

$^{159}\text{Gd } \beta^-$  decay (18.479 h)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 113, 157 (2012)	31-Dec-2010

Parent:  $^{159}\text{Gd}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=3/2^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=18.479$  h 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=970.5$  7; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

**Additional information 1.**

The decay scheme is that of [1965Fu14](#), which is similar to those of [1968Hi03](#), [1969Br05](#), [1985Da31](#), and [1995Mo08](#).

The following quantities have been measured:  $E\gamma$  and  $I\gamma$

([1958Ma53](#), [1958Ni29](#), [1962Su04](#), [1964Ew04](#), [1964Pe07](#), [1965Fu14](#), [1972De67](#), [1975SeZ D](#));  $T_{1/2}$  of levels

([1961Go32](#), [1963Go28](#), [1967Ko17](#), [1967Ma33](#), [1969Be54](#));  $\gamma$  multipolarities and  $\delta$

([1958Ma53](#), [1958Ni29](#), [1962Su04](#), [1964No08](#), [1964Pe07](#), [1975SeZD](#)); and  $E\beta^-$  and  $I\beta^-$  ([1958Ma53](#), [1962Ta12](#), [1975BaXG](#)).

Searches for parity nonconservation have been carried out ([1970Pr13](#), [1971Kr19](#), [1971Li15](#), [1972Li11](#)) by measurements of  $\gamma(\theta)$  and circular polarization of 363 G.

 $^{159}\text{Tb}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0	$3/2^+$		
57.9963 <i>14</i>	$5/2^+$		
137.5054 <i>17</i>	$7/2^+$	$\leq 1.0$ ns	$T_{1/2}$ : From <a href="#">1963Go28</a> by $\gamma(228)\gamma(79)(t)$ .
348.2830 <i>15</i>	$5/2^+$		
363.5451 <i>14</i>	$5/2^-$	158 ps <i>7</i>	$T_{1/2}$ : Weighted average of 160 ps <i>16</i> by $\beta\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1961Go32</a> ), 170 ps <i>70</i> by $\beta\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1961Va36</a> ), 180 ps <i>15</i> by $\beta\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1967Ko17</a> ), 150 ps <i>10</i> by $\beta\text{ce}(t)$ ( <a href="#">1967Ma33</a> ), and 152 ps <i>15</i> by $\beta\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1969Be54</a> ).
580.808 <i>6</i>	$1/2^+$		
617.619 <i>5</i>	$3/2^+$		
674.235 <i>17</i>	$5/2^+$		
854.960 <i>7</i>	( $1/2^-$ )		
891.25 <i>6</i>	( $5/2^-$ )		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to the  $\gamma$  energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $^{159}\text{Tb}$  Adopted Levels; also see Adopted Levels for band assignments.

<sup>#</sup> From measurements from  $^{159}\text{Gd } \beta^-$  decay only; see  $^{159}\text{Tb}$  Adopted Levels for all measurements.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay) <sup>†</sup>	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>#</sup>	Log $f_t$	Comments
(79.3 <i>7</i> )	891.25	0.0011 <i>4</i>	7.93 <i>16</i>	av $E\beta=20.51$ <i>19</i>
(115.5 <i>7</i> )	854.960	0.0162 <i>5</i>	7.270 <i>16</i>	av $E\beta=30.40$ <i>20</i>
(296.3 <i>7</i> )	674.235	0.00387 <i>10</i>	9.179 <i>12</i>	av $E\beta=83.79$ <i>22</i>
(352.9 <i>7</i> )	617.619	0.0301 <i>9</i>	8.534 <i>14</i>	av $E\beta=101.81$ <i>23</i>
(389.7 <i>7</i> )	580.808	0.0635 <i>9</i>	8.351 <i>7</i>	av $E\beta=113.82$ <i>23</i>
				$I\beta^-$ : Measured data ( <a href="#">1975BaXG</a> ) have a component to a level at $\approx 410$ keV with $I\beta^- = 1\%$ .
(607.0 <i>7</i> )	363.5451	12.19 <i>6</i>	6.714 <i>3</i>	av $E\beta=188.9$ <i>3</i>
				$I\beta^-$ : Measured $I\beta^- = 13\%$ <i>2</i> ( <a href="#">1975BaXG</a> ), which would include the 348 level.
(622.2 <i>7</i> )	348.2830	0.315 <i>4</i>	8.339 <i>6</i>	av $E\beta=194.4$ <i>3</i>
(833.0 <i>7</i> )	137.5054	0.008 <i>7</i>	10.8 <sup>lu</sup> <i>4</i>	av $E\beta=283.9$ <i>3</i>
(912.5 <i>7</i> )	57.9963	28.8 <i>10</i>	6.96 <i>2</i>	av $E\beta=304.1$ <i>3</i>
				$I\beta^-$ : Measured $I\beta^- = 24\%$ <i>4</i> ( <a href="#">1975BaXG</a> ).
(970.5 <i>7</i> )	0.0	58.6 <i>10</i>	6.75 <i>1</i>	av $E\beta=326.9$ <i>3</i>
				$I\beta^-$ : Measured $I\beta^- = 62\%$ <i>9</i> ( <a href="#">1975BaXG</a> ).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{159}\text{Gd } \beta^-$  decay (18.479 h) (continued) $\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

<sup>†</sup> The measured values from [1975BaXG](#) are about 11 keV lower than those from the  $Q(\beta^-)$  value; they are not given.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(363)$  emission probability ([2001Ma01](#)) and  $\gamma$  intensity balances. The measured values of [1975BaXG](#) are given in comments.

<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>159</sup>Gd  $\beta^-$  decay (18.479 h) (continued) $\gamma(^{159}\text{Tb})$ I $\gamma$  normalization: from measured emission probability of 11.78% 5 for the 363  $\gamma$  ([2001Ma01](#)).I $\gamma$  normalization: [Additional information 2](#).

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger @}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $_{i}^{\pi}$	E $_f$	J $_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	$\delta^{\#}$	a&	Comments
58.0000 22	21.1 6	57.9963	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+0.119 2	10.73	$\alpha(K)=8.80$ 13; $\alpha(L)=1.503$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.333$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0886$ 13
79.5132 27	0.397 9	137.5054	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	57.9963	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+0.126 8	4.28	$\alpha(N)=0.0765$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.01146$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.000663$ 10 $\alpha(K)=3.55$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.567$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.1249$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0334$ 6
137.515 5	0.0549 13	137.5054	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.828	$\alpha(N)=0.0288$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00436$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000265$ 4 $\alpha(K)=0.476$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.272$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0640$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01632$ 23
210.783 3	0.170 12	348.2830	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	137.5054	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.23 4	$\alpha(N)=0.01440$ 21; $\alpha(O)=0.00190$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.49\times10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(K)=0.18$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.039$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.0088$ 17; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0023$ 4
226.0406 18	1.842 18	363.5451	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	137.5054	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.0341	$\alpha(N)=0.0020$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00029$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.2\times10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.0289$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00411$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000892$ 13; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000237$ 4
237.341 5	0.0653 14	854.960	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	617.619	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.0301	$\alpha(N)=0.000204$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.05\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=1.779\times10^{-6}$ 25 $\alpha(K)=0.0255$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00361$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000784$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000208$ 3
273.62 12	0.006 3	891.25	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	617.619	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.0209	$\alpha(N)=0.000180$ 3; $\alpha(O)=2.69\times10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.576\times10^{-6}$ 22 $\alpha(K)=0.01770$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.00249$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000541$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001437$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.0001239$ 18; $\alpha(O)=1.86\times10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.111\times10^{-6}$ 16
274.163 19	0.048 3	854.960	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	580.808	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.0208	I $\gamma$ : Weighted average for I $\gamma$ (273+274)=0.0544 23 and division is from <a href="#">1995Mo08</a> . $\alpha(K)=0.01762$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.00248$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000538$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001429$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.0001233$ 18; $\alpha(O)=1.85\times10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.106\times10^{-6}$ 16
290.2865 25	0.274 4	348.2830	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	57.9963	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.091 23	I $\gamma$ : Weighted average for I $\gamma$ (273+274)=0.0544 23 and division is from <a href="#">1995Mo08</a> . $\alpha(K)=0.074$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.0135$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00301$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000796$ 14
305.5492 20	0.526 6	363.5451	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	57.9963	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.01582	$\alpha(N)=0.000690$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.000101$ 6; $\alpha(P)=5.1\times10^{-6}$ 20 $\alpha(K)=0.01342$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.00188$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000407$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001084$ 16 $\alpha(N)=9.34\times10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.409\times10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(P)=8.51\times10^{-7}$ 12

<sup>159</sup>Gd  $\beta^-$  decay (18.479 h) (continued) $\gamma(^{159}\text{Tb})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta^\#$	$a^&$	Comments	
348.2807 18	2.031 21	348.2830	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.43 +10-9	0.0653 22	$\alpha(K)=0.0548$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00818$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.00179$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000481$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.000414$ 8; $\alpha(O)=6.32\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=3.99\times 10^{-6}$ 16	
363.5430 18	100	363.5451	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		0.01033	$\alpha(K)=0.00878$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.001216$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.000264$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=7.03\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=6.06\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=9.17\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=5.64\times 10^{-7}$ 8	
<sup>x</sup> 479.84 6	0.00206 19								$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : Reported by <a href="#">1995Mo08</a> only, so nuclide assignment is questionable.	
536.78 18	0.0136 4	674.235	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	137.5054	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)		0.0229	$\alpha(K)=0.0194$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00272$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000591$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001592$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.0001367$ 20; $\alpha(O)=2.11\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.417\times 10^{-6}$ 20	
+	559.623 6	0.188 5	617.619	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	57.9963	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.67 +58-1	0.018 3	$E_\gamma$ : In ( $n, n'\gamma$ ), <a href="#">1987Al07</a> argue that this placement is not correct, but the alternate placement is from a 9/2 <sup>+</sup> , 536-keV level, which is not expected to be populated here. $\alpha(K)=0.015$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0022$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00047$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000127$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.000109$ 14; $\alpha(O)=1.67\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(P)=1.07\times 10^{-6}$ 21
580.808 6	0.580 5	580.808	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]		0.014 5	$\alpha(K)=0.012$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0018$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00040$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000106$ 24	
616.233 18	0.0160 7	674.235	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	57.9963	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)		0.01617	$\alpha(N)=9.2\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(O)=1.4\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=9.E-7$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.01373$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00191$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000416$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001120$ 16 $\alpha(N)=9.61\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=1.486\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(P)=9.99\times 10^{-7}$ 14	
617.615 8	0.135 4	617.619	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)		0.01608	$\alpha(K)=0.01365$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00190$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000413$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001113$ 16 $\alpha(N)=9.56\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=1.478\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(P)=9.93\times 10^{-7}$ 14	
674.26 5	0.00268 19	674.235	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)		0.01292	$\alpha(K)=0.01097$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.001522$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.000331$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=8.92\times 10^{-5}$ 13 $\alpha(N)=7.65\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=1.184\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=7.97\times 10^{-7}$ 12	
753.74 6	0.00153 17	891.25	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	137.5054	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.00206	$\alpha(K)=0.001760$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.000235$ 4; $\alpha(M)=5.07\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=1.359\times 10^{-5}$ 19 $\alpha(N)=1.168\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(O)=1.79\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.170\times 10^{-7}$ 17	
									$E_\gamma, I_\gamma$ : Reported by <a href="#">1995Mo08</a> only.	

<sup>159</sup>Gd  $\beta^-$  decay (18.479 h) (continued) $\gamma(^{159}\text{Tb})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger @}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. $\#$	$a^&$	Comments
854.947 20	0.0209 12	854.960	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	$1.61 \times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001374\ 20; \alpha(L)=0.000182\ 3; \alpha(M)=3.93 \times 10^{-5}\ 6; \alpha(N+..)=1.054 \times 10^{-5}\ 15$ $\alpha(N)=9.06 \times 10^{-6}\ 13; \alpha(O)=1.391 \times 10^{-6}\ 20; \alpha(P)=9.16 \times 10^{-8}\ 13$

<sup>†</sup> From 1995Mo08.<sup>‡</sup> Weighted average of values of 1968Hi03, 1969Br05, 1985Da31, 1994St05, 1995Mo08, and 2001Ma01.<sup>#</sup> From <sup>159</sup>Tb Adopted  $\gamma$  radiations. See <sup>159</sup>Tb Adopted  $\gamma$  radiations for limits on M2 mixing in E1 transitions from 363 level.<sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.1178 5.<sup>&</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

