

^{159}Dy IT decay (122 μs) [1968Bo18,1967Co26](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 113, 157 (2012)	31-Dec-2010

Parent: ^{159}Dy : $E=352.77$ 14; $J^\pi=11/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=122$ μs 3; %IT decay=100.0

[Additional information 1.](#)

Isomer produced by $^{159}\text{Tb}(d,2n)$ with pulsed beam ([1968Bo18,1965Bo22](#)) with $E(d)=12$ MeV; by $^{159}\text{Tb}(p,n)$

([1967Co26,1965Bo22](#)) with $E(p)=5-18$ MeV, and $\text{Gd}(\alpha,xn)$ ([1965Bo22,1968Io01](#)).

Decay scheme is that of [1968Bo18](#), plus several γ rays known from ^{159}Ho ε decay to depopulate these levels.

 ^{159}Dy Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi^\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$3/2^-$		
57.5 4	$5/2^-$		
137.2 3	$7/2^-$		
178.4 3	$5/2^+$		
209.8 3	$7/2^+$		
236.7 4	$9/2^-$		
240.2 3	$9/2^+$		
353.8	$11/2^-$	122 μs 3	%IT=100 $T_{1/2}$: From 1967Co20 ; others: 115 μs 10 (1965Bo22) and 121 μs (1968Io01) all from $\gamma(t)$ following p or α beam pulse.

† From least-squares fit to γ energies.

‡ From ^{159}Dy Adopted Levels, but same are given by [1968Bo18](#).

 $\gamma(^{159}\text{Dy})$

I_γ normalization: weighted average of 1.16 22, from requiring that the sum of the $I(\gamma+ce)$ values of the transitions deexciting the isomeric state be 100%; and 1.19 20, from requiring that the sum of the $I(\gamma+ce)$ values of the transitions feeding the g.s. be 100%.

At each excited level below the isomer, the intensity feeding the level and that depopulating it agree to within their uncertainties.

$I(K \times \text{ray})=175$ 30 ([1968Bo18](#)). Others: 183 ([1965Bo22](#)); and 154 ([1967Co26](#)), after scaling the published data to make the intensity scale compatible.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger b$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^@$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^b$	Comments
(30.427 & 13)		240.2	$9/2^+$	209.8	$7/2^+$	M1+E2	0.13 2	20 3	20.1	$\alpha(L)=15.8$ 20; $\alpha(M)=3.6$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.93$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.82$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.110$ 13; $\alpha(P)=0.00402$ 6
(31.378 & 8)	0.70 14	209.8	$7/2^+$	178.4	$5/2^+$	M1+E2	0.19 2	26 3		$\alpha(L)=19.9$ 24; $\alpha(M)=4.6$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=1.17$ 14 $\alpha(N)=1.04$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.134$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.00361$ 6
(41.182 & 4)	0.5 2	178.4	$5/2^+$	137.2	$7/2^-$	E1		0.612		$\alpha(L)=0.480$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.1059$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0267$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0236$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00299$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.0001021$ 15
57	5.5 10	57.5	$5/2^-$	0	$3/2^-$	M1+E2	0.19 2	12.76 21		$\alpha(K)=10.04$ 16; $\alpha(L)=2.12$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{159}Dy IT decay (122 μs) **1968Bo18,1967Co26** (continued) $\gamma(^{159}\text{Dy})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡b}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ [#]	α [@]	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ ^b	Comments
										$I3$; $\alpha(M)=0.48$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.125$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.110$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.0151$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000637$ 10 $ce(L)=8.9$ 15; $ce(M)=6.2$ 15 $ce(M)$: value contains a contribution from another ce line (1968Bo18). I_γ : weighted average of: 6.7 7, from intensity balance at the 57 level; 4.2 7, from $ce(L)$ and ϵL for the 57-keV transition; and 9.0 33, from measured $I(K \times$ ray) after subtraction of the contribution from the other γ transitions. $ce(K)/(\gamma+ce)=0.135$ 3; $ce(L)/(\gamma+ce)=0.624$ 8; $ce(M)/(\gamma+ce)=0.150$ 3; $ce(N+..)/(\gamma+ce)=0.0375$ 9 $ce(N)/(\gamma+ce)=0.0336$ 8; $ce(O)/(\gamma+ce)=0.00396$ 9; $ce(P)/(\gamma+ce)=7.07 \times 10^{-6}$ 15
(61.77 ^{&} 11)		240.2	9/2 ⁺	178.4	5/2 ⁺	E2		17.9	1.7 ^a	
(72.546 ^{&} 4)	0.56 12	209.8	7/2 ⁺	137.2	7/2 ⁻	E1		0.723		$\alpha(K)=0.597$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.0982$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.0216$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00555$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00487$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.000650$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.64 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(K)=3.79$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.661$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.148$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0390$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.0339$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.00480$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.000236$ 4 $ce(K)=31$ 7; $ce(L)=6.9$ 25 $\alpha(K)=1.139$ 16; $\alpha(L)=1.255$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.301$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0756$ 11 $\alpha(N)=0.0674$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.00810$ 12; $\alpha(P)=4.72 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 $ce(K)=31.6$
80.1 3	10.5 11	137.2	7/2 ⁻	57.5	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.18 2	4.64 6		
99.6 3	15.4 11	236.7	9/2 ⁻	137.2	7/2 ⁻	E2		2.77		
(102.98 ^{&} 2)		240.2	9/2 ⁺	137.2	7/2 ⁻	[E1]		0.285	0.8	$\alpha(K)=0.238$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0368$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00807$ 12; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00210$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00183$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.000250$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.104 \times 10^{-5}$ 16 $\alpha(K)=0.186$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0284$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00623$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001620$ 23 $\alpha(N)=0.001417$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.000194$ 3;
113	18 5	353.8	11/2 ⁻	240.2	9/2 ⁺	E1		0.223		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{159}Dy IT decay (122 μs) **1968Bo18,1967Co26** (continued) $\gamma(^{159}\text{Dy})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\alpha^@$	Comments
117	23 6	353.8	11/2 ⁻	236.7	9/2 ⁻	M1	1.528	$\alpha(\text{P})=8.74\times 10^{-6}$ 13 ce(K)=4.5 11 E_γ : From 1968Bo18 ; other: 114.5 5 (1967Co26), but 112.9 and 116.5 not resolved. $\alpha(\text{K})=1.287$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.189$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0415$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.01108$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00960$ 14; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001404$ 20; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.02\times 10^{-5}$ 12 ce(K)=40 4 E_γ : From 1968Bo18 ; other: 114.5 5 (1967Co26), but 112.9 and 116.5 not resolved. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1553$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0235$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00515$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001341$ 19 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001172$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001615$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=7.36\times 10^{-6}$ 11 ce(K)=2.5 20 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.486$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.303$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0720$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0182$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.01618$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00198$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.12\times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0841$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01245$ 18; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00272$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000712$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000622$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.66\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.11\times 10^{-6}$ 6 ce(K)=0.26 26; ce(L)=0.029 15 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0560$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00820$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00179$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000470$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000410$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.74\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.80\times 10^{-6}$ 4 E_γ : From 1968Bo18 ; other: 173 1 (1967Co20). I_γ : Calculated from $I_\gamma(178)/I_\gamma(120)$ from ^{159}Ho ε decay. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.220$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0942$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0222$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00564$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00500$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000626$ 9; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.021\times 10^{-5}$ 15 I_γ : Calculated from $I_\gamma(179)/I_\gamma(99)$ from ^{159}Ho ε decay. Other: 10.0 15 from $I_\gamma(177.6+179.0)=12.5$ 13 minus $I_\gamma(177.6)=2.5$ 7. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1229$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0424$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00990$ 24; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00253$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00224$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000286$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.98\times 10^{-6}$ 12 ce(K)=0.57
120.4 5	17 5	178.4	5/2 ⁺	57.5	5/2 ⁻	E1	0.185	
137.3 3	2.6 3	137.2	7/2 ⁻	0	3/2 ⁻	E2	0.879	
151.9 5	1.5 3	209.8	7/2 ⁺	57.5	5/2 ⁻	E1	0.1000	
178	2.5 7	178.4	5/2 ⁺	0	3/2 ⁻	E1	0.0665	
179.0 3	8.3 10	236.7	9/2 ⁻	57.5	5/2 ⁻	E2	0.342	
218 1	4.8 3	353.8	11/2 ⁻	137.2	7/2 ⁻	E2	0.1786	

[†] From **1967Co26**, except as otherwise noted. Other: **1965Bo22**. For more precise values, see ^{159}Dy Adopted γ radiations.

[‡] From **1968Bo18**, except as otherwise stated. Others: **1965Bo22** and **1967Co26**, which do not give uncertainties. See **1968Bo18** for I(ce) data.

From ^{159}Dy Adopted γ radiations.

@ Values were computed for the more accurate γ -ray energies from the ^{159}Ho ε decay.

& Not seen in isomer decay, but placement established in ^{159}Ho ε decay. E_γ , I_γ , and $I_\gamma(1+\alpha)$ from Adopted γ data and $I_\gamma(1+\alpha)(30+62+102)=I_\gamma(1+\alpha)(112.9)=22$ 6.

^a Note that $I_\gamma(1+\alpha)(62)/I_\gamma(1+\alpha)(30)=0.11$ (**1982Vy02**) and 0.66 (**1971Bo18**), so $I_\gamma(1+\alpha)(62)$ is uncertain.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.18 14.

^{159}Dy IT decay (122 μs) 1968Bo18,1967Co26

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
%IT=100.0

Legend

- $\longrightarrow$ $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $\longrightarrow$ $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $\longrightarrow$ $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $\longrightarrow$ γ Decay (Uncertain)

