

$^{158}\text{Dy}(\alpha, t)$ 1977Pa23

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 113, 157 (2012)	31-Dec-2010

Additional information 1.

(α, t) with $E(\alpha)=30$ MeV, magnetic spectrograph with FWHM ≈ 12 keV at 35° and 60° .

 ^{159}Ho Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	L [#]	S [@]	Comments
0 ^b	7/2 ⁻		1	L: Cross-section ratio (fig. 10 of 1977Pa23) suggests L=1,2, but L=(3) from (p, α) (1982Ha17).
97 ^b	9/2 ⁻	5	4	
166 ^c	7/2 ⁺	4	64	
218 ^b	11/2 ⁻	(5)&	135	
253 ^e	5/2 ⁺	2	93	S: Value is larger than expected for 5/2[402] Nilsson state. This may reflect contributions from the L=2 members of the $\pi 3/2[411]$ and/or $1/2[411]$ bands.
315 ^d	5/2 ⁺	2	6	
340			2	
365		(3)	6	
383	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	2	2	
426 ^f	1/2 ⁻	1	1	
464 ^f	5/2 ⁻	3	17	
482		2,3	4	L: Adopted L=4 from (p, α) (1982Ha17).
520 ^f	3/2 ⁻	1	1	
535 ^b	15/2 ⁻		3	
590 ^f	9/2 ⁻	5	38	L: The angular-distribution graphs (fig. 6) of 1977Pa23 indicate a level at 539 keV, which evaluator assumes is actually this level (590 keV).
679 ^f	7/2 ⁻	3	10	
692			3	
718	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	2	2	
780		(4)	3	
814 ^h	3/2 ⁺	2	8	J π : L=2 indicates 3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺ . Vibrational assignment gives 3/2 ⁺ .
876 ⁱ	1/2 ⁺	0	2	
906		1,2	3	
934	7/2 ⁺ , 9/2 ⁺	4	10	J π : 9/2 ⁺ in Adopted Levels.
1040				
1156 ^g	11/2 ⁻	5	21	J π : L=5 indicates 9/2 ⁻ , 11/2 ⁻ . Band assignment and population in this reaction gives preference to 11/2 ⁻ .
1268		>3	16	
1295		a		
1312		a		
1330	1/2 ⁻ , 3/2 ⁻	1	<1	
1403			7	L: Cross-section ratio (fig. 10 of 1977Pa23) suggests L=4,(3).
1428	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	2	2	
1452			2	L: Cross-section ratio (fig. 10 of 1977Pa23) suggests L=3.
1480		≤ 2	1	
≈ 1496		2,3	≈ 2	
≈ 1516		2,3	≈ 3	
1588	1/2, 3/2 ⁻	0,1	1	
1688		≤ 2		
1752	1/2 ⁺	0	2	
1804	(7/2 ⁺ , 9/2 ⁺)	(4)	7	
1823		(3)	2	L: From the cross-section ratio (fig. 10 of 1977Pa23).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{158}\text{Dy}(\alpha, t)$ **1977Pa23 (continued)** ^{159}Ho Levels (continued)

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	S°
1839	3
1863	5

[†] Uncertainty is 2 keV for strongly populated levels from general statement.

[‡] From the list of populated levels (table 3) in [1977Pa23](#). Based on L value, intensity patterns, and band assignment. These assignments agree with those in the ^{159}Ho Adopted Levels, unless otherwise noted.

[#] Deduced by [1977Pa23](#) from comparison of measured angular distributions with DWBA calculations and ratio of ($^3\text{He}, d$) and (α, t) cross sections.

[@] Cross section ($\mu\text{b/sr}$) at 35° .

[&] From analysis of doublet 212+218 peak (see fig. 6 of [1977Pa23](#)).

^a $L=2$ for combined 1292+1310 levels.

^b Band(A): $\pi 7/2[523]$ band.

^c Band(B): $\pi 7/2[404]$ bandhead.

^d Band(C): $\pi 1/2[411]$.

^e Band(D): $\pi 5/2[402]$ bandhead.

^f Band(E): $\pi 1/2[541]$ band.

^g Band(F): $\pi 9/2[514]$ band member.

^h Band(G): $K^\pi=3/2^+$ bandhead. probable bandhead of the K-2 γ vibration built on $\pi 7/2[404]$, with an admixture of $\pi 3/2[402]$.

ⁱ Band(H): $K^\pi=1/2^+$ bandhead. probable bandhead of the K-2 γ vibration built on $\pi 5/2[402]$, with an admixture of $\pi 1/2[400]$.

$^{158}\text{Dy}(\alpha, t)$ $^{1977}\text{Pa23}$

				Band(F): $\pi 9/2[514]$ band member	
				<u>11/2⁻</u>	<u>1156</u>
				Band(E): $\pi 1/2[541]$ band	
				<u>7/2⁻</u>	<u>679</u>
				<u>9/2⁻</u>	<u>590</u>
Band(A): $\pi 7/2[523]$ band				<u>3/2⁻</u>	<u>520</u>
<u>15/2⁻</u>	<u>535</u>			<u>5/2⁻</u>	<u>464</u>
				<u>1/2⁻</u>	<u>426</u>
		Band(C): $\pi 1/2[411]$			
		<u>5/2⁺</u>	<u>315</u>		
				Band(D): $\pi 5/2[402]$ bandhead	
				<u>5/2⁺</u>	<u>253</u>
<u>11/2⁻</u>	<u>218</u>	Band(B): $\pi 7/2[404]$ bandhead			
		<u>7/2⁺</u>	<u>166</u>		
<u>9/2⁻</u>	<u>97</u>				
<u>7/2⁻</u>	<u>0</u>				

$^{158}\text{Dy}(\alpha,\text{t})$ 1977Pa23 (continued)			
Band(G): $K^\pi=3/2^+$ bandhead		Band(H): $K^\pi=1/2^+$ bandhead	
<u>$3/2^+$</u>	<u>814</u>	<u>$1/2^+$</u>	<u>876</u>

$^{159}_{67}\text{Ho}_{92}$