

¹⁶⁰Gd(pol t,α) **1979Bu05**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	07-June-2023

1979Bu05: E(t)=17 MeV. Measured α particles, analyzing powers (A_y(θ)) and σ(θ) from 10° to 50°. The α particles were analyzed using a Q3D magnetic spectrometer, and detected by a helical-cathode position-sensitive counter at the tandem Van de Graaff accelerator of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory. FWHM=15-16 keV. Target was Gd₂O₃ enriched to 95.95% in ¹⁶⁰Gd, evaporated on a ≈80 μg/cm² carbon foil. The uncertainties in the absolute cross sections estimated as 20%, while in relative cross sections as 10%. DWBA analysis of σ(θ) and A_y(θ) data.

¹⁵⁹Eu Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}	L [@]	Nuclear structure factors.&	Comments
0 ^a	5/2 ⁺	2	0.02	dσ/dΩ(25°)=10 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.20 19.
75 ^a 4	7/2 ⁺	4	0.87	dσ/dΩ(25°)=110 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.56 6.
≈172 ^a	9/2 ⁺	4	≤0.10	J ^π : could be mixed with 5/2 ⁻ from π5/2[532] Nilsson orbital. dσ/dΩ(25°)=18 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=0.00 12.
≈190 ^b	5/2 ⁻	3		dσ/dΩ(25°)≤4 μb/sr.
255 ^b 4	7/2 ⁻	3	0.11	dσ/dΩ(25°)=43 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.47 8.
291 ^a 4	(11/2 ⁺)	(5)		dσ/dΩ(25°)=9 μb/sr.
337 ^c 4	3/2 ⁺ &9/2 ⁻	2+5	≤0.10,0.33	E(level): doublet. Nuclear structure factors.: first value for 3/2 ⁺ , second for 9/2 ⁻ . dσ/dΩ(25°)=35 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.70 9 for a doublet. dσ/dΩ(25°)=114 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.35 7.
392 ^c 4	5/2 ⁺	2	0.28	
442 ^b 4	11/2 ⁻	5	1.57	A weak peak for 7/2 ⁺ member of the π3/2[411] band near this energy is probably obscured the strong peak from 11/2 ⁻ member of the π5/2[532] band (1979Bu01). dσ/dΩ(25°)=220 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.31 5.
571 ^c 4	9/2 ⁺ &(13/2 ⁻)	4+(7)	0.12	E(level): doublet. Nuclear structure factors.: for 9/2 ⁺ ; no value listed for (13/2 ⁻). dσ/dΩ(25°)=24 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.16 14 for doublet.
704 ^b 4	(15/2 ⁻)	(7)		dσ/dΩ(25°)=23 μb/sr.
806 ^e 4	(3/2 ⁺)	(2)	0.11	dσ/dΩ(25°)=37 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.35 9.
887 4				dσ/dΩ(25°)=17 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.02 12.
1076 ^d 4	1/2 ⁺	0	0.19	dσ/dΩ(25°)=85 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.22 7.
1140 ^d	5/2 ⁺ &(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.40	Nuclear structure factors.: for 5/2 ⁺ , no value listed for an uncertain (3/2 ⁺) at ≈1140 keV. dσ/dΩ(25°)=175 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.17 5.
≈1260				dσ/dΩ(25°)=18 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=0.00 14.
≈1287 [#]				dσ/dΩ(25°)=27 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.08 10.
≈1310 ^d	(7/2 ⁺)	(4)	0.32	dσ/dΩ(25°)=42 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=-0.11 10.
1488 [#]				J ^π : L+1/2 from A _y (θ). dσ/dΩ(25°)=38 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.31 7.
1635 [#]				J ^π : L+1/2 from A _y (θ). dσ/dΩ(25°)=31 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.18 9.
≈1670				dσ/dΩ(25°)≈30 μb/sr for 1670+1690; A _y (25°)=0.00 10 for 1670+1690.
≈1690				dσ/dΩ(25°)≈30 μb/sr for 1690+1670; A _y (25°)=0.00 10 for 1690+1670.
1765 [#]				J ^π : L+1/2 from A _y (θ). dσ/dΩ(25°)=33 μb/sr; A _y (25°)=+0.32 11.
≈1803				dσ/dΩ(25°)≈60 μb/sr for 1803+1825; A _y (25°)=0.00 6 for 1670+1690.
≈1825				dσ/dΩ(25°)≈30 μb/sr for 1825+1803; A _y (25°)=0.00 10 for

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{160}\text{Gd}(\text{pol } t, \alpha)$ **1979Bu05** (continued) ^{159}Eu Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
	1825+1803.
1905	$d\sigma/d\Omega(25^\circ)=43 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$; $A_y(25^\circ)=-0.05$ 7.
1954	$d\sigma/d\Omega(25^\circ)=35 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$; $A_y(25^\circ)=+0.07$ 9.
≈ 2460	J^π : L+1/2 from $A_y(\theta)$. $d\sigma/d\Omega(25^\circ)\approx 80 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$; $A_y(25^\circ)=+0.05$ 7.

[†] Uncertainties stated by **1979Bu05** as ≤ 4 keV for levels below ≈ 1.2 MeV, and larger for levels of higher energies.

[‡] Authors' assignments deduced from cross sections and analyzing powers; these are the same as those in Adopted Levels.

[#] The $\sigma(\theta)$ and $A_y(\theta)$ plots shown in Fig. 7 of **1979Bu05**, but no spin-parity assigned.

[@] The L-transfers are not explicitly stated in the tabular data in **1979Bu05**, but are implied from the assigned J^π values from $\sigma(\theta)$ and analyzing powers, and some of the L-transfers are mentioned by the authors in the text.

[&] Nuclear structure factor= $d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp})/[2N \times d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})]$; **1979Bu05** mention that uncertainty could be 30-50% due to ambiguities in the choice of optical model parameters. Comparison is made with the theoretical values from Nilsson model.

^a Band(A): $\pi 5/2[413]$.

^b Band(B): $\pi 5/2[532]$.

^c Band(C): $\pi 3/2[411]$.

^d Band(D): $\pi 1/2[420]$.

^e Band(E): $\pi 1/2[411]$.

$^{160}\text{Gd}(\text{pol t}, \alpha)$ 1979Bu05Band(D): $\pi 1/2[420]$ $(7/2^+)$ ≈ 1310 $5/2^+ \& (3/2^+)$ 1140 $1/2^+$ 1076Band(E): $\pi 1/2[411]$ $(3/2^+)$ 806Band(B): $\pi 5/2[532]$ $(15/2^-)$ 704Band(C): $\pi 3/2[411]$ $9/2^+ \& (13/2^-)$ 571 $9/2^+ \& (13/2^-)$ 571 $11/2^-$ 442 $5/2^+$ 392Band(A): $\pi 5/2[413]$ $3/2^+ \& 9/2^-$ 337 $3/2^+ \& 9/2^-$ 337 $(11/2^+)$ 291 $7/2^-$ 255 $9/2^+$ ≈ 172 $5/2^-$ ≈ 190 $7/2^+$ 75 $5/2^+$ 0