²⁵²Cf SF decay 2014Wa53,2009Si21,1995Zh15

TypeAuthorHistory
CitationLiterature Cutoff DateFull EvaluationN. NicaNDS 141, 1 (2017)1-Feb-2017

Parent: ²⁵²Cf: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=2.645$ y 8; %SF decay=?

²⁵²Cf-T_{1/2}: From Adopted Levels of ²⁵²Cf in ENSDF database.

2014Wa53 was compiled for the XUNDL database by S. Kumar (Delhi Univ.) and B. Singh (McMaster); 2009Si21 was compiled by B. Karamy and B. Singh (McMaster).

2014Wa53 used ²⁵²Cf source $\approx 62 \ \mu$ Ci sandwiched between two Fe foils with a thickness of 10 mg/cm² and placed in the center of Gammasphere array (at LBNL) consisting of 101 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors. A total of 5.7×10¹¹ triple- γ or higher-fold coincident events were collected. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ and deduced levels, J, π , bands. Comparison with projected-shell model calculations.

2009Si21 measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin and half-lives using the Gammasphere array (at ANL) of Anti-Compton Spectrometers. Comparison with QRPM calculations.

Other studies measured $\gamma\gamma$ coin, γ -fission product coin, and $X\gamma$ coin (1995Zh15,1970Wi16) and $\gamma\gamma$ (t) with EUROGAM II Ge detector array (1998Ga12). See also 1970ChYJ and 1970ChZH by same authors as 1970Wi16, 1974ClZX, and 1973TaZG.

The final level scheme is that of 2014Wa53 which includes that of 2009Si21, which includes that of 1995Zh15 (discussed by 1995ZhZV, 1997Do20, and 1997Ha64 without adding to it).

Unless stated otherwise all data are from 2014Wa53.

¹⁵⁸Sm Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0#	0^{+}		
72.80 [#] 10	(2 ⁺)		$T_{1/2}$: > 2 ns given in 1970ChZH, but value not given in 1970Wi16 which is by the same authors.
240.30 [#] 15	(4 ⁺)		
498.40 [#] 17	(6^{+})		
842.4 [#] 3	(8 ⁺)		
1266.8# 4	(10^{+})		
1279.70 [∞] <i>17</i>	(5 ⁻)	74 ns 6	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 72 ns 6 (2014Wa53, quoted by them from their measurement reported in: N.T. Brewer et al in "Fission and Properties of Neutron-rich Nuclei", Sanibel 2012) and 83 ns 12 (2009Si21); Others: 115 ns (1995Zh15; this value also appears in table V of 2009Si21 which seems a misprint); 1973TaZG report that the 167-keV γ follows an isomeric level with $T_{1/2} = 164$ ns (presumably the decay from this 5 ⁻ level was observed). J^{π} : assigned by 1995Zh15 and 1998Ga12 based on calculations and in analogy with 5 ⁻ level in 156 Sm at 1397 keV, and sustained by transitions to (4 ⁺) and (6 ⁺).
1222 ab	(7-)		Dominant configuration= $v5/2[642]\otimes v5/2[523]$.
1322.3° 4	(5)		J [*] : associated by 2014Wa53 with the predicted second (5)-based band and partially sustained by the transition to (4 ⁺) (however the transition to (6 ⁺) as in the case of first (5 ⁻)-based band was not identified). Dominant configuration= $\pi 5/2[532] \otimes \pi 5/2[413]$.
1391.4 [@] 3	(6 ⁻)		
1422.3 ^{<i>a</i>} 5	(6 ⁻)		
1521.7 ^{&} 3	(7 ⁻)		
1540.9 ^b 5	(7-)		
1670.1 ^{^w} 4	(8^{-})		
$10/9.8^{\circ}$ 0	(8)		
1703.8 4	(12)		
$1836.9^{\&}4$	(9 ⁻)		
2012.8 ^{<i>a</i>} 7	(10^{-})		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

From ENSDF

²⁵²Cf SF decay 2014Wa53,2009Si21,1995Zh15 (continued)

¹⁵⁸Sm Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	Jπ‡
2021.5 [@] 4	(10 ⁻)	2334.3 [#] 5	(14+)	2682.4 <mark>&</mark> 7	(13-)	3489.2 [@] 10	(16 ⁻)
2206.2 ^b 7	(11^{-})	2418.9 ^a 8	(12 ⁻)	2934.4 [@] 9	(14-)	4098.2? [@] 14	(18 ⁻)
2224.6 ^{&} 5	(11 ⁻)	2443.8 [@] 7	(12 ⁻)	2967.3? [#] 5	(16 ⁺)		

 † From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

 \ddagger Based on the rotational character, systematics, and theoretical calculations.

[#] Band(A): $K^{\pi}=0^+$ yrast band.

[@] Band(B): $K^{\pi} = (5^{-})$ band based on 1279.7 level, $\alpha = 0$.

& Band(b): $K^{\pi} = (5^{-})$ band based on 1279.7 level, $\alpha = 1$.

^{*a*} Band(C): $K^{\pi} = (5^{-})$ band based on 1322.3 level, $\alpha = 0$.

^b Band(c): $K^{\pi} = (5^{-})$ band based on 1322.3 level, $\alpha = 1$.

$\gamma(^{158}\text{Sm})$

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\ddagger}	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Comments
72.8 1		72.80	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0+	E_{γ} : 2014Wa53 seem to take this value from 1995Zh15, figured like intense in the level scheme drawing (Fig. 3 therein) and for which the evaluator assume 0.1 keV uncertainty.
100.0 5	1.4 2	1422.3	(6 ⁻)	1322.3	(5 ⁻)	
111.7 <i>3</i>	8.0 8	1391.4	(6 ⁻)	1279.70	(5 ⁻)	I_{γ} : 1.9.
118.6 <i>3</i>	2.0 3	1540.9	(7^{-})	1422.3	(6 ⁻)	
130.3 <i>3</i>	4.9 <i>3</i>	1521.7	(7^{-})	1391.4	(6 ⁻)	I_{γ} : 1.3.
138.9 5	0.7 1	1679.8	(8^{-})	1540.9	(7^{-})	
148.4 <i>3</i>	3.1 <i>3</i>	1670.1	(8^{-})	1521.7	(7^{-})	
156.4 5	0.5 1	1836.2	(9-)	1679.8	(8-)	
166.8 <i>3</i>	3.5 <i>3</i>	1836.9	(9 ⁻)	1670.1	(8 ⁻)	
167.5 <i>1</i>	100 5	240.30	(4^{+})	72.80	(2^{+})	
176.6 5	0.6 3	2012.8	(10^{-})	1836.2	(9 ⁻)	
184.6 5	1.4 3	2021.5	(10^{-})	1836.9	(9 ⁻)	
193.4 5	0.4 1	2206.2	(11^{-})	2012.8	(10^{-})	
203.1 5	< 0.1	2224.6	(11^{-})	2021.5	(10^{-})	
212.7 <mark>&</mark> 5		2418.9	(12^{-})	2206.2	(11^{-})	
218.6 5	1.3 2	1540.9	(7^{-})	1322.3	(5 ⁻)	
242.0 3	2.8 2	1521.7	(7-)	1279.70	(5 ⁻)	
257.5 <i>3</i>	2.6 4	1679.8	(8 ⁻)	1422.3	(6 ⁻)	
258.1 I	76 5	498.40	(6^{+})	240.30	(4^{+})	I_{γ} : 73.
278.7 <i>3</i>	4.1 4	1670.1	(8 ⁻)	1391.4	(6 ⁻)	,
295.3 5	1.6 2	1836.2	(9 ⁻)	1540.9	(7^{-})	
315.2 <i>3</i>	3.9 4	1836.9	(9-)	1521.7	(7^{-})	
333.0 5	1.3 2	2012.8	(10^{-})	1679.8	(8 ⁻)	
344.0 [#] 2	46 [#]	842.4	(8^{+})	498.40	(6^{+})	
351.4 <i>3</i>	5.7 5	2021.5	(10^{-})	1670.1	(8-)	
370.0 5	0.8 1	2206.2	(11^{-})	1836.2	(9 ⁻)	
387.7 <i>3</i>	2.8 5	2224.6	(11^{-})	1836.9	(9-)	
406.1 5	1.0 2	2418.9	(12^{-})	2012.8	(10^{-})	
422.3 5	1.8 2	2443.8	(12^{-})	2021.5	(10^{-})	
424.4 [#] 2	24 [#]	1266.8	(10^{+})	842.4	(8^{+})	
457.8.5	< 0.5	2682.4	(13^{-})	2224.6	(11^{-})	
490.6 5	< 0.5	2934.4	(14^{-})	2443.8	(12^{-})	
			()		()	

			232	If SF deca	ıy 20	14Wa53,2009Si21,1995Zh1	5 (continued)
					-	y(¹⁵⁸ Sm) (continued)	
E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ} ‡	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}		Comment
$499.0^{\#} 2$	8.4 [#]	1765.8 3489.2	(12^+) (16^-)	1266.8 2934 4	(10^+) (14^-)		
568.5 [#] 2	2.7 [#]	2334.3	(10^{-}) (14^{+})	1765.8	(14^{-}) (12^{+})		
609 ^{&} 1		4098.2?	(18 ⁻)	3489.2	(16 ⁻)		
633.0 ^{^w 2 781 3 3}	716	2967.3? 1279.70	(16^+) (5^-)	2334.3	(14^+) (6 ⁺)	1.58	
1039.4 1	18 2	1279.70	(5^{-})	240.30	(0^{+})	I_{γ} : 5.8. I_{γ} : 6.1.	
1082.0 3	6.0 8	1322.3	(5^{-})	240.30	(4^{+})	,	

252 ----

(5) (4 ')

[†] Uncertainty is stated by 2014Wa53 to be 0.1 keV for strong γ rays and up to 0.5 keV for weak γ rays. Evaluators (based on compilers initial assignment) assign 0.1 keV for γ rays with I γ >10, 0.3 keV for I γ =2-10 and 0.5 keV for I γ <2.

[‡] Intensities relative to 167γ measured by 2014Wa53. In comments: same, but measured by 1995Zh15 (or adopted when noted); 2009Si21 reported relative photon branching from each level.

[#] Energy from 2009Si21 and relative intensity from 1995Zh15.

[@] Energy from 2009Si21.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



¹⁵⁸₆₂Sm₉₆

252 Cf SF decay 2014Wa53,2009Si21,1995Zh15

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative I_{γ}









 $^{158}_{62}{
m Sm}_{96}$