be taken as lower limits. Given in comments are (in this order): $I\beta^+$, $I\varepsilon$, log ft , $I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$. Intensities are per 100 decays of the isomer.

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
$(4.25 \times 10^3$ 5)	1576.0	av $E\beta=1464$ 21; $\varepsilon K=0.384$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.0586$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.0174$ 4 0.21 9, 0.17 8, 6.96 20, 0.38 17.
$(4.32 \times 10^3$ 5)	1505.7	av $E\beta=1496$ 21; $\varepsilon K=0.371$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.0565$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.0168$ 4 0.26 11, 0.20 9, 6.91 19, 0.46 20.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{160}Tm ϵ decay (74.5 s) 1983Si20 (continued) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
(4.45×10 ³ 5)	1375.23	av $E\beta=1557$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.347$ 8; $\epsilon L=0.0529$ 12; $\epsilon M+=0.0157$ 4 0.34 15, 0.25 10, 6.86 19, 0.59 25.
(4.51×10 ³ 5)	1316.56	av $E\beta=1584$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.337$ 8; $\epsilon L=0.0514$ 12; $\epsilon M+=0.0152$ 4 0.4 2, 0.2 1, 6.87 22, 0.6 3.
(4.60×10 ³ 5)	1230.29	av $E\beta=1624$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.323$ 8; $\epsilon L=0.0492$ 12; $\epsilon M+=0.0146$ 4 0.5 2, 0.3 2, 6.78 22, 0.8 4.
(4.70×10 ³ 5)	1128.56	av $E\beta=1671$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.307$ 7; $\epsilon L=0.0467$ 11; $\epsilon M+=0.0139$ 4 0.7 3, 0.40 18, 6.69 20, 1.1 5.
(4.82×10 ³ 5)	1008.01	av $E\beta=1727$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.289$ 7; $\epsilon L=0.0439$ 10; $\epsilon M+=0.0130$ 3 0.29 12, 0.15 7, 7.13 19, 0.44 19. Log f_t : this value is too small for a $J=5$ to $J^\pi=2^+$ transition. This may indicate simply that the gammas feeding this level have not been detected.
(4.84×10 ³ 5)	987.25	av $E\beta=1736$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.286$ 7; $\epsilon L=0.0435$ 10; $\epsilon M+=0.0129$ 3 1.1 4, 0.55 21, 6.58 17, 1.6 6.
(5.06×10 ³ 5)	765.66	av $E\beta=1839$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.256$ 6; $\epsilon L=0.0389$ 9; $\epsilon M+=0.0115$ 3 1.8 8, 0.8 3, 6.46 19, 2.6 11.
(5.44×10 ³ 5)	389.86	av $E\beta=2015$ 21; $\epsilon K=0.212$ 5; $\epsilon L=0.0322$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.00956$ 22 3.3 13, 1.1 4, 6.37 17, 4.4 17.

 $\gamma(^{160}\text{Er})$

I_γ normalization: multiply by 0.61 10 for γ intensities per 100 $\epsilon+\beta^+$ decays of the isomer (obtained from $\Sigma I_{\gamma+ce}=100$ to g.s.) and by 0.09 4 for γ intensities per 100 decays of the isomer. Due to the incompleteness of the level scheme the normalization is not adopted but given for illustrative purposes.

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$\delta^{\ddagger\#}$	α^\ddagger	Comments
125.8 1	72 12	125.82	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		1.259	$\alpha(K)=0.602$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.504$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.1220$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.0277$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00329$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.56\times 10^{-5}$ 4
264.1 1	100	389.86	4 ⁺	125.82	2 ⁺	E2		0.1024	$\alpha(K)=0.0726$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0230$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00541$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001236$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.0001568$ 22; $\alpha(P)=3.64\times 10^{-6}$ 6
375.8 1	27 4	765.66	6 ⁺	389.86	4 ⁺	E2		0.0356	$\alpha(K)=0.0273$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00647$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.001496$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.000344$ 5; $\alpha(O)=4.52\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.458\times 10^{-6}$ 21
597.6 3	2.9 7	987.25	(3) ⁺	389.86	4 ⁺				$\alpha(K)\exp=0.006$ 2 (2014Bi12)
738.7 1	12 2	1128.56	4 ⁺	389.86	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-7 +3-17	0.0066 3	$\alpha(K)=0.00541$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.00090$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000202$ 7 $\alpha(N)=4.68\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(O)=6.54\times 10^{-6}$ 23; $\alpha(P)=3.07\times 10^{-7}$ 15 Mult., δ : $\alpha(K)\exp$ consistent with M1+E2, $\delta=-7 +3-17$ as measured earlier in 2006Du02 (HI dataset). No E0 admixture is evident.
840.8 3	3.8 9	1230.29	4 ⁺	389.86	4 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.032 7	X(E0/E2)=0 (2014Bi12). $\alpha(K)\exp=0.028$ 6 (2014Bi12) $\alpha(K)=0.0060$ 20; $\alpha(L)=8.9\times 10^{-4}$ 25;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{160}\text{Tm } \varepsilon$ decay (74.5 s) 1983Si20 (continued) $\gamma(^{160}\text{Er})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$\delta^{\dagger\#}$	α^{\ddagger}	Comments
861.4 1	12 2	987.25	(3) ⁺	125.82	2 ⁺	E2		0.00460	$\alpha(M)=1.97\times 10^{-4}$ 53 $\alpha(N)=4.6\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=6.6\times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(P)=3.5\times 10^{-7}$ 13 α : estimated from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ and theoretical K/Tot≈0.87. Mult.: based on $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ (2014Bi12, photon and electron spectra). $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ from table III in 2014Bi12, uncertainty=0.005 in text on page 5. $X(E0/E2)=0.330$ 82 (2014Bi12) $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0038$ 8 (2014Bi12) $\alpha(K)=0.00382$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.000607$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001357$ 19 $\alpha(N)=3.15\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=4.43\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=2.17\times 10^{-7}$ 3
882.0 3	2.5 7	1008.01	2 ⁺	125.82	2 ⁺	E0+M1+E2		0.070 17	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.061$ 15 (2014Bi12) $\alpha(K)=0.0054$ 18; $\alpha(L)=7.9\times 10^{-4}$ 22; $\alpha(M)=1.75\times 10^{-4}$ 47 $\alpha(N)=4.1\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=5.9\times 10^{-6}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=3.2\times 10^{-7}$ 11 α : estimated from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ and theoretical K/Tot≈0.87. Mult.: based on $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$ (2014Bi12, photon and electron spectra). $X(E0/E2)=0.97$ 21 (2014Bi12). Contribution to electron intensity from 879, M1+E2 γ in ^{160}Dy subtracted. E_γ : incorrectly listed as 894 in level-scheme figure 7 of 2014Bi12.
926.7 2	6.7 12	1316.56	5 ⁺	389.86	4 ⁺	M1+E2	-5.5 +9-12	0.00405 8	$\alpha(K)=0.00338$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000524$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.0001167$ 20 $\alpha(N)=2.71\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=3.83\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.93\times 10^{-7}$ 4 δ : from 2006Du02 (HI dataset). $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0032$ 7 (2014Bi12) $\alpha(K)=0.00276$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000422$ 6; $\alpha(M)=9.39\times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\alpha(N)=2.18\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.09\times 10^{-6}$ 5;
1008.2 3	2.3 5	1008.01	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		0.00330	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{160}Tm ε decay (74.5 s) 1983Si20 (continued) **$\gamma(^{160}\text{Er})$ (continued)**

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
1104.1 3	5.3 10	1230.29	4 ⁺	125.82	2 ⁺	$\alpha(P)=1.574 \times 10^{-7}$ 22
1115.8 3	5.0 10	1505.7		389.86	4 ⁺	$\alpha(K)\exp$ from table III in 2014Bi12, listed as 0.0033 8 in text on page 5.
1186.1 3	4.2 9	1576.0		389.86	4 ⁺	
1249.4 2	6.5 12	1375.23	(4 ⁺)	125.82	2 ⁺	

[†] From adopted values. Some values reported by articles quoted in this dataset are mentioned separately.

[‡] Additional information 2.

[#] Additional information 3.

$^{160}\text{Tm } \epsilon \text{ decay (74.5 s)}$ 1983Si20

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$

5 70 74.5 s 15
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 15$
 $Q_{\epsilon} = 5760.40$
 $^{160}_{69}\text{Tm}_{91}$

